

# Surveillance Devices Act 2004

**Annual Report 2012-13** 

#### ISBN 978-1-925118-08-7

### © Commonwealth of Australia 2013

All material presented in this publication is provided under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia licence (www.creativecommons.org/licenses).

For the avoidance of doubt, this means this licence only applies to material as set out in this document.



The details of the relevant licence conditions are available on the Creative Commons website as is the full legal code for the CC BY 3.0 AU licence (www.creativecommons.org/licenses).

Use of the Coat of Arms

The terms under which the Coat of Arms can be used are detailed on the It's an Honour website (www.itsanhonour.gov.au).

Contact us

Enquiries regarding the licence and any use of this document are welcome at:

Business Law Branch Attorney-General's Department 3–5 National Cct BARTON ACT 2600

Telephone: 02 6141 6666

copyright@ag.gov.au

# **CONTENTS**

CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION	3
CHAPTER 2 – OVERVIEW OF THE SURVEILLANCE DEVICES ACT	4
Objects of the Act	4
Use of surveillance devices	4
Surveillance device warrants	6
Form of warrant	7
Use of surveillance devices without warrant	7
Use of the information obtained	8
Accountability provisions	9
Inspections and reports by the Ombudsman	9
Annual Report tabled by the Attorney-General	9
CHAPTER 3 – DEVELOPMENTS IN THE REPORTING PERIOD	10
Recent legislative and policy developments	10
Judicial decisions	10
Effectiveness of surveillance	10
CHAPTER 4 – INFORMATION REQUIRED UNDER THE ACT	11
The information required	11
Surveillance device warrants	12
Emergency authorisations	16
Tracking device authorisations	16
Effectiveness of surveillance devices	17
CHAPTER 5 – FURTHER INFORMATION	19
APPENDIX A: LIST OF TABLES & FIGURES	20
APPENDIX B: ABBREVIATIONS	21

# **CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION**

- 1.1 This is the ninth Annual Report on the *Surveillance Devices Act 2004* (the SD Act) and relates to the period from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2013.
- 1.2 In this report:
  - Chapter 2 outlines the objectives and structure of the SD Act
  - Chapter 3 outlines relevant developments to the legislation and cases impacting on its interpretation, and
  - Chapter 4 provides information about the use of powers under the SD Act.

## CHAPTER 2 – OVERVIEW OF THE SURVEILLANCE DEVICES ACT

- 2.1 This chapter provides an overview of the SD Act, including:
  - an outline of its objects
  - a description of the provisions that are most relevant to the contents of this report, and
  - an outline of the accountability provisions.

# **Objects of the Act**

- 2.2 The SD Act represents model legislation and is intended to facilitate cross-border investigations and information sharing between Australian agencies.
- 2.3 The SD Act complements, rather than replaces, existing State and Territory laws. Accordingly, the SD Act does not prohibit the use of surveillance devices; rather, it authorises the use of surveillance devices where the use would otherwise be unlawful.
- 2.4 The SD Act achieves these outcomes by:
  - a) providing a single legislative regime for Commonwealth agencies to utilise surveillance powers, and
  - b) authorising State and Territory law enforcement agencies to use surveillance devices under the Commonwealth regime in defined circumstances.

#### Use of surveillance devices

- 2.5 Surveillance devices are defined in the SD Act as:
  - a) data surveillance devices which includes any device or program used to record or monitor the input into or out of a computer
  - b) listening devices which includes any device capable of being used to hear, record, monitor or listen to conversations or words spoken but does not include a hearing aid or similar device
  - c) optical surveillance devices which includes any device used to record visually or observe activity but does not include spectacles, contact lenses or similar devices, and
  - d) tracking devices which means any electronic device capable of determining or monitoring the location of a person or an object or the status of an object.

- 2.6 Surveillance devices may be used by officers of the following LEAs:
  - all State and Territory police forces
  - Australian Commission for Law Enforcement Integrity (ACLEI)
  - Australian Crime Commission (ACC)
  - Australian Federal Police (AFP)
  - Corruption and Crime Commission of Western Australia (CCC WA)
  - Crime and Misconduct Commission of Queensland (CMC QLD)
  - Independent Commission Against Corruption of New South Wales (ICAC)
  - New South Wales Crime Commission (NSW CC), and
  - Police Integrity Commission of New South Wales (PIC).
- 2.7 An LEA may apply for a surveillance device warrant to assist in the investigation of a 'relevant offence' which is defined as including:
  - a Commonwealth offence which carries a maximum penalty of at least three years imprisonment
  - State offences with a federal aspect which carry a maximum penalty of at least three years imprisonment, and
  - defined additional offences in the:
    - Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Act 2006
    - Financial Transaction Reports Act 1988
    - Fisheries Management Act 1991, and
    - Torres Strait Fisheries Act 1984
    - Offences against law of the Commonwealth, States and Territories arising from integrity operations
- 2.8 The additional offences do not carry minimum imprisonment penalties of at least three years imprisonment but either:
  - a) carry pecuniary penalties that are the equivalent of imprisonment terms of at least three years, or
  - b) are included in the SD Act because they are often indicative of more serious criminal conduct.

2.9 The use of surveillance devices is also available to assist in the safe recovery of a child who is the subject of a recovery order or an order for a warrant of apprehension or detention of a child. An example is where a child has been unlawfully removed from Australia to another country.

#### Surveillance device warrants

- 2.10 The SD Act provides that an eligible Judge or a nominated Administrative Appeals Tribunal (AAT) member may issue a surveillance devices warrant.
- 2.11 An 'eligible Judge' is a Judge who has consented in writing and been declared by the Attorney-General to be an eligible Judge. During the reporting period eligible Judges included members of:
  - the Family Court of Australia
  - the Federal Court, and
  - the Federal Magistrates Court.
- 2.12 A 'nominated AAT member' refers to a Deputy President, senior member or member of the AAT who has been nominated by the Attorney-General to issue surveillance device warrants.
- 2.13 In the case of part-time senior members and members of the AAT, the member must have been enrolled as a legal practitioner of the High Court, Federal Court or Supreme Court of a State or Territory for no less than five years to be eligible for nomination to issue surveillance device warrants.
- 2.14 The total number of eligible Judges and nominated AAT members available in the reporting period is presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Availability of Federal Court Judges, Family Court Judges, nominated AAT Members and Federal Magistrates to issue warrants

ISSUER	NU	MBER ELIGIE	BLE
ISSUER	10/11	11/12	12/13
<b>Nominated AAT Members</b>	46	38	39
Family Court Judges	8	3	10
Federal Court Judges	8	8	10
Federal Magistrates	36	34	37
Total	98	83	96

#### Form of warrant

- 2.15 Generally, an application for a warrant must be in writing and be accompanied by an affidavit setting out the grounds on which the warrant is sought. However, in urgent circumstances, applications may be made by telephone. In either case, the warrant takes effect only when completed and signed by the Judge or nominated AAT member.
- 2.16 In urgent circumstances a law enforcement officer may make an application for a warrant before making or swearing the supporting affidavit. The law enforcement officer must supply the eligible Judge or nominated AAT member with as much information as the eligible Judge or nominated AAT member considers is reasonably practical in the circumstances. The law enforcement officer must then supply the eligible Judge or nominated AAT member with an affidavit within 72 hours of the application being made, irrespective of whether the eligible Judge or nominated AAT member issues the warrant.
- 2.17 A warrant takes effect when it is issued and expires on the date specified in it, being no more than 90 days from the date it is issued, unless it is revoked earlier or extended. A warrant may be extended or varied by an eligible Judge or nominated AAT member if he or she is satisfied that the grounds on which the warrant was issued still exist.

#### Use of surveillance devices without warrant

Use of surveillance devices without a warrant – emergency circumstances

- 2.18 Where special circumstances of urgency exist, a member of an agency at Senior Executive Service (SES) level or above may issue an emergency authorisation enabling the use of surveillance devices without a warrant.
- 2.19 An emergency authorisation may only be issued when urgent circumstances exist and:
  - there is a serious risk to a person or property
  - it is necessary to assist in the recovery of a child who is subject to a recovery order, or
  - there is a risk of loss of evidence for certain serious offences such as drug offences, terrorism, espionage, sexual servitude and aggravated people smuggling.
- 2.20 The use of a surveillance device under such an authorisation must be retrospectively approved by a Judge or AAT member within 48 hours of the authorisation being issued.

Use of surveillance devices without a warrant – investigation purposes

- 2.21 Optical surveillance devices may be used without a warrant where the device can be installed and retrieved without either entering premises or interfering with the interior of a vehicle or thing without permission.
- 2.22 In limited circumstances, listening devices may be used without a warrant by a law enforcement officer who is a party to the conversation being recorded or is included in a class or group of persons whom the speaker of the words intends will, or should reasonably expect would, hear the conversation.
- 2.23 A tracking device authorisation may be issued by a senior member of the agency at least SES level or above where the use of that device does not involve either entering premises or interfering with the interior of a vehicle or thing without permission. A tracking device authorisation may only be issued in relation to the same purposes for which surveillance device warrants may be issued.

Use of surveillance devices outside Australia

- 2.24 The SD Act allows for the use of surveillance devices in the investigation of Commonwealth offences occurring outside Australia. With the exception of the investigation of certain offences in Australia's contiguous and fishing zones, one of the following must apply before the surveillance device can be used:
  - the consent of an appropriate official of the foreign country must be obtained, and
  - if surveillance is occurring on a vessel or aircraft, consent must be obtained from the country of registration of the vessel or aircraft.

#### Use of the information obtained

- 2.25 The SD Act establishes a strict regime to regulate the use, communication and disclosure of information obtained from the use of surveillance devices. As a general rule, all information obtained under a surveillance device and all information relating to the existence of a surveillance device warrant is 'protected information' and may only be used for the express purposes set out in the SD Act.
- 2.26 These purposes include:
  - the investigation and prosecution of relevant offences, including but not limited to the offence for which the surveillance device was originally used
  - information sharing with national security agencies
  - disciplinary proceedings for public officers, and
  - the provision of mutual assistance to other countries.

2.27 These strict purposes strike the appropriate balance between protecting the privacy of the information obtained and providing appropriate mechanisms for sharing information within Australia's law enforcement community.

# **Accountability provisions**

- 2.28 The SD Act establishes a rigorous reporting and inspection regime which allows the Ombudsman, the Attorney-General and the Parliament to scrutinise the exercise of powers under the SD Act.
- 2.29 All LEAs using the SD Act are required to maintain records relating to the use of surveillance devices and the use of surveillance product. All LEAs must maintain a register of warrants recording details of all warrants and must provide a report on each warrant or authorisation issued under the SD Act to the Attorney-General.

# Inspections and reports by the Ombudsman

- 2.30 The Commonwealth Ombudsman is required to inspect the records of LEAs to ensure compliance with the SD Act.
- 2.31 The Ombudsman must make a written report to the Attorney-General at six monthly intervals on the results of each inspection. The Attorney-General must table this report in Parliament.

# **Annual Report tabled by the Attorney-General**

2.32 The SD Act requires that the Attorney-General table in Parliament a report setting out the information required by section 50 each year. Chapter 4 of this report contains the information required to be presented under the SD Act.

## CHAPTER 3 – DEVELOPMENTS IN THE REPORTING PERIOD

3.1 This chapter sets out the principal legislative developments and judicial decisions relating to the SD Act which occurred during the reporting period.

# Recent legislative and policy developments

Law Enforcement Integrity Legislation Amendment Act 2012

- 3.2 The Law Enforcement Integrity Legislation Amendment Act 2012 amended the SD Act and other relevant legislation to introduce targeted integrity testing for staff members of the AFP, ACC and Australian Customs and Border Protection Service suspected of corrupt conduct. Integrity tests are operations designed to test whether a public official will respond to a simulated or controlled situation in a manner that is illegal or would contravene an agency's standard of integrity. The introduction of integrity testing was recommended by the Parliamentary Joint Committee on the Australian Commission for Law Enforcement Integrity.
- 3.3 The amendments to the SD Act allow an AFP, ACC or ACLEI officer to apply for a surveillance device warrant to record and monitor an integrity testing operation using a surveillance device. This is subject to the existing reporting and oversight requirements contained in the SD Act for other forms of warrant.
- 3.4 The amendments came into force on 13 December 2012.

#### **Judicial decisions**

3.5 No significant judicial decisions relevant to the SD Act were handed down during the reporting period.

## Effectiveness of surveillance

- 3.6 While State and Territory law enforcement agencies generally rely on their own legislative regimes for the use of surveillance devices, agencies can make use of the SD Act when investigating a Commonwealth matter or during a joint operation.
- 3.7 During the reporting period the use of warrants under the SD Act continue to prove useful to law enforcement agencies, with an overall increased reported in their use. These results are consistent with operational activities.

# CHAPTER 4 – INFORMATION REQUIRED UNDER THE ACT

# The information required

- 4.1 The annual reporting requirements of the SD Act are set out in section 50 of the Act, which provides that this report must include information on:
  - (a) the number of applications for warrants made and the number of warrants issued (paragraph 50(1)(a)) in respect of each different kind of surveillance device (subsection 50(2))
  - (aa) the number of mutual assistance applications made by or on behalf of, and the number of warrants issued as a result of such applications to, law enforcement officers of the agency during that year (paragraph 50(1)(aa))
  - (b) the number of applications for emergency authorisations made and the number of emergency authorisations given (paragraph 50(1)(b)) in respect of each different kind of surveillance device (subsection 50(2))
  - (c) the number of applications for tracking device authorisations made and the number of tracking device authorisations given (paragraph 50(1)(c))
  - (d) the number of remote applications for warrants made (paragraph 50(1)(d))
  - (e) the number of warrants, emergency authorisations or tracking authorisations refused (paragraph 50(1)(e)) and reasons for refusal
  - (ea) the number of mutual assistance applications made by or on behalf of law enforcement officers of the agency that were refused during that year, and the reasons for refusal (paragraph 50(1)(ea))
  - (f) the number of applications for extensions of warrants made, the number of extensions granted and the number of extensions refused, as well as the reasons why they were granted or refused (paragraph 50(1)(f))
  - (g) the number of arrests made wholly or partly on the basis of information obtained under a warrant, emergency authorisation or tracking device authorisation (paragraph 50(1)(g))
  - (h) the number of instances in which the location and safe recovery of a child, to whom a recovery order related, was assisted wholly or partly on the basis of information obtained under a warrant, emergency authorisation or tracking device authorisation (paragraph 50(1)(h))
  - (i) the number of prosecutions commenced in which information obtained under a warrant, emergency authorisation or tracking device authorisation was given in evidence and the number of prosecutions which resulted in convictions (paragraph 50(1)(i))
  - (ia) for each offence (the *foreign offence*) against a law of a foreign country in respect of which a warrant was issued as a result of a mutual assistance application made by or on behalf of law enforcement officers of the agency

- during the year—the offence (if any), under a law of the Commonwealth, or of a State or a Territory, that is of the same nature as, or a substantially similar nature to, the foreign offence, and
- (j) any other information relating to the use of surveillance devices and the administration of the SD Act that the Minister considers appropriate (paragraph 50(1)(j)).

The SD Act requires the chief officer of each LEA to submit an annual report to the Attorney-General as soon as possible after the end of each financial year and in any event within three months after the end of the financial year. These reports are compiled into this single report.

# Surveillance device warrants

Applications for surveillance device warrants

- 4.2 Paragraph 50(1)(a) of the SD Act provides that this report must set out the number of applications for warrants made and the number of warrants issued during the reporting period. Subsection 50(2) further requires that the report set out a breakdown of these numbers in respect of each different kind of surveillance device.
- 4.3 This information is presented in Table 2.

**Table 2: Number of warrants issued** 

AGENCY		CO	COMPOSITE	3 E	OP	TICAL	٦	LIST	LISTENING	<u>5</u>	70	DATA		rAC	TRACKING	<u> </u>	RETRIEVAL	VAL	_	TOTAL	
		10/11	11/12	10/11 11/12 12/13 10/11 1	10/11	1/12	12/13	10/11 1	11/12 13	12/13	10/11 11/12		′13 10,	12/13 10/11 11/12	12 12/13	3 10/11	1 11/12	12/13		10/11 11/12	12/13
	Made	172	129	164							2	i	` ,		•	~	2	2	179	131	166
ACC	Refused	ı					,					1			•	1	•	•	1	1	1
	Issued	172	129	164		,			,		2			<u>_</u>	•	_	2	2	179	131	166
	Made		7	4												'		2	,	7	9
ACLEI	Refused		•				,			,					•	'	٠	•	1	ı	ı
	Issued	ı	7	4		,	,		,	1					•	,	•	2	1	7	9
	Made	359	471	520	_	4	2	9	4	12		2	2	5 7	9	37	13	19	408	502	561
AFP	Refused	2	9	4			-				1			'	•	'	•	1	2	9	4
	Issued	357	465	516	<del></del>	4	7	9	4	12		7	2	5 7	9	37	13	19	406	496	557
	Made			2												'	•		,	_	2
CMC	Refused	1	1	1	1	1	1		1		1				1	1	1	1	ı	ı	ı
	Issued		•	2				,						, _	1	'	1	•	ı	_	2
	Made	1						_							•	•	,	•	_		1
NSW Police	Refused	1						,							•	•	1		ı	1	1
	Issued		ı				1	-			1				1	-	1	•	_		ı
	Made	,		,		,		,	,	<b>,</b> —		, , ,	8	9 -	'	'	,	'	,	9	4
SA Police	Refused	1													•	•	•	•	1	ı	ı
)  -  -	Issued	1	•	1	,	ı	1	1	ı	<u></u>	1	,	٠ د	9	1	•	1	ı	ı	9	4
	Made	2	1	2	1	,	1		ı		1				1	'	,	1	2	_	2
VIC Police	Refused				1	,	1		,	1	1	·			•	'	1	•	'	ı	ı
	Issued	2		2		,	-			-	-			- 1	-	•	•	•	2	1	2
	Made	531	209	692	1	4	2	7	4	13	2	2	2	6 15	9 9	38	15	23	290	648	741
TOTAL	Refused	2	9	4			ı	ı						'	ı	'	1	1	7	9	4
	Issued	529	601	889	<del>-</del>	4	7	7	4	13	2	2	2	6 15	9 2	38	15	23	288	642	737
		_		•																	

Applications for surveillance device warrants made for a mutual assistance investigation

- 4.4 Section 14(3A) of the SD Act provides that a law enforcement officer (or another person on the officer's behalf) may apply for a warrant when they are acting under the authority of a *mutual assistance authorisation*. The Attorney-General may issue *mutual assistance authorisations* under section 15(CA) of the *Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act 1987*.
- 4.5 Section 15(CA) of the *Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act 1987* provides that the Attorney-General can issue a *mutual assistance authorisation* if they are satisfied of the following:
  - A foreign country has requested that the Attorney-General arrange for the use of a surveillance device;
  - There is an investigation underway in the requesting foreign country into a criminal matter involving an offence against the law of that foreign country that is punishable by a maximum penalty of imprisonment for 3 years or more, imprisonment for life or the death penalty; and
  - The requesting foreign country has given undertakings regarding:
    - o The information obtained via the use of surveillance devices only being used for the purposes for which it is communicated to the foreign country;
    - o The destruction of the information obtained by the surveillance device; and

Any other matter the Attorney-General considers appropriate.

- 4.6 Paragraph 50(1)(aa) of the SD Act provides that this report must set out the number of applications for warrants made and the number of warrants issued pursuant to a mutual assistance application. Paragraph 50(1)(ea) further provides that the report must include the number of mutual assistance applications that were refused during the reporting period, and provide the reasons why the applications were refused.
- 4.7 Where a surveillance device warrant was issued for a mutual assistance investigation, Paragraph 50(1)(ia) of the SD Act requires that the this report list the offence (if any) under a law of the Commonwealth, States or Territories that is of the same or substantially similar nature as the foreign offence being investigated under that surveillance device warrant.
- 4.8 In the reporting period, no applications for a warrant for a mutual assistance investigation under the SD Act were made.

### Remote applications for surveillance device warrants

- 4.9 Section 15 of the SD Act permits an application for a warrant to be made by telephone, fax, email or other means of communication if the law enforcement officer believes that it is impracticable to make the application in person. Paragraph 50(1)(d) of the SD Act provides that this report must set out the number of remote applications made during the reporting period.
- 4.10 In the reporting period, no remote applications for a warrant under the SD Act were made.

# Extension applications for surveillance device warrants

- 4.11 Section 19 of the SD Act provides that the law enforcement officer to whom the warrant was issued (or another person on the officer's behalf) may apply for an extension of the warrant for a period not exceeding 90 days after its original expiry date. This application may be made at any time before the expiry of the warrant.
- 4.12 Paragraph 50(1)(f) of the SD Act provides that this report must set out the number of applications for the extension of a warrant that were made, the number of extensions granted and the number of extensions refused during the reporting period. This information is presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Number of applications for extension of a warrant

AGENCY		APPLICATIONS				
AGENCY		10/11	11/12	12/13		
	Made	69	36	33		
ACC	Refused	-	-	-		
	Issued	69	36	33		
	Made	-	5	6		
ACLEI	Refused	-	-	-		
	Issued	-	5	6		
	Made	64	69	77		
AFP	Refused	-	-	-		
	Issued	64	69	77		
	Made	1	-	-		
NSW Police	Refused	-	-	-		
	Issued	1	-	-		
	Made	134	110	116		
Total	Refused	-	-	-		
	Issued	134	110	116		

# **Emergency authorisations**

- 4.13 Law enforcement officers may apply to an appropriate authorising officer for an emergency authorisation to use a surveillance device in cases of serious risk to person or property (section 28), urgent circumstances relating to a child recovery order (section 29) or where there is a risk of loss of evidence (section 30). Within 48 hours of giving an emergency authorisation, the authorising officer (or another person on the officer's behalf) must apply for approval of the giving of the emergency authorisation from an eligible Judge or nominated AAT member.
- 4.14 Paragraph 50(1)(b) provides that this report must set out the number of applications for emergency authorisations made and the number of emergency authorisations given. Subsection 50(2) further provides that the report set out a breakdown of these numbers in respect of each different kind of surveillance device.
- 4.15 Agencies advised that there were no emergency authorisations during the reporting period. Information reported in previous years is presented in Table 4.

**Table 4: Number of emergency authorisations** 

	AGENCY		AUTHORISATIONS				
	AGENCI		10/11	11/12	12/13		
		Made	3	2	-		
AFP		Refused	-	-	-		
		Issued	3	2	-		
		Made	3	2	-		
Total		Refused	-	-	-		
		Issued	3	2	-		

## Tracking device authorisations

- 4.16 In limited circumstances, the SD Act permits a law enforcement officer to use a tracking device without a warrant in the investigation of a relevant offence where the officer has the written permission of an appropriate authorising officer.
- 4.17 Subsections 39(1) and (3) permit a law enforcement officer to use a tracking device with authorisation in the investigation of a relevant offence and in the location and safe recovery of a child to whom a recovery order relates.
- 4.18 Paragraph 50(1)(c) provides that this report must set out the number of applications for tracking device authorisations made and the number of tracking device authorisations given.
- 4.19 The required information is presented in Table 5.

Table 5: Number of applications for tracking device

AGEN	CY		KING DI ORISAT		TRACKING DEVICE RETRIEVAL		
		10/11	11/12	12/13	10/11	11/12	12/13
	Made	17	9	10	-	-	-
ACC	Refused	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Issued	17	9	10	-	-	-
	Made	54	54	56	-	1	1
AFP	Refused	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Issued	54	54	56	-	1	1
	Made	-	1	-	-	-	-
VIC Police	Refused	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Issued	-	1	-	-	-	-
	Made	71	64	66	-	1	1
Total	Refused	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Issued	71	64	66	-	1	1

#### Effectiveness of surveillance devices

- 4.20 Section 50 of the SD Act provides that this report must set out the number of arrests, prosecutions and convictions, together with the number of locations and safe recoveries of children, on the basis of information obtained using surveillance devices. Collectively, this information provides an indication of the effectiveness of the use of surveillance devices as a law enforcement investigative tool.
- 4.21 Paragraph 50(1)(g) provides that this report must set out the number of arrests made wholly or partly on the basis of information obtained under a warrant, emergency authorisation or tracking device authorisation. Paragraph 50(1)(i) provides that this report set out the number of prosecutions commenced in which information obtained under a warrant, emergency authorisation or tracking device authorisation was given in evidence and the number of convictions.
- 4.22 Paragraph 50(1)(h) provides that this report must set out the number of instances in which the location and safe recovery of a child, to whom a recovery order related, was assisted wholly or partly on the basis of information obtained under a warrant, emergency authorisation or tracking device authorisation.
- 4.23 This information is presented in Table 6.

140 121 120 102 100 80 50 60 27 40 20 0 ACC **AFP** VIC Police ■ ARRESTS ■ PROSECUTIONS ■ CONVICTIONS ■ SAFE RECOVERY

Figure 1: Number of arrests, prosecutions and convictions

## Interpretive note

- 4.24 The statistics presented in Figure 6 should be interpreted with caution, particularly in presuming a relationship between the number of arrests, prosecutions (which include committal proceedings) and convictions in a reporting period. An arrest recorded in one reporting period may not result in a prosecution/committal (if at all) until a later reporting period and any resulting conviction may be recorded in that or an even later reporting period. Moreover, the number of arrests may not equate to the number of charges laid (some or all of which may be prosecuted at a later time) as an arrested person may be prosecuted and convicted for a number of offences.
- 4.25 Further, the table may understate the effectiveness of the use of surveillance devices as, in some cases, prosecutions may be initiated and convictions recorded without the need to give information obtained through the use of a surveillance device in evidence. In particular, agencies report that the use of surveillance devices effectively enables investigators to identify persons involved in, and the infrastructure of, organised criminal activities. In many cases, the weight of evidence obtained through the use of a surveillance device results in defendants entering guilty pleas, thereby obviating the need for the information to be introduced into evidence.

# **CHAPTER 5 – FURTHER INFORMATION**

5.1 Further information of the *Surveillance Devices Act 2004* can be obtained by contacting the Attorney-General's Department:

Telecommunications and Surveillance Law Branch Attorney-General's Department 3-5 National Circuit BARTON ACT 2600

Telephone: (02) 6141 2900

5.2 Also previous copies of the *Surveillance Devices Act 2004* Annual Report can be accessed online at: www.ag.gov.au

# **APPENDIX A: LIST OF TABLES & FIGURES**

<u>TABLES</u>	
<b>Table 1</b> : Availability of federal court judges, family court judges, nominated	
aat members and federal magistrates to issue warrants	6
Table 2: Number of warrants issued	13
<b>Table 3:</b> Number of applications for extension of a warrant	15
Table 4: Number of emergency authorisations	16
Table 5: Number of applications for tracking device	17
FIGURES	
Figure 1: Number of arrests, prosecutions and convictions	18

# **APPENDIX B: ABBREVIATIONS**

AAT Administrative Appeals Tribunal

ACLEI Australian Commission for Law Enforcement Integrity

ACC Australian Crime Commission

AFP Australian Federal Police

CCC WA Corruption and Crime Commission – Western Australia

CMC QLD Crime and Misconduct Commission (Queensland)

LEA Law enforcement agency

NSW Police New South Wales Police Force

SA Police South Australian Police

SD Act Surveillance Devices Act 2004

SES Senior Executive Service

VIC Police Victoria Police