

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) "; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) ; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
 s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
 s. 22(1)(a)(ii) [ABF Strategy](#)
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) s. 22(1)(a)(ii) [Britt RYAN](#)
Subject: RE: s. 47C(1) [SEC=PROTECTED, CAVEAT=SH:CABINET]
Date: Monday, 27 October 2025 10:12:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[ATTACH B Data collection - Home Affairs Portfolio.xlsx](#)

PROTECTED//CABINET

Good morning colleagues,

s. 47C(1)

s. 47C(1)

My ask: Could you please review the proposed spreadsheet attached and provide any red lines by COB Thursday 30 October 2025.

s. 22(1)(a)(ii), appreciate your advice on where to best direct this in NEMA. [@acicstrategicpolicy](#), appreciate your advice on whether Australian Institute of Criminology has a separate team requiring consult.

I appreciate the scale and vagueness of the request – please feel free to reach out to me if I can be of assistance in reviewing the attached.

Warm regards,

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

(he/his)
Director, Critical & Emerging Technology Security Policy
Technology Security Policy Branch | Counter Foreign Interference, Cyber and Technology Division
Department of Home Affairs
s. 22(1)(a)(ii) [@homeaffairs.gov.au](mailto:s.22(1)(a)(ii)@homeaffairs.gov.au)

PROTECTED//CABINET

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Sent: Friday, 24 October 2025 4:14 PM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
s. 22(1)(a)(ii) Britt RYAN
s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @homeaffairs.gov.au>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @HOMEAFFAIRS.GOV.AU>;
s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: s. 47C(1) [SEC=PROTECTED, CAVEAT=SH:CABINET]

PROTECTED//CABINET

Good afternoon colleagues

s. 34(3)
s. 47C(1)
s. 47C(1)
s. 47C(1)
s. 47C(1)
s. 47C(1)

Timing

Your input is sought no later than **12.00pm, Friday, 31 October.**

Context

This information-gathering exercise will produce a map of portfolio responsibilities as at October 2025, identifying who may be responsible for known AI harms depending on the nature of the harm and the stakeholders involved. We acknowledge that this mapping will not comprehensively capture all government responsibilities that interact with AI, nor all reviews and reforms with AI implications across portfolios. We also recognise the limited timeframe and note the opportunity to build on this initial mapping exercise over time. Defence and national security matters have been considered in terms of harm impacts, to enable flexibility for relevant agencies in preparing their input.

Instructions

Portfolio leads are responsible for coordinating and capturing relevant information across their portfolio, including from regulators.

- A first edition taxonomy has been provided to standardise the interpretation of harms across government (**Attachment A**). We have engaged with portfolios to develop this taxonomy.
 - This taxonomy will evolve over time as we observe actualised harms presenting domestically and internationally.
- Populate the data collection template (**Attachment B**) with information about the harm types captured by your portfolio's remit – for each harm type, include a description or example, and provide details of the relevant legislation or regulation.
 - You may use multiple rows per harm to provide necessary context.
 - Subcategories are optional as their primary purpose are to assist portfolios in conceptualising the scope of each harm category.
- Indicate where a harm type or instrument is in scope for a current or planned review or reform.
- s. 47C(1)

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] s. 34(2)
[REDACTED]

Please reach out to s. 22(1)(a)(ii) or
s. 22(1)(a)(ii) with any questions.

I am more than happy to jump on a call and work with you on your approach. My EA s. 22(1)(a) can schedule time for us s. 22(1)(a)(ii).

Warm regards

s. 22(1)(a)

Technology & Digital Division

Ngunnawal Country, Industry House, 10 Binara Street (GPO Box 2013) Canberra ACT 2601
Australia

Department of Industry, Science and Resources

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

-

My working hours support my work / life integration ... please don't feel they should set a tone for yours



Acknowledgement of Country

Our department recognises the First Peoples of this nation and their ongoing connection to culture and country. We acknowledge First Nations Peoples as the Traditional Owners, Custodians and Lore Keepers of the world's oldest living culture and pay respects to their Elders past, present and emerging.



~~PROTECTED//CABINET~~

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
 To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
 Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
 Subject: s. 47C(1) [SEC-PROTECTED, CAVEAT-SH-CABINET]
 Date: Wednesday, 29 October 2025 12:15:32 PM
 Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)
[image002.jpg](#)
 s. 47C(1)

OFFICIAL
PROTECTED//CABINET

Good afternoon,

Thank you for your continued support for our work on the AI s. 47C(1)

To assist you responding to our input request, please find the attached work example which is designed to provide further clarity in relation to the information we are seeking.

Please note this example is not intended to set up a standardised way to responding to our request. The information provided should best represent your agency's circumstances (e.g. the AI harms identified).

Please feel free to reach out if you have any questions or concerns.

Kind regards,



s. 22(1)(a)(ii) | a/g Director, RegTech & Emerging Technology Team
 Regulation & Resilience Branch
 Risk, Claims and Regulatory Reform Division
 Department of Finance

s. 22(1)(a)(ii) [@finance.gov.au](#)

A: 1 Canberra Avenue, Forrest ACT 2603

I don't work on Thursdays

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
To:

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Subject: For reference: s. 47C(1) [SEC=PROTECTED, CAVEAT=SH:CABINET]

Date: Wednesday, 29 October 2025 2:51:09 PM

Attachments: [image003.jpg](#)
[image004.jpg](#)
[image005.jpg](#)
[image006.png](#)
[image007.png](#)
[image008.jpg](#)
[image009.jpg](#)
[image010.jpg](#)
[FAQs - s. 47C\(1\).docx](#)

PROTECTED//CABINET

Hi all,

Please see attached cleared FAQs for distribution to support teams providing input.

Thank you so much and as always get in touch if you have any questions,

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

AI Governance – Technology and Digital Policy Division

Department of Industry, Science and Resources

Ngunnawal Country, Level 13, Industry House, 10 Binara Street (GPO Box 2013),
Canberra City ACT 2601

s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @industry.gov.au

industry.gov.au ABN 74 599 608 295



Acknowledgement of Country

Our Agency recognises the First Peoples of this nation and their ongoing connection to culture and country. We acknowledge First Nations Peoples as the Traditional Owners, Custodians and Lore Keepers of the world's oldest living culture and pay respects to their Elders past, present and emerging.

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 47C(1) – Frequently Asked Questions

Key questions	
What is the purpose of this spreadsheet?	s. 47C(1) s. 47C(1) s. 47C(1), s. 34(2) s. 47C(1)
What kind of information should I provide?	Portfolios should use their discretion to determine which information is most relevant to include, taking into account short delivery timeframes. We recommend you start by listing known harms under your portfolio's remit, note where the harm sits in the taxonomy, and then identify your relevant regulations, and any reviews or reforms. In practice, this may include: • multiple rows, as a single 'harm' may have several different harm sub-types; • multiple regulations listed; • not listing all known harms if this is not feasible, particularly given the limited timeframe of this exercise. We ask that portfolios complete relevant columns of the table, acknowledging in some cases certain fields will not apply.
Who should complete this?	This is a decision for each portfolio, however there should only be one point of contact per portfolio who coordinates responses across the portfolio. Your consultation could include stakeholders who: • manage or are aware of AI harms impacting your portfolio; • are responsible for application of relevant legislation and regulation; and • are responsible strategic review and reform identification and action.

Harms taxonomy	
What constitutes an 'AI harm'?	An AI harm is an adverse outcome that arises directly or indirectly from the behaviour or outputs of artificial intelligence and affects individuals, institutions or society, whether intended or unintended. AI harms can be tangible (i.e. physical injury) or intangible (i.e. erosion of privacy). AI harms may be known novel harms associated with AI, or pre-existing harms which have been exacerbated by AI due to increased scale or severity
What's the difference between "AI Harm Type" and "Specific AI Harm"?	AI Harm Type: General category, e.g., Political, Cohesion, Rights Specific AI Harm: A sub-category of harm within the AI harm type (i.e. "Deepfakes used for online harassment")
Why are the harm type categories so broad?	This recognises that AI is a tool which is already being used across the economy and therefore harms that manifest from its use will also need to cover a broad spectrum of areas of impact. The AI Harm Types are less likely to change, whereas the specific types of AI harms will evolve over time alongside the technology and its use throughout Australian society.
What if the Harms Taxonomy doesn't translate well to issues my agency is responsible for?	s. 47C(1)
Information to include	
What if my agency is working on something but it's early stage?	s. 47C(1)

What counts as an "Instrument"?	Instruments include: • Legislation (Acts, amendments) • Regulations • Codes of Practice • Enforceable industry standards • Ministerial directions • Formal guidance with regulatory weight If your agency is responsible for any of these instruments and they relate to an AI harm, please include them.
Can we identify gaps or concerns?	This report is intended to provide government with visibility of how existing laws address AI-related risks, and is not a gap analysis. You are welcome to discuss your information around harms you consider not covered by existing regulation with DISR/Finance.
Should we include potential AI harms that are not yet realised?	The emphasis for the report is on 'what we know' today and actualised AI harms. Agencies are not expected to consider future AI harms. Where there is evidence of harm occurring overseas and agencies assess this to be relevant to Australia, this can be included with the caveat that the portfolio does not have knowledge that the harm is occurring in Australia.
Can we include risks or harms that are classified above Protected: Cabinet?	s. 47C(1)
Process	
Can I get an extension to complete this task?	s. 47C(1)
Will we have visibility of the final attachment before it goes to Cabinet?	We will work with portfolio leads over the course of the week as we design the report. It is expected that the format and process may need to adapt based on the information received.

PROTECTED: CABINET

I still have questions, what can I do?	Please reach out to S. 22(1)(a)(ii) with any questions.
---	--

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Cc: Peter ANSTEE; Britt RYAN; s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: s. 47C(1) [SEC=PROTECTED, CAVEAT=SH:CABINET]
Date: Friday, 31 October 2025 11:56:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[ATTACH B Data collection - Home Affairs Portfolio.xlsx](#)

PROTECTED//CABINET

Good morning s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Please find attached the consolidated response from the Home Affairs portfolio.

We have consulted ASIO, ABF, NEMA, AFP, AUSTRAC, AIC and the ACIC.

Our approach

The Home Affairs Portfolio's primary role is to make the nation more resilient for the future, safeguarding Australia's domestic interests from crises and threats to national security and border integrity—before, during and after emergencies and crises—while supporting a prosperous and united Australia through management of our migration and citizenship programs. The Portfolio seeks to ensure every member of our community—wherever they live—can go about their lives knowing they are safe and able to participate equally in contributing to our society. In that context, almost all harms could, depending on severity and fact scenario, trigger Portfolio equities.

s. 47C(1)

s. 47C(1)

Caveats

In considering the attached, please note the following caveats:

- The attached response is an indicative PROTECTED classification overview of potential interaction between DISR's taxonomy and the Home Affairs portfolio's responsibilities under the Administrative Arrangements Order. It should not be considered as exhaustive or authoritative.
- The response should not be relied upon in any other context, or used for any other briefing, without further consultation with the portfolio.
- The response has not been reviewed by legal or specialist teams and as such does not constitute legal advice or a gap analysis.
- The attached document should not be publicly released without prior consultation and approval from the portfolio.

As always, please let me know if you would like to discuss.

Regards,

s. 22(1)(a)(i)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Director, Critical & Emerging Technology Security Policy
Technology Security Policy Branch | Counter Foreign Interference, Cyber and Technology Division
Department of Home Affairs

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

[@homeaffairs.gov.au](mailto:)

PROTECTED//CABINET

s. 22(1)(a)(i)

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Cc: Peter ANSTEE; Britt RYAN; s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: RE: s. 47C(1) [SEC=PROTECTED, CAVEAT=SH:CABINET]
Date: Monday, 3 November 2025 5:15:03 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

PROTECTED//CABINET

Thanks s. 22(1)(a)(ii)! This is really helpful.

Best,

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Manager

Technology and Digital Policy Division | AI Governance Branch
 Department of Industry, Science and Resources

s. 22(1)(a)(ii) [@industry.gov.au](mailto:s.22(1)(a)(ii)@industry.gov.au)

PROTECTED//CABINET

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @HOMEAFFAIRS.GOV.AU>
Sent: Monday, 3 November 2025 1:46 PM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @industry.gov.au>
Cc: Peter ANSTEE s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @homeaffairs.gov.au>; Britt RYAN
 s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Subject: RE: s. 47C(1) [SEC=PROTECTED, CAVEAT=SH:CABINET]

PROTECTED//CABINET

CAUTION - This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

PROTECTED//CABINET

Hi s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Big thanks to the broader portfolio for shaping that one – looking forward to the report.

Just speaking for myself, some framing points could be:

- s. 47C(1) [redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
- [redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

As always, happy to discuss further.

Warm regards,

s. 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]

[redacted]
Director, Critical & Emerging Technology Security Policy
Technology Security Policy Branch | Counter Foreign Interference, Cyber and Technology Division
Department of Home Affairs
s. 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted] homeaffairs.gov.au

~~PROTECTED//CABINET~~

PROTECTED//CABINET

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted] [@industry.gov.au](mailto:[redacted]@industry.gov.au)
Sent: Monday, 3 November 2025 1:08 PM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted] [@HOMEAFFAIRS.GOV.AU](mailto:[redacted]@HOMEAFFAIRS.GOV.AU); s. 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted] [@industry.gov.au](mailto:[redacted]@industry.gov.au)
Cc: Peter ANSTEE s. 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted] [@homeaffairs.gov.au](mailto:[redacted]@homeaffairs.gov.au); Britt RYAN
s. 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]

s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @HOMEAFFAIRS.GOV.AU>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

@HOMEAFFAIRS.GOV.AU>

Subject: RE: S. 47C(1) [SEC=PROTECTED, CAVEAT=SH:CABINET]

PROTECTED//CABINET

Hi s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Huge thanks for input to the S. 47C(1) We are working on compiling the report today/tomorrow.

s. 47C(1)
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

Happy to discuss.

Best,

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

[Redacted]

Manager

Technology and Digital Policy Division | AI Governance Branch

Department of Industry, Science and Resources

s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @industry.gov.au

PROTECTED//CABINET

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)