INDEPENDENT HEALTH ADVICE PANEL

For Official Use Only

Minutes - between Independent Health Advice Panel and Department of Home Affairs

Date:	4 March 2019
Duto.	

Time: 11:30 - 12:15

Attendees

Organization	Attendees
Independent Health Advice Panel	Professor Brendan Murphy, Commonwealth Chief Medical Officer, Department of Health
	Dr Parbodh Gogna, Chief Medical Officer, Surgeon-General ABF, Department of Home Affairs
Department of Home Affairs	Ms Agnieszka Holland, A/g First Assistant Secretary, Health Services Policy and Child Wellbeing Division
	A/g Assistant Secretary, Immigration Health Branch (1) Director, IHAP Secretariat
Department of Health	Executive Officer to Professor Murphy

Outcomes

- The following is a record of IHAP meeting outcomes:
 The Panel agreed to adopt the draft Terms of Reference (ToR) until all required panel members are appointed.
 The Panel noted that a full comprehensive briefing would be appropriate when all required panel members are appointed.
 It was noted that IHAP Secretariat would advise the Panel of if changes to the ToR may be required flowing from changes to the policy settings.
 The Panel agreed that IHAP have a Chair.
 The Panel agreed that Dr Gogna would be the interim chair of IHAP and that it would be revisited when the panel was fully constituted. the panel was fully constituted. Depar
- The Panel agreed to be contacted by SMS and through GovTEAMS
- The Panel agreed to use a 'notice to obtain information form' and it was agreed that IHAP Secretariat would provide a draft for IHAP consideration
- The Panel agreed to request information through the IHAP Secretariat
- The Panel agreed to use the GovTEAMS platform to hold virtual meetings.
- The Panel agreed to hold all records on GovTEAMS.

Information Act 1982

of

Freedom

the

er nnd

²

eleased

- The Panel agreed to adopt the IHAP assessment template which incorporated feedback from the chair. The template would be pre-populated with the case details by the IHAP Secretariat.
- The Panel agreed that the Chair, Dr Gogna, would complete the assessment and email a copy to s22(1)(a)(ii)
- The Panel agreed that the IHAP Secretariat provide the assessment to the Minister directly through a ministerial submission.
- The Panel agreed to allocate two one hour time slots (7-8am and 7-8pm) in business days to conduct assessments.
- The Panel agreed that IHAP Secretariat create virtual meeting window for each case which is to be available for 60 hours through GovTEAMS.
- The Panel noted that the 72 hour assessment period is not confined to business days and includes weekends and public holidays

Panel Request

- The Panel requested the IHAP Secretariat provide guidance on how to facilitate a direct clinical
 assessment with the relevant transitory person, if it was required by videoconference or teleconference.
- The meeting concluded at approximately 12:15

Follow-up to action items (Panel Request)

- Acting Assistant Secretary, Services Management Branch confirmed the following:
 - the most appropriate clinicians with the most up-to-date information are those working on Nauru, in particular the SMO and psychiatrist,
 - the SMO and psychiatrist will be available for the morning IHAP meetings only due to clinical commitments and time zone differences,
 - contact can be made with the 'IHMS Assistance' number and IHMS staff can forward this call to the right individual who is on duty that day in Nauru,
 - the contact number for 'IHMS Assistance' is $\frac{s^{22}(1)(a)(ii)}{s^{22}}$

Released by Department of Home Affairs under the Freedom of Information Act 1982

For Official Use Only

Clinical Assessment

IHAP Referral Time:	04/04/2019 Time: 1620 hrs	Biodata details					
Date and time of Minister's refusal to	04/04/2019	Name:	s47F				
transfer decision:	Time: 1531 hrs	Date of birth:	s47F			Current location: PNG (Port Moresby)	
		Country of birth:	s47F		ID number: ^{s47F}		
Minister's decision attached:	No	HSP clinical summary: PIH Clinical Update - 30.		Treating Doc attached: Ye		-	
Additional medical re	cords: Yes	Medical updates - PIH Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely? Yes			otely?		
Initial Meeting 1900 hrs on Thursday 4 th April 2019 attended by all current Independent Health Advice Panel members: Professor Brendan Murphy, Dr Antonio Di Dio and Dr Parbodh Gogna (Panel Chair) Secretariat attending: ^{\$22(1)(a)(ii)} , Department of Home Affairs. ^{\$47F} has been referred to the Independent Health Advice Panel by the Minister for clinical assessment, following a notification having being received from two ^{\$47F} and a specialist emergency physician. ^{\$47F} has been diagnosed with:- ^{\$47F}							
Action Prior to IHAP making a formal decision it was agreed that: 1. Scope of \$47F services at PIH are elucidated. 2. Clarification that \$47F was successfully eradicated after \$47F 3. Hard copy of clinical record be delivered to Dr Di Dio on 5th April 2019 due to technical issues. Request sent to PIH 2100 hrs on 4th April by IHAP secretariat							
						Released under the	

FOI Document #2

Reply received from PIH 0930 hrs on 5 th April from ^{\$47F} Medical Director, PIH
Meeting scheduled for 1900 hrs on 5 th April
Second Meeting 1900 hrs on 5 th April 2019 attended by Prof Murphy, Dr Di Dio and Dr Gogna (Panel Chair)
Secretariat attending: ^{\$22(1)(a)(ii)} \$22(1)(a)(ii) \$22(
IHAP members acknowledged the document/reply from ^{\$47F} (PIH) and also <i>Health Capability and Capacity in RPCs</i> document provided by the Secretariat at 1731 hrs on 5 th April 2019.
The IHAP members noted an inconsistency in the initial response from PIH and the <i>Health Capability and Capacity in RPCs</i> document provided by the Department. According to PIH, the ^{\$47F} opens in two weeks' time; however, the departmental information noted that the full suite of services to be delivered by the PIH are on track and due to be implemented by Monday 8 April 2019, including involuntary admissions.
 The Panel noted that ^{\$47F} requires very intense and highly specialised care. A significant clinical document library (135 pages) wrt to ^{\$47F} is listed and has been reviewed. conditions (access to ^{\$47F} at a unit able to provide that). There was uncertainty with the Medical Officer of the Commonwealth (MOC) report in terms of what services were available in PNG with regard to voluntary ^{\$47F} and involuntary ^{\$47F} at the facility which is on track to be implemented in PIH should not be ruled out for future cases; however, it is uncertain if it would be a suitable environment for ^{\$47F} at the beginning of its implementation. It is not clear if the new facility will be fully operational from the day it opens. All three IHAP members recommended the transfer be approved.
Action
 The Panel expressed that when IHAP is fully established, a member with Mental Health expertise should perform a visit to PNG to ensure scope/ capabilities of Clinical /Mental health services. IHAP watching brief. Pending a visit to PNG, the Panel expressed interest in undertaking a teleconference with the Medical Director of PIH, and preferably a video Skype session so as to demonstrate services via a virtual tour of the facilities. Timeframe 2 weeks. Update on when mental health services are fully operational (consistent with expected timelines). Secretariat to provide.
Affi
IHAP recommendations: All three IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that ^{s47F} transfer to Australia for medical treatment should be approved . This recommendation was based on the following reasons:
 state of the case. state of the case.
2. Uncertainty around the timing of services being available in PNG wrt ^{\$47F} services
 Concerns regarding whether the new ^{\$47F} facility has the required complex care / practitioners to the clinical needs of ^{\$47F}, given it is still being set up. IHAP would welcome an update on the facilities at PIH as they are commissioned and fully operational.
4. Management of ^{\$47F} and confirmation of ongoing ^{\$47F} .
Meeting closed at 1915 hrs and the Chair formally thanked the Secretariat for its assistance.
Relea

Document Library reviewed:					
Letter from National Justice Project	t (5 pages)				
Treating Doctors' Referrals (31 pag	jes)				
● S47F					
● s47F					
● s47F					
PIH document 1 – POM Records -	2.4.19 - (60 p	ages)			
PIH document 2 – ^{s47F} Report - 6	6.3.19 (1 page)			
PIH document 3 – Treatment Refus	sal - 25.2.19 (1 page)			
PIH document 4 – POM Records -	2.4.19 (6 pag	es)			
PIH document 5 – Medical Update	- 18.3.19 (1 p	age)			
PIH document 6 – Medical Update	- 8.3.19 (1 pa	ge)			
PIH document 7 – Medical Report -	· 6.3.19 (1 pa	ge)			
PIH document 8 – Medical Update	- 15.3.19 (1 p	age)			
PIH document 9 – Clinical Update ·	· 30.3.19 (1 pa	age)			
PIH document 10 – Lab Results - 2	.4.19 (7 page	s)			
PIH document 11 – Medical Report	t - 6.3.19 (1 pa	age)			
PIH response to IHAP for additiona	I information ((2 pages)			
ABF Client Brief (4 pages)					
MOC Opinion dated 30.4.19 (2 pag	les)				
Departmental brief – Health Capab	ility and Capa	city – Nauru and PNG, 5 April 2019 (10 pa	ages)		
Panel members assessing:		Dr Parbodh Gogna(Chair)			
		Professor Brendan Murphy			
		Dr Antonio Di Dio		22	
				airs 198	
			Majority:	Affa	
		The Minister's refusal is confirmed:	Three out of thre	le A n A	
IHAP Majority recommend	ation:	No	members	Home ation	
	05/04/0040		40451	44	
Date of IHAP recommendation:	05/04/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	1915 hrs	men of In	
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)?	No	Meeting outcomes recorded:	No	epart dom	
				D	
				by Fr	
				sed	
				eased ler the	
				Rel	
ILLAD Clinical Accomment					

INDEPENDENT HEALTH ADVICE PANEL

For Official Use Only

Minutes – IHAP Meeting 29th April 2019

Location:	Teleconference
LUCATION.	I EIECUITIETETICE

Time: Start 19:00 - Finish 20:00

Attendees

Organization	Attendees		
Independent Health Advice Panel	Dr Parbodh Gogna, Chief Medical Officer, Surgeon-General ABF, Department of Home Affairs (Chair)		
	Professor Brendan Murphy, Commonwealth Chief Medical Officer, Department of Health		
	Professor Paul Kelly Acting Commonwealth Chief Medical Officer		
	Dr Antonio Di Dio, nominee from the Australian Medical Association		
	A/Professor Susan Moloney, nominee from the Royal Australasian College of Physicians and expert in paediatric health		
Department of Home Affairs	A/g Assistant Secretary, Immigration Health Branch		
	Director, IHAP Secretariat		
	s22(1)(a)(ii) Assistant Director IHAP Secretariat		
Apologies	Nil		
Agenda	ffairs		
Agenda Item 1			
Medical Officer, Professor Pa	IAP member, Professor Susan Maloney, and acting Commonwealth Chief ul Kelly. (A full complement of currently constituted members eligible to attend ing) and existing members to the meeting.		
• s22(1)(a)(ii) requested biographies from Professor Maloney and Dr Di Dio which will be uploaded GovTEAMS, when provided.			

was completed Agenda Item 2 and 3 Minutes of the previous meeting: Clinical Assessment of s47F on the 4th of April 2019:-This record was accepted as true and accurate by the three IHAP members in Released by Depa attendance at that meeting.

of

Freedom

under the

Actions Arising

It was agreed that a separate Actions tracker be attached to these minutes so as to monitor completed and active actions arising.

- The IHAP reviewed the 4 April 2019 action items and sought an update from ^{\$22(1)(a)(ii)}. IHAP agreed to note the update in the IHAP Meeting Action Item Tracker (also be available on GovTEAMS).
- Dr Gogna, as Chair, provided an overview of the IHAP reporting required and confirmed that two reports are required.
- The first report should consist of an assessment that covers:
 - the physical and mental health conditions of transitory persons in regional processing countries, and
 - the standards of health services provided to transitory persons in regional processing countries.
- Professor Murphy suggested that the first report should be an interim report until all members are appointed and some IHAP members have visited the regional processing countries. Other IHAP members supported this approach.
- There was acknowledgement of the Department's policy to fund travel for two IHAP members to the regional processing countries twice a year (one to each location). The IHAP noted the IHAP Secretariat's role to support and facilitate the travel. The current members of IHAP as of 29 April 2019, agreed that the visit schedule is finalised prior to the 30 June 2019.
- In addition to the first report, the IHAP must, as soon as practicable after 31 March, 30 June, 30 September and 31 December in each year, prepare and give to the Minister a report on its operations during the three month period that ended on that day.
- s22(1)(a)(ii) clarified that the Minister must cause a summary of each report to be laid before each House of the Parliament within 3 sitting days of that House after the report is given to the Minister.
- Dr Gogna provided an overview of the reporting requirements as outlined in 199C (d) of the Migration Act 1958 which is to assess the adequacy of health services and support provided to transitory persons in regional processing countries.
- The IHAP requested the Chair, Dr Gogna, to develop the draft first report assessment and the quarterly report templates that are to be provided to IHAP members on Saturday, 4 May, for comment.
- A/Prof Moloney noted the need to see sophisticated data on transitory persons in order to monitor their health. IHAP agreed that they need PNG and Nauru data on persons in order to monitor and manage their 💬 health care. It was also noted that regular comprehensive information on transitory persons should be provided which includes age, gender, employment/financial assistance, medical conditions, medication security, family support, location, clinical issues, evidence of transfer to alternative sites when health $\overline{<}$ services do not have scope to deal with the clinical issue and resettlement programme data. In addition to conthese items, it was noted that regular information on health capability and capacity for PNG and Nauru S should be provided to IHAP. It was also noted that IHAP should be informed of obvious gaps in health 😳 services and any recommendations made on health care in regional processing countries.
- IHAP requested the Chair, Dr Gogna, to complete the formal request for information to the Secretary that outlines the documents and data required to monitor transitory persons in relation to their physical and mental health. The IHAP also requested the information and data which allows them to ascertain the O standard of health care in regional processing countries. Dr Gogna agreed to develop a list of documents eedo 0 and data required and to formally request this information from the Secretary.
- The IHAP agreed to a virtual tour of PIH to take place from 7am-8am AEST on 3 May 2019 using the GovTEAMS platform, if possible. The IHAP Secretariat is to arrange and confirm this tour by 2 May 2019:
- The meeting concluded at 20:00

the

eleased ē

Action items

- 1. Professor Moloney and Dr Di Dio to provide their biographies to the IHAP Secretariat.
- 2. Dr Gogna to complete the formal request for information to the Secretary that outlines the documents and data required to monitor transitory persons in relation to their physical and mental health. The IHAP also requested the information and data which allows them to ascertain the standard of health care in regional processing countries.
- **3.** Dr Gogna to develop the draft assessment and quarterly report templates that are to be provided to IHAP members on Saturday, 4 May, for comment.
- **4.** IHAP Secretariat to arrange a second IHAP reporting meeting from 7-8pm on Monday, 6 May, to discuss the report templates.
- 5. IHAP Secretariat to arrange a virtual tour of PIH from 7-8am on Friday, 3 May, if possible.
- 6. IHAP agreed that the visit schedule to RPC's be finalised prior to the 30 June 2019.

Released by Department of Home Affairs under the Freedom of Information Act 1982

INDEPENDENT HEALTH ADVICE PANEL

For Official Use Only

IHAP Meeting Minutes – 6 May 2019

Date: 6 May 2019

Time: 19:00-20:00

Virtual meeting held via GovTEAMs

Attendees

Organization	Attendees		
Independent Health Advice Panel	Dr Parbodh Gogna, Chief Medical Officer, Surgeon-General ABF, Department of Home Affairs, IHAP Chair		
	Professor Brendan Murphy, Commonwealth Chief Medical Officer, Department of Health, IHAP member		
	Dr Antonio Di Dio, Australian Medical Association, IHAP member		
	A/Professor Susan Moloney, Royal Australasian College of Physicians and expert in paediatric health, IHAP member		
Department of Home Affairs	^{s22(1)(a)(ii)} Director, IHAP Secretariat		
	A/g Assistant Director, IHAP Secretariat		
Apologies	Mr Stephen Hayward, First Assistant Secretary, Health Services Policy and Child Wellbeing Division		
	A/g Assistant Secretary, Immigration Health Branch		

Meeting	open: 19:00	s)82
Agenda		Affair Act 19
1	Welcome to Panel members and attendees	ome /
2	Acknowledgement of previous Minutes	Hor
3	Update on the status of actions (refer to Action Items Tracker)	t of forn
4	PIH virtual tour questions	nen of In
5	IHAP First Report to the MinisterPlan date for finalisation of first report	Department edom of Inf
6	31 March 2019 IHAP Quarterly Report to MinisterPlan date for finalisation of 31 March 2019 report	ed by Energy E
7	 Work plan for 2019/2020 next milestone Visit to Regional Processing Countries proposed travel period and which members will attend 	Released under the

- Key outcomes and aims from the visit to Regional Processing Countries
- 30 June 2019 IHAP Quarterly Report to Minister
- First IHAP face to face meeting 3 weeks prior to the 30 June 2019 IHAP Quarterly Report
- IHAP will have a face to face meeting 3 weeks prior to the end of the reporting period
- Seek IHAP members availability for week 3-7 June 2019

Outcomes

Agenda item 1

Welcome

The IHAP Chair opened the meeting and welcomed IHAP members, Dr Di Dio, Dr Moloney and Professor Murphy. IHAP Secretariat representatives, ^{s22(1)(a)(ii)} and ^{s22(1)(a)(ii)} also attended to assist proceedings.

Agenda item 2

Previous Minutes - 29 April 2019

Minutes endorsed by all members.

Agenda item 3

Update - action items arising from 29 April 2019

- Action item #3 the formal request for information was completed and sent to the Secretary on Thursday, 2 May 2019 through s199D notice.
- Dr Di Dio advised his biography will be sent to the IHAP mailbox.

Agenda item 4

Pacific International Hospital (PIH) virtual tour - 3 May 2019 debrief

- The IHAP endorsed the questions raised by Professor Murphy arising from the virtual tour.
- No further questions were put forward.
- The Secretariat will transmit these questions to PIH and will advise the IHAP of the response once received.

Agenda item 5

IHAP First Report to the Minister

- a item 5 irst Report to the Minister The IHAP Chair noted the information requested in the s199D notice may not be received in time to be included in the report.
- IHAP members discussed the information provided on health capacity and the information requested through the s199D notice. IHAP members agreed that the health snapshot information on health mer capacity and capability should be updated on a quarterly basis to ensure currency, relevance and timely consideration by the IHAP for inclusion in future reports. Ē
- The IHAP Chair advised that an updated version of the first assessment report will be shared by the end of the week (10 May 2019) as information is received and existing information referenced.
- Freedom The Chair will include information within the first assessment report to note that the IHAP intends to provide an addendum once further information becomes available post visits to PNG and Nauru by IHAP members. ease

Ð

198

Information Act

of

the

P

I

- The IHAP discussed retaining the information on historical Child mental health services in Nauru as it provides a context for health capacity and capability, with mention that no children remain on island.
- Proposed finalisation date: 17 May 2019 (final draft to IHAP members by Thursday, 16 May 2019).

Agenda item 6

31 March 2019 – IHAP guarterly report

- The IHAP members agreed that the headings and themes of this report will form the basic structure for future reports.
- Updates on membership will occur once more members join the IHAP.
- The Director, IHAP Secretariat advised that the submission of the March quarterly report may be affected by the Caretaker period.
- Proposed finalisation date: 10 May 2019 (final draft to IHAP members by Thursday, 9 May 2019)

Agenda item 7

IHAP workplan

- It was agreed by the IHAP that Dr Di Dio and Dr Moloney will visit the RPCs before the end of June 2019.
- The IHAP Secretariat will advise on visas and the process for obtaining official passports.
- The most suitable time available for both members is within the first or second week of June. Dr Di Dio and Dr Moloney will advise the Secretariat of their preferred dates by email after consulting their work schedules.
- The IHAP Chair will share with members a list of questions and issues to explore with transitory persons and health service providers in RPCs.
- The IHAP Chair clarified with members that the IHAP has a role with making recommendations directly to the Minister.
- It was agreed by the IHAP that recommendations to the Minister will be agreed to by a majority of the members.
- The IHAP agreed that a subheading on IHAP recommendations, with reference to the number of transitory persons be included in all IHAP reports to the Minister.
- The first face to face meeting of the IHAP will be on Wednesday, 12 June 2019, from 09:00-12:00 weeks prior to meeting. The addendum to the first assessment report would contain more substantial information obtained from the visit to the RPCs by members The IHAP Secretariat will arrange logistics for this meeting, with the Agenda to be circulated two
- from the visit to the RPCs by members. to

Action items arising from 6 May 2019 meeting

- ent 1 The IHAP Secretariat will submit the questions raised by Professor Murphy post the PIH virtual tour and will share the response with the IHAP.
- 2 The IHAP Secretariat will source data on transitory persons in RPCs and will provide this information to the IHAP on a quarterly basis.
- 3 IHAP members Dr Di Dio and Dr Moloney will advise the Secretariat of their preferred dates for a visit to RPCs, with the intention that the visit will occur in the first or second week of June. eased

98,

V

Information

of

dom

ee

Ú.

the

P DO Ð

S

a

- **4** A further draft of the first report of the IHAP to the Minister will be shared with members by 10 May 2019, with a proposed finalisation date of 17 May 2019.
- **5** The proposed finalisation date for the 31 March 2019 report on IHAP operations will be finalised by 10 May 2019.
- **6** The IHAP Chair will develop a list of questions for approval by IHAP prior to Dr Di Dio's and Dr Moloney's visit to PNG.
- 7 Recommendations to the Minister made by the IHAP will be agreed to by a majority of the members.
- 8 Recommendations made by the IHAP will be included in the quarterly reports.
- **9** The first face to face meeting of the IHAP will be on Wednesday, 12 June 2019, from 09:00-12:00. The IHAP Secretariat will make logistical arrangements and circulate the agenda two weeks prior to meeting.

Meeting close: 20:00

Next meeting: 12 June 2019 or as required

Released by Department of Home Affairs under the Freedom of Information Act 1982

INDEPENDENT HEALTH ADVICE PANEL

For Official Use Only

IHAP Meeting Minutes – 13 May 2019

Date: 13 May 2019

Time: 17:00-17:45

Virtual meeting held via GovTEAMS

Organization	Attendees		
Independent Health Advice Panel	Dr Parbodh Gogna, Chief Medical Officer of the Department of Home Affairs and Surgeon-General of the Australian Border Force, IHAP Chair		
	Professor Brendan Murphy, Australian Government Chief Medical Officer (Commonwealth Chief Medical Officer), Department of Health, IHAP member		
	Dr Antonio Di Dio, Australian Medical Association, IHAP member		
	Associate Professor Susan Moloney, Royal Australasian College of Physicians and expert in paediatric health, IHAP member		
Department of Home Affairs	s22(1)(a)(ii)Assistant Director, IHAP Secretariats22(1)(a)(ii)Assistant Manager, IHAP Secretariats22(1)(a)(ii)A/g Assistant Director, IHAP Secretariat		

Meeting open: 17:45

Agenda

1	Welcome to Panel members and attendees	
2	Acknowledgement of previous meeting held 3 May 2019 incorporating a virtual tour of Pacific International Hospital, Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea and	rs 982
3	Update on responses to PIH virtual tour questions	vffai ct 1
4	Medical transfer case re ^{s47F}	ne A nn A
5	Discussion re credentials of PIH clinicians	Hon
6	Planning for the June 30 Quarterly Report	t of forn
7	Planning for visits to Regional Processing Countries	nen of In
8	Acting arrangements during Dr Gogna and Professor Murphy's upcoming periods of leave	artro
Outcom	ies	by Dep Freedc

Outcomes

Agenda item 1

Welcome

eased The IHAP Chair opened the meeting and welcomed IHAP members Professor Murphy, Associate • Professor Moloney and Dr Di Dio and the IHAP Secretariat representatives ^{\$22(1)(a)(ii)} s22(1)(a)(ii) and s22(1)(a)(ii)

2q

under the

Agenda item 2

PIH virtual tour

IHAP Chair acknowledged the virtual tour of the PIH facilities in PNG attended by all Panel members on 3 May 2019.

Agenda item 3

Update - action items arising from PIH virtual tour on 3 May 2019

Following the virtual tour of PIH, on 4 May 2019 Professor Murphy requested further information, endorsed by the Panel during their subsequent meeting on 6 May, including:

1. The current activity mix and average bed occupancy of the facility; information on where most patients are currently sourced from (including expatriates working in PNG); and the number of transitory persons from Manus Island who have been treated at PIH.

2. Details regarding the specific activity of the mental health unit; the number of inpatients treated thus far; and whether any involuntary patients have bene admitted (given that the facility is new and only just opened).

3. Medical staff profile - both general and mental health; and information regarding the qualifications and background of the psychiatrist running the new inpatient mental health facility.

- Dr Gogna requested the Panel discuss the information received by the Panel in response to these questions as they would have a direct bearing on their findings and recommendations regarding the case to be reviewed at Agenda item 4.
- The IHAP Secretariat confirmed that the requested information and documents were available for the Panel to access on GovTEAMS, including:
 - Attachment A: data on admissions into the various PIH Departments in 2018-2019.
 - Attachment B: information on the 24 transferees who have thus far been treated in the new mental health facility
 - Attachment C: details regarding the credentials of all PIH clinical staff, including name, location, designation, area of practice and educational qualifications
 - Attachment D: curriculum vitae of s47F

Agenda item 4

Medical transfer case re s47F

- The IHAP recommended that ^{\$47F} transfer to Australia be refused on the proviso that DH be transferred from Manus Island to PIH for assessment and treatment.
- The Panel agreed to request a clinical report re^{\$47F} from PIH in three weeks and review ^{s47} clinical course at that time.
- Department For further details please see the separate clinical assessment form completed by the Panel re F and referred to the Minister of Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs on 14 May 2019.

Agenda item 5

Credentials of PIH clinicians

- a item 5 Itials of PIH clinicians The IHAP discussed the need to request information from PIH on what verification procedures they in the onsure all practitioners are appropriately qualified.
- check the professional conduct records and criminal background of its staff.

Φ

198

Information Act

of

Freedom

the

e und

Affairs

Agenda item 6

Planning for IHAP June 30 Quarterly Report

- The IHAP members agreed that their meeting to discuss the June 30 guarterly report will take place in mid July 2019, with the intention to finalise the report by 31 July.
- The 31 July date for completion of the report will give the Panel time for some of their members to travel to the RPCs (with plans for a visit to PNG in June) and to incorporate any newly appointed members.
- Proposed finalisation date: 31 July 2019

Agenda item 7

Visits to Regional Processing Countries

- It was confirmed by the IHAP that at this stage Dr Di Dio and Associate Professor Moloney will be the two Panel members to visit PNG, incorporating time in both Port Moresby and Manus Island, before the end of June 2019. It was noted that due to in-country logistical considerations, the trip would need to encompass at least five days. Dr Di Dio and Associate Professor Moloney agreed to confirm their availability as soon as possible.
- It was acknowledged that by the time the visits take place there may be other Panel members • appointed who may have availability to travel.
- The IHAP Secretariat have commenced preliminary assistance with organising the visit, for example visa applications and the process for obtaining official passports.
- The IHAP Secretariat advised Associate Professor Moloney that an arrangement has been made for her to meet with an Australian Border Force officer at Coolangatta Airport in order for her to have her official documents endorsed.
- It was agreed due to the logistics associated with visiting PNG and Nauru that the date for the provision of the Addendum to the First Report be provided to the Minister on the 31 July (previously 30th June was the agreed date).

Agenda item 8

Arrangements during Commonwealth members' periods of leave

- The Panel noted that Professor Murphy is on leave from 15-25 May 2019; Professor Paul Kelly will be acting Australian Government CMO in his stead and therefore a member of the Panel during this 98 period. S
- The Panel also discussed Dr Gogna's upcoming leave from 18-26 May 2019 and the advisability of having one of the other members to act as Panel Chair for this period. Dr Dio offered to act as Panel Chair and this was endorsed by the other members. ome

Action items arising from 13 May 2019 meeting

- Action items relating to the medical transfer recommendation for ^{\$47F} separately documented in the clinical assessment form as noted at Agenda Item 4.
- ment The IHAP requests information be sought from PIH POM on the procedures it follows to check the credentials and criminal records of its practitioners.
- The Panel confirmed that it intends to send a panel member to PNG to visit the facilities in Port Moresby and on Manus Island in June 2019, noting advice from the Department that due to logistical considerations the visit will need to encompass at least five days.

Meeting close: 1745 hrs

Information Act

of

Freedom

the

Depi

20

eleased ē

are

For Official Use Only

Clinical Assessment

Meeting details		Biodata details			
IHAP referral date/time:	13/05/2019	Name: s47F			
uate/time.	Time: 1034hrs	Date of birth: ^{s47F}	Current location: East Lorengau Refugee Transit Centre, Manus Island, Papua New Guinea		
		Country of birth: s47F	ID number: ^{s47F}		
IHAP Meeting date:	13/05/2019 Time: 1700hrs	Treating Doctor's referral attached:	Yes - s47F		
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/a	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely		
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes PIH – Clinical Record		
IHAP FINDINGS:					
		attended by all current Indepe Di Dio, Associate Professor Su	endent Health Advice Panel Isan Moloney and Dr Parbodh		
Secretariat attending: \$22			nent of Home Affairs.		
^{s47F} has be following a notification h	en referred to the Independ aving been received from tw	lent Health Advice Panel by the physicians that F^{s47}_{F} is a rele	ne Minister for clinical assessment, vant transitory person.		
Following IHAP document review ^{\$47F} has been diagnosed with: -					
artment of Home A					
The Panel accepted the various diagnoses outlined by the treating doctors following review of the clinical records supplied by the health care provider in Papua New Guinea (PNG). The Panel agreed that set as set as well as set as well as set as well as set as well as set as the conditions: - set as well as set as an episode of set as a documented in the clinical notes.					
			telea		

The Panel referred to the information contained in ^{s47F} clinical records that the health care proof on Manus Island has already recommended ^{s47F} for transfer to Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby POM). ^{s47} _F was initially due to be transferred by commercial air carrier on 7 May 2019 but was removed from flight due to ^{s47} _F refusal to stow excess weight baggage in the luggage compartment instead of carrying it about the IHAP Secretariat advised that ^{s47} _F is now due to travel to PIH POM via a charter flight scheduled for Frida May.	r (PIH the pard.
The Panel agreed that ^{s47F} requires specialist ^{s47F} care and therefore cannot safely remain Manus Island due to ^{s47F} . The Panel referred to the scope of the PIH POM facilities as confirmed through a virtual tour of the hospital on 3 May 2019 and subsequent information provide regarding the staffing profile, bed capacity and clinical care of transferees from Manus Island.	Л
The Panel noted that the treating doctors who recommended ^{\$47F} for transfer to Australia may been aware of the scope of the facilities available at PIH POM, including the new opened in April 2019.	not have rd which
The Panel acknowledged the curriculum vitae, qualifications and clinical experience of the ^{s47F} POM, ^{s47F} ; they are satisfied with ^{s47} _F credentials and believe that ^{s47} _F is qualified to ensure ^{s47} _F health care needs are met. The Panel is satisfied that PIH POM has a gene physician able to review the clinical concerns raised above under).	
The Panel members all agreed that it would be appropriate for ^{\$47F} to be transferred to PIH PO clinical review and for ^{\$47F} case to be reassessed after an initial period of treatment in order to verify that ^{\$47} _F course is improving. If ^{\$47F} conditions show no improvement, then it will become clear that a blevel of specialised care is required.	clinical nigher
The Panel determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with ^{s47F} for clinical assessment at this time as sufficient clinical documentation had been provided.	al
All four IHAP members recommended that the urgent transfer of ^{\$47F} to PIH Port Moresby be undertaken for ^{\$47F} care.	
In addition to ^{s47F} case, the Panel discussed that they would like to understand the procedure PIH follow for verifying the credentials of its clinicians, and also their criminal records checking processes.	es that
IHAP recommendations	
All four IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that ^{\$47F} transfer to Australia for	medical
All four IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that treatment should be <u>refused</u> initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care ^{s47F} capacity at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby is appropriate to the clinical need. ^{s47F} requires transfer to PIH POM in order to access the ^{s47F} fac required to fully assess and treat ^{s47F} . IHAP recommend that PIH POM review the other clinical issues of ^{s47F} . This transfer to PIH POM is due to occur	manage lities
 All four IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that treatment should be <u>refused</u> initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care ^{\$47F} capacity at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby is appropriate to the clinical need. ^{\$47F} requires transfer to PIH POM in order to access the ^{\$47F} factor required to fully assess and treat ^{\$47F}. IHAP recommend that PIH POM review the other clinical issues of ^{\$47F}. IHAP recommend that PIH POM review the other clinical issues of ^{\$47F}. This transfer to PIH POM is due to occur of Friday 17 May 2019. 2. The new ^{\$47F} service in PIH POM is open and operating, with capacity for both vertex of the clinical service in PIH POM is open and operating, with capacity for both vertex of the clinical service in PIH POM is open and operating. 	uttairs ct 1982 ct 1982
 All four IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that treatment should be <u>refused</u> initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care stream initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care stream initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care stream initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care stream initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care stream initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care stream initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care stream initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care stream initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care stream initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care stream initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care stream initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care stream initial isometry in the care stream initial isometry. The new stream isometry is the stream isometry is the stream isometry. This recommend that PIH POM review the other clinical isometry. This representation, with capacity for both variant involuntary admissions and has available beds. 3. The new stream isometry is headed by a stream isometry is the stream isometry is the stream isometry. The new stream isometry is the stream isometry is the stream isometry isometry isometry isometry. 4. PIH POM has the facilities to ensure stream isometry isometry isometry isometry. 	Ities Ities Voct 1085
 All four IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that ^{\$47F} transfer to Australia for treatment should be <u>refused</u> initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care ^{\$47F} capacity at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby is appropriate to the clinical need. ^{\$47F} requires transfer to PIH POM in order to access the ^{\$47F} factor required to fully assess and treat ^{\$47F}. IHAP recommend that PIH POM review the other clinical issues of ^{\$47F}. IHAP recommend that PIH POM review the other clinical issues of ^{\$47F}. This transfer to PIH POM is due to occur of Friday 17 May 2019. 2. The new ^{\$47F} service in PIH POM is open and operating, with capacity for both very and involuntary admissions and has available beds. 3. The new ^{\$47F} facility is headed by a ^{\$47F} with the experience and qualifications required adequately ensure ^{\$47F} is adequated by a ^{\$47F} is adequated to be highlighted to PIH POM. 	Itragent of Home Affairs
 All four IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that ^{\$47F} transfer to Australia for treatment should be <u>refused</u> initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care ^{\$47F} capacity at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby is appropriate to the clinical need. ^{\$47F} requires transfer to PIH POM in order to access the ^{\$47F} factor required to fully assess and treat ^{\$47F} recommend that PIH POM review the other clinical issues of ^{\$47F} . IHAP recommend that PIH POM review the other clinical issues of ^{\$47F} . This transfer to PIH POM is due to occur a Friday 17 May 2019. 2. The new ^{\$47F} facility is headed by a ^{\$47F} with the experience and qualifications required adequately ensure ^{\$47F} facility is headed by a ^{\$47F} service in PIH POM is open and operating, with capacity for both votice adequately ensure ^{\$47F} facility is headed by a ^{\$47F} service in PIH POM is open and operating. The new ^{\$47F} facility is headed by a ^{\$47F} with the experience and qualifications required adequately ensure ^{\$47F} facility is headed by a ^{\$47F} service in PIH POM. The IHAP members agreed that their recommendation that ^{\$47F} remain in PNG is subject to ^{\$47F} to PIH as ^{\$47F} cannot be adequately cared for on Manus Island. If transfer to PIH POM is not immediately feat should be considered for transfer to Australia. 	Department of Home Affairs
 All four IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that ^{\$47F} transfer to Australia for treatment should be <u>refused</u> initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care ^{\$47F} capacity at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby is appropriate to the clinical need. ^{\$47F} requires transfer to PIH POM in order to access the ^{\$47F} factor required to fully assess and treat ^{\$47F} IHAP recommend that PIH POM review the other clinical issues of ^{\$47F} This transfer to PIH POM is due to occur and involuntary admissions and has available beds. 3. The new ^{\$47F} facility is headed by a ^{\$47F} with the experience and qualifications required adequately ensure ^{\$47F} facility is headed by a ^{\$47F} is addressed. 4. PIH POM has the facilities to ensure ^{\$47F} is addressed. 4. PIH POM has the facilities to ensure ^{\$47F} remain in PNG is subject to ^{\$47F} to PIH as ^{\$47F} cannot be adequately cared for on Manus Island. If transfer to PIH POM is not immediately feasibult be considered for transfer to Australia. The IHAP will seek an updated clinical report from PIH POM in three weeks and review the case again at the the transfer to PIH POM in three weeks and review the case again at the the transfer to PIH POM in three weeks and review the case again at the provide the point of the transfer to PIH POM is the case again at the point of the point point of the transfer to PIH POM is not immediately feasibult be considered for transfer to Australia. 	paration of Homenovitality interview and the second second second transformation second second second transformation second second second transformation second second second second transformation second second second second second transformation second second second second second second transformation second
 All four IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that ^{\$47F} transfer to Australia for treatment should be <u>refused</u> initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care ^{\$47F} capacity at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby is appropriate to the clinical need. ^{\$47F} requires transfer to PIH POM in order to access the ^{\$47F} factor required to fully assess and treat ^{\$47F} IHAP recommend that PIH POM review the other clinical issues of ^{\$47F} This transfer to PIH POM is due to occur and involuntary admissions and has available beds. 3. The new ^{\$47F} facility is headed by a ^{\$47F} with the experience and qualifications required adequately ensure ^{\$47F} facility is headed by a ^{\$47F} is addressed. 4. PIH POM has the facilities to ensure ^{\$47F} is addressed. 4. PIH POM has the facilities to ensure ^{\$47F} remain in PNG is subject to ^{\$47F} to PIH as ^{\$47F} cannot be adequately cared for on Manus Island. If transfer to PIH POM is not immediately feasibult be considered for transfer to Australia. The IHAP will seek an updated clinical report from PIH POM in three weeks and review the case again at the the transfer to PIH POM in three weeks and review the case again at the the transfer to PIH POM in three weeks and review the case again at the provide the point of the transfer to PIH POM is the case again at the point of the point point of the transfer to PIH POM is not immediately feasibult be considered for transfer to Australia. 	d by Department of Home Affairs in the operation with the provident of Home and final terms and the providence of the pr
 All four IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that ^{\$47F} transfer to Australia for treatment should be <u>refused</u> initially. This recommendation was based on the following reasons: 1. The health care ^{\$47F} capacity at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby is appropriate to the clinical need. ^{\$47F} requires transfer to PIH POM in order to access the ^{\$47F} factor required to fully assess and treat ^{\$47F} IHAP recommend that PIH POM review the other clinical issues of ^{\$47F} This transfer to PIH POM is due to occur and involuntary admissions and has available beds. 3. The new ^{\$47F} facility is headed by a ^{\$47F} with the experience and qualifications required adequately ensure ^{\$47F} facility is headed by a ^{\$47F} is addressed. 4. PIH POM has the facilities to ensure ^{\$47F} is addressed. 4. PIH POM has the facilities to ensure ^{\$47F} remain in PNG is subject to ^{\$47F} to PIH as ^{\$47F} cannot be adequately cared for on Manus Island. If transfer to PIH POM is not immediately feasibult be considered for transfer to Australia. The IHAP will seek an updated clinical report from PIH POM in three weeks and review the case again at the the transfer to PIH POM in three weeks and review the case again at the the transfer to PIH POM in three weeks and review the case again at the provide the point of the transfer to PIH POM is the case again at the point of the point point of the transfer to PIH POM is not immediately feasibult be considered for transfer to Australia. 	Department of Home Affairs

<u>Action</u>	<u>s</u> :		
• • • • • •	The trai The The The trea suf trai trai trai trai trai trai trai trai	he IHAP requested that they be informed as soon as ^{\$47F} have a soon a so	t is due to depart Manus Island. as been transferred to PIH POM. of concern assessment and as and conduct another review of ation to the Minister that ⁸⁴⁷ _F be es it follows to check the it the facilities in Port Moresby
Docum		t library reviewed:	
	1.		
	2.		
	3.	Letter from ^{\$47F} (5 pages)	
	4.		
		a. ^{\$47F}	
		b. ^{s47F}	
	5.	PIH Clinical Records	
		a. PIH - ^{\$47F} Medical Records (1)	11.05.2019 (55 pages)
		b. PIH - ^{s47F} Medical Records (2)	11.05.2019 (57 pages)
		c. PIH - ^{s47F} Referral - 02.05.	2019 (1 page)
		d. PIH - ^{\$47F} - 02.05.2019 (1 p	bage)
		e. PIH - ^{s47F} Report 02.05.2	
		f. PIH - ^{s47F} 02.05.2019 (4 p	, D
	6.		Aff
		a. ^{s47F} Paladin Incident Report - 0	7.05.2010(2.0000)
		b. PIH Incident Report 01.05.2	7.05.2019 (2 pages) 2019(1 page) Up to the formation of t
	7.		
		a. IHMS Clinical Record (250 pages)	ant
		b. IHMS - Attachments - Admission, Discharge & Transfer (10 pages	epartment of dom of Inforn
		c. IHMS - Attachments - Internal Referral (1 page)	oart Dart
		d. IHMS - Attachments – Other (23 pages)	
		e. IHMS - Attachments - Other Administrative Document (15 pages)	by [
		f. IHMS - Attachments - Other Clinical Report (13 pages)	0 J
		g. IHMS - Attachments - Pathology Request (5 pages)	ase er th
			elear

h. IHMS - Attachments - Pathology Request Report (30 pages)				
i. IHMS - Attachmen	•••			
		equest Report (12 pages)		
k. IHMS - Attachmen				
I. IHMS - Attachmen	its - Specialist Re	eferral (1 page)		
m. IHMS - Forms - Ac	dmission, Dischar	rge & Transfer (2 pages)		
n. IHMS - Forms - In	ternal Referral (1	5 pages)		
o. IHMS - Forms - ^{\$47}	۶ (59 p	bages)		
Panel members assessing:		Dr Parbodh Gogna (Panel Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Is the Minister's refusal confirmed:	Yes	
IHAP Majority recommendation:		Majority (out of total members):	4/4	
		Transfer is recommended:	No	
Date of IHAP recommendation:	13/05/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	17:45	
Deemed approval (post 72 No No		Meeting audio recorded:	No	

Released by Department of Home Affairs under the Freedom of Information Act 1982



For Official Use Only IHAP Meeting Minutes – IHAP statutory clinical assessment requirement

Date:	17 May 2019				
Time:	12:40 – 13:30				
Location:	Teleconference - ^{s22(1)(a)(ii)} and in Level 11, Executive Board Room, 5CA Canberra				
Organisation		Attendees			
Department of Hor	ne Affairs	Chair - Ms Cheryl-anne Moy, Deputy Secretary/Chief Operating Officer, Corporate and Enabling Group			
		Mr Stephen Hayward, First Assistant Secretary, Health Services Policy and Child Wellbeing Division			
		Ms Pip De Veau, General Counsel/First Assistant Secretary, Legal Division			
		Mr Cody Smith, Assistant Secretary, Migration and Citizenship Litigation Branch			
		Mr Anton Bockwinkel, Assistant Secretary, Migration and Citizenship Law Branch			
		A/g Assistant Secretary, Immigration Health Branch			
Independent Health Advice Panel		Dr Parbodh Gogna, Chief Medical Officer, Surgeon-General ABF, Department of Home Affairs, IHAP Chair			
		Professor Paul Kelly, Acting Australian Government Chief Medical Officer, Department of Health Dr Antonio Di Dio, from the Australian Medical Association			
		Dr Antonio Di Dio, from the Australian Medical Association \Box			
		Executive Officer to Professor Brendan Murphy, Australian Government Chief Medical Officer, Department of Health			
		E 2			

Released by Department of Home Affairs under the Freedom of Information Act 1982

This document may contain 'personal identifiers' and 'personal information' as defined under the Migration 1958 or Australian Citizenship Act 2007, and can only be used for purposes under these Acts.

For Official Use Only

For Official Use Only

Meeting open: 12:40 hrs

Agenda

1 Welcome to Panel members and attendees.

2 Advice provided to the IHAP on 15 May 2019 for the need to conduct a further clinical assessment of the person (whether in person or remotely).

Outcomes

Agenda item 1

Welcome

• The Chair opened the meeting and welcomed the Attendees.

Agenda item 2

Advice provided to the IHAP on 15 May 2019 for the need to conduct a further clinical assessment of the person (whether in person or remotely).

Ms Moy provided an overview of the recent changes to the Migration Act 1958 (the Act) ^{\$42}

Normally, the

U

the

P

departments administering legislation would develop changes but in this case there was no involvement by the Department in the way that the legislation was written.

- Professor Kelly noted that the IHAP can carry out its functions in such a manner as it determines (subject to s199C of the Act) and asked if this could apply under 198F(2) of the Act whereby IHAP is to conduct a further clinical review of a transitory person.⁸⁴²
- Dr Gogna questioned whether the therapeutic relationship of the treating doctor with the person is different to the relationship IHAP members have when they provide a case review.
- Dr Gogna noted that IHAP members have commenced drafting a paper on examples of when clinical opinions are provided remotely without having eyes or ears on the patient.
- Dr Gogna noted that IHAP members would like to decide the value of interviewing someone so that they can appropriately consider the principle of 'do no harm'. They will make this clear in their reports.
- It was noted that this matter is likely to manifest as a risk only when the IHAP confirms the Minister's decision to refuse transfer, i.e. a double negative decision.
- IHAP agreed to consider whether interview was required <u>and</u> safe when the Minister's decision was to refuse transfer. However, IHAP will note in their reports when this is not possible or not in the best interest of the person, balancing a duty of care to the person. [PG: History proves this is not the case.] [PK: We <u>did not</u> agree. We discussed it as an option but the Panel members were <u>unanimous</u> in our opinion that this was not generally a good idea.]
- Dr Gogna sought advice on whether the Panel needs to interview/assess the transitory person only one member needs to do this.

IHAP Meeting Minutes – IHAP statutory clinical assessment requirement

C

For Official Use Only

s42			

- Dr Gogna asked the Department to consider the pastoral care and wrap around support for transitory persons before and after a clinical assessment by IHAP. All Panel members were concerned about the potential acute harm that could be caused by IHAP assessment via direct contact.
- Dr Di Dio asked if the Department will indemnify the IHAP as a result of this new advice. The
 Department will review the frequently asked questions, indemnity insurance, and provide advice to the
 IHAP. Dr Di Dio advised it would be problematic for him to stay on IHAP as a member if indemnity
 was not provided by the Department.
- Dr Gogna^{\$42} and he advised that the IHAP requires extensive after-hours support.
- Dr Gogna noted that the Department provides Secretariat support during business hours. Ms Moy noted that it was not an issue of after-hours support but the extent of after-hours support.
- Ms Moy noted her concerns with the extent of after-hours support required when the IHAP held meetings after hours.

Actions

- 1. Adjust IHAP clinical assessment template to include three options to address this requirement:
 - i. The IHAP undertook an interview.
 - ii. The IHAP attempted to conduct an interview but was unable to do this due to lack of capability/capacity/logistics/consent/other barrier.
 - iii. The IHAP determined that it was not appropriate to conduct an interview because of risk of harm to the person.

s42 2.

3. IHAP Secretariat, Regional Processing Taskforce and Detention Offshore Operations Command, to establish operational arrangements that provide pastoral care and wrap-around support for transitory persons before and after a clinical assessment by the IHAP. This will ensure that the individual has the required support in their location.

Meeting close: 13:30 hrs



For Official Use Only

Clinical Assessment

Meeting details		Biodata details		
IHAP referral	16/05/2019	Name: ^{\$47F}		
date/time:	Time: 1229 hrs	Date of birth: 1 ^{s47F}	Current location: PNG	
		Country of birth: ^{\$47F}	ID number: ^{547F}	
IHAP Meeting date:	17/05/2019 Time: 1225hrs	Treating Doctor's referral attached:	Yes ^{s47F}	
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/A	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely	
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes PIH Clinical Record	
IHAP findings: Initial meeting: Initial meeting: 1225 hrs on Friday 17 May 2019 attended by three of four current Independent Health Advice Panel members: Dr Parbodh Gogna (Panel Chair), Dr Antonio Di Dio and Professor Paul Kelly. Secretariat attending: S				
Following IHAP docume		has been diagnosed wi	at $_{\rm F}^{\rm s47}$ is a relevant transitory person.	
47F		tment of Home		
by the health care provid	The panel agreed with the diagnoses outlined by the treating doctors following review of the clinical records supplie by the health care provider in Papua New Guinea (PNG). The panel agreed that start requires urgent and specialised impatient care for start			
The Panel agreed that ^{s4} Manus Island. The pane			care that cannot be provided on one care that raise the risk s47F	
ivianus isianu. The pane				

s47F membe	these include ^{s47F} ers note the ^{s47F} .	. Compounding these the IHAP
did not with PII	anel considered the services available at Pacific Internat consider they could be confident that PIH could provide . Importantly it was recognised that H had broken down. All three members agreed that the specialised and appropriate setting.	e the specialised setting required to treat ^{\$47F} also referenced that ^{\$47F} relationship
All thre for ^{s47F}	e IHAP members recommended that the urgent transfe care and treatment.	r of ^{s47F} to Australia be undertaken
a regio being t treatme	anel discussed that section 198C(7) Migration Act states anal processing country in accordance with subsection (brought to Australia and noted that if the Panel recommendent $_{\rm F}^{\rm 547}$ may refuse to transfer due to $_{\rm F}^{\rm 547F}$ and when transfer to Australia has been completed.	1), (3), (4) or (5) while the person does not consent to
<u>IHAP r</u>	ecommendations	
	of the four IHAP members agreed that their recommend lia for medical treatment be approved . This recommend	
1.	The patient is acutely unwell and requires urgent ^{\$47F}	care.
2.	Urgent treatment is required as there is a history of	
3.	There is a breakdown in ^{s47F} relationship with h Hospital Manus Island and concern this extends to PII	nealth service providers at Pacific International H POM.
4.	The patent requires very specialised care due s47F	
	AP members agreed that their recommendation that ^{s47F} on the above factors.	be transferred to Australia is
based		be transferred to Australia is
based Meeting IHAP h sympto IHAP n	on the above factors.	ned remotely as concern of decompensation of and extensive clinical experience of the individual
based Meeting IHAP h sympto IHAP n	on the above factors. g closed at 1240 hours. have agreed that this clinical assessment can be perform oms could acutely occur. With this codicil of Do No harm nembers in Clinical Governance we agree the documen e an assessment.	ned remotely as concern of decompensation of and extensive clinical experience of the individual
based Meeting IHAP h sympto IHAP n to mak	on the above factors. g closed at 1240 hours. have agreed that this clinical assessment can be perform oms could acutely occur. With this codicil of Do No harm nembers in Clinical Governance we agree the documen e an assessment. <u>s:</u> Dr Gogna, as IHAP Chair will contact IHAP member A 17/05/2019 (before departing the country) to discuss th	ned remotely as concern of decompensation of a and extensive clinical experience of the individual t suite reviewed provides sufficient clinical information ssociate Professor Susan Moloney on the evening of
based Meeting IHAP h sympto IHAP n to mak	on the above factors. g closed at 1240 hours. have agreed that this clinical assessment can be perform oms could acutely occur. With this codicil of Do No harm nembers in Clinical Governance we agree the documen e an assessment. <u>s:</u> Dr Gogna, as IHAP Chair will contact IHAP member A 17/05/2019 (before departing the country) to discuss th	ned remotely as concern of decompensation of and extensive clinical experience of the individual t suite reviewed provides sufficient clinical information ssociate Professor Susan Moloney on the evening of he Panel's decision and seek her view and ting this decision due to majority will now stand. HAP in Dr Gogna's absence, he will review the final ompletion of the Clinical Assessment Form with the
based Meeting IHAP h sympto IHAP n to mak <u>Action</u>	on the above factors. g closed at 1240 hours. have agreed that this clinical assessment can be perform orms could acutely occur. With this codicil of Do No harm members in Clinical Governance we agree the documen e an assessment. S: Dr Gogna, as IHAP Chair will contact IHAP member A 17/05/2019 (before departing the country) to discuss the recommendation regarding ^{s47F} . No Dr Di Dio, as Deputy chair IHAP will be acting Chair IH Clinical Assessment on GovTEAMS and confirm the c	ned remotely as concern of decompensation of and extensive clinical experience of the individual t suite reviewed provides sufficient clinical information ssociate Professor Susan Moloney on the evening of he Panel's decision and seek her view and ting this decision due to majority will now stand. HAP in Dr Gogna's absence, he will review the final ompletion of the Clinical Assessment Form with the
based Meeting IHAP h sympto IHAP n to mak <u>Action</u>	on the above factors. g closed at 1240 hours. have agreed that this clinical assessment can be perform orms could acutely occur. With this codicil of Do No harm members in Clinical Governance we agree the documen e an assessment. S: Dr Gogna, as IHAP Chair will contact IHAP member A 17/05/2019 (before departing the country) to discuss the recommendation regarding ^{s47F} . No Dr Di Dio, as Deputy chair IHAP will be acting Chair IH Clinical Assessment on GovTEAMS and confirm the c IHAP Secretariat.	ned remotely as concern of decompensation of and extensive clinical experience of the individual t suite reviewed provides sufficient clinical information ssociate Professor Susan Moloney on the evening of he Panel's decision and seek her view and ting this decision due to majority will now stand HAP in Dr Gogna's absence, he will review the final ompletion of the Clinical Assessment Form with the alth act.
based Meeting IHAP h sympto IHAP n to mak <u>Action</u>	on the above factors. g closed at 1240 hours. have agreed that this clinical assessment can be perform orms could acutely occur. With this codicil of Do No harm members in Clinical Governance we agree the documen e an assessment. S: Dr Gogna, as IHAP Chair will contact IHAP member A 17/05/2019 (before departing the country) to discuss the recommendation regarding ^{s47F} . No Dr Di Dio, as Deputy chair IHAP will be acting Chair IH Clinical Assessment on GovTEAMS and confirm the c IHAP Secretariat.	ned remotely as concern of decompensation of and extensive clinical experience of the individual t suite reviewed provides sufficient clinical information ssociate Professor Susan Moloney on the evening of he Panel's decision and seek her view and ting this decision due to majority will now stand HAP in Dr Gogna's absence, he will review the final ompletion of the Clinical Assessment Form with the alth act.
based Meeting IHAP h sympto IHAP n to mak <u>Action</u>	on the above factors. g closed at 1240 hours. have agreed that this clinical assessment can be perform orms could acutely occur. With this codicil of Do No harm members in Clinical Governance we agree the documen e an assessment. S: Dr Gogna, as IHAP Chair will contact IHAP member A 17/05/2019 (before departing the country) to discuss the recommendation regarding ^{s47F} . No Dr Di Dio, as Deputy chair IHAP will be acting Chair IH Clinical Assessment on GovTEAMS and confirm the c IHAP Secretariat.	ned remotely as concern of decompensation of and extensive clinical experience of the individual t suite reviewed provides sufficient clinical information ssociate Professor Susan Moloney on the evening of he Panel's decision and seek her view and ting this decision due to majority will now stand. HAP in Dr Gogna's absence, he will review the final ompletion of the Clinical Assessment Form with the alth act.
based Meeting IHAP h sympto IHAP n to mak <u>Action</u>	on the above factors. g closed at 1240 hours. have agreed that this clinical assessment can be perform orms could acutely occur. With this codicil of Do No harm members in Clinical Governance we agree the documen e an assessment. S: Dr Gogna, as IHAP Chair will contact IHAP member A 17/05/2019 (before departing the country) to discuss the recommendation regarding ^{s47F} . No Dr Di Dio, as Deputy chair IHAP will be acting Chair IH Clinical Assessment on GovTEAMS and confirm the c IHAP Secretariat.	ned remotely as concern of decompensation of and extensive clinical experience of the individual t suite reviewed provides sufficient clinical information ssociate Professor Susan Moloney on the evening of he Panel's decision and seek her view and ting this decision due to majority will now stand. HAP in Dr Gogna's absence, he will review the final ompletion of the Clinical Assessment Form with the alth act.
based Meeting IHAP h sympto IHAP n to mak <u>Action</u>	on the above factors. g closed at 1240 hours. have agreed that this clinical assessment can be perform orms could acutely occur. With this codicil of Do No harm members in Clinical Governance we agree the documen e an assessment. S: Dr Gogna, as IHAP Chair will contact IHAP member A 17/05/2019 (before departing the country) to discuss the recommendation regarding ^{s47F} . No Dr Di Dio, as Deputy chair IHAP will be acting Chair IH Clinical Assessment on GovTEAMS and confirm the c IHAP Secretariat.	ned remotely as concern of decompensation of and extensive clinical experience of the individual t suite reviewed provides sufficient clinical information ssociate Professor Susan Moloney on the evening of he Panel's decision and seek her view and ting this decision due to majority will now stand. HAP in Dr Gogna's absence, he will review the final ompletion of the Clinical Assessment Form with the alth act.
based Meeting IHAP h sympto IHAP n to mak <u>Action</u>	on the above factors. g closed at 1240 hours. have agreed that this clinical assessment can be perform orms could acutely occur. With this codicil of Do No harm members in Clinical Governance we agree the documen e an assessment. S: Dr Gogna, as IHAP Chair will contact IHAP member A 17/05/2019 (before departing the country) to discuss the recommendation regarding ^{s47F} . No Dr Di Dio, as Deputy chair IHAP will be acting Chair IH Clinical Assessment on GovTEAMS and confirm the c IHAP Secretariat.	ned remotely as concern of decompensation of and extensive clinical experience of the individual t suite reviewed provides sufficient clinical information ssociate Professor Susan Moloney on the evening of he Panel's decision and seek her view and ting this decision due to majority will now stand. HAP in Dr Gogna's absence, he will review the final ompletion of the Clinical Assessment Form with the alth act.

Decument library reviewed: (list decuments considered)					
	Document library reviewed: (list documents considered)				
	 ABF Client brief – 2 pages Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 12 05 2010 – 2 pages 				
3. Letter from ^{\$47F}					
a. s47F	- IT pages	– 7 pages			
b. ^{\$47F}		– 6 pages			
С. ^{\$47F}		– 0 pages			
d. ^{\$47F}	– 2 pages	- 2 page			
5. PIH Clinical Records					
a. PIH – ^{s47F}		Medical Records – 36 pages			
6. PIH Incident Reports					
a. ^{s47F} b. ^{s47F}		Paladin Incident Report 04.05.19 – 2 Paladin Incident Report 04.05.19 – 2			
C. ^{s47F}		Paladin Incident Report 08.05.19 – 1	-		
		Dr Parbodh Gogna (IHAP Chair)			
		Professor Paul Kelly			
Panel members assessing:		Dr Antonio Di Dio			
		Associate Professor Susan Maloney (an apology)			
		Is the Minister's refusal confirmed: Yes			
IHAP Majority recommendation	:	Majority (out of total members):	3/4		
		Transfer is recommended:	Yes	32	
Date of IHAP	17/05/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	05:43 PM	ffairs ct 198	
recommendation:	11/03/2013		00.401 10	Affa	
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)?	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No	of Home /	
				of H orma	
				~ 0	
				tmer of Ir	
				Department edom of Info	
				Depar	
				/ D	
				d by l e <i>Fr</i> e	
				sec	
				eleased nder the	
				Rel	

For Official Use Only

Clinical Assessment

Meeting details		Biodata details		
IHAP referral	29/05/2019	Name: ^{\$47F}		
date/time:	Time: 1633hrs	Date of birth: ^{s47F}	Current location: Nauru	
		Country of birth: ^{s47F}	ID number: ^{s47F}	
IHAP Meeting date:	30/05/2019 Time: 1800hrs	Treating Doctor's referral attached:	Yes	
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/a	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely	-
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes PIH/IHMS – Clinical Records	
Moloney and Dr Parb Secretariat attending: ^{547F} has bee	odh Gogna (Panel Ch s ^{22(1)(a)(ii)} s ²²⁽ n referred to the Indep g a notification having	n Murphy, Dr Antonio Di Dio, nair). (1)(a)(ii) s22(1)(a)(ii) bendent Health Advice Panel been received from two phy has been diagnosed with	s22(1)(a)(ii) I by the Minister for clinical sicians that $_{\rm F}^{\rm s47}$ is a relevant	by Department of Home Affairs
				by
				eleased

K D

347F	
The Panel accepted the diagnoses outlined by the treating doct	
records supplied by the health care providers in Papua New Gu	
Medical Officer of the Commonwealth. IHAP have also provide significance found during this review.	commentary on other areas or clinical
The Panel agreed that ^{\$47F} requires specialist ^{\$47F}	care and therefore cannot safely
remain on Manus Island due to s47F	
will also require the s47F	to be managed once transferred to
care at FIN FOW.	F transfer to Australia may
The Panel noted that the treating doctors who recommended ^{s47} not be aware of the scope of the facilities available at PIH POM	transfer to Australia may
ward which opened in April 2019 and the incumbent spec	
The Panel noted and acknowledged the credentials of the treati	
The Panel noted that there appears to have been no prior attem	npts for ^{\$47F} to be transferred
to PIH POM for ^{s47F} care. The Panel acknowledged the curriculum vitae, qualifications and	d clinical experience of the head
	with $_{F}^{s47}$ credentials and believe that
	care needs are initially met. The Panel
s satisfied that PIH POM has a general physician able to review	v the clinical concerns raised above
under ^{847F}	
The Panel members agreed that it would be appropriate for ^{s47F} POM initially noting the above, the facilities and specialist servic	to be transferred to PIH
and treatment are initiated the Panel request that the case be re	
reatment in order to verify that his clinical course is improving.	
HAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak	
assessment at this time as sufficient clinical documentation has that ^{\$47F} has ^{\$47F} conditions and ^{\$47F}	
he treating medical professionals.	identified by
HAP acknowledges the uncertainty that ^{\$47F} may be early a second secon	xperiencing and request that ^{s47} be
pastorally supported during this time. IHAP also requests ^{\$47F}	be informed with necessary
nterpreter support that ^{s47} will be transferred to PIH POM for ^{s47f} All four IHAP members recommended that the urgent transfer o	
undertaken for ^{s47F} care.	
HAP recommendations	-475
All four IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is tha medical treatment should be refused initially. The recommenda	
eason:	mon was based on the following
1. The health care ^{\$47F} capacity at Pacific International	al Hospital in Port Moresby is
appropriate to manage the initial clinical need. s47F	requires transfer to PIH POM in order
to access the ^{s47F} facilities required to fully assess and	treat ^{\$47F}
2. The ^{s47F} service in PIH POM is open	and operating, with capacity for both
voluntary and involuntary admissions and has available bec	
	e experience and qualifications
required to adequately ensure s47F	health needs are initially assessed,
stratified and treated accordingly.	
 PIH POM has the facilities to ensure ^{s47F} are adequately assessed and treated. These issues will need 	ad to be highlighted to PIH DOM
	emain in PNG is subject to r^{347}
ransfer to PIH POM as ^{\$47} cannot be adequately cared for on M	
be transferred to PIH POM within 4	8 hours.
The IHAP will seek an updated clinical report from PIH POM tw	o weeks after arrival and review the
case again at that time. Meeting closed at 1850 hrs and the chair thanked the Panel me	mbers and the Secretariat

 transferred to PIH POM. The IHAP request a treatment of s47F week interval post transf Clinical Governance: occasions despite docum the Chief Medical Officer IHAP requests further for s47F whether this IHAP agreed the list treating clinical team at F IHAP also noted the 	clinical report fr c er. IHAP will co It was noted th nented allergy of PIH. er information or is occurring an of clinical condi PIH POM. treating doctors able at PIH POI be disseminate	conditions. This report is to be provid induct a follow-up review of his case he patient has been prescribed ^{s47F} . This issue s in the ongoing vaccination of transito id how. itions and suggestions listed above to s making the referral may not have b M. IHAP request the Department of to ed to treating doctors.	at that time. on two separate hould be notified to ry persons be provided to the een aware of the new	
2. Clinical Advi 3. Letter from st 4. Treating Drs a. streating		DC) Opinion dated 24.05.2019 – 2 pa – 5 pages ages – 6 pages	5	
b. ^{s47F} 5. Clinical Reco a. ^{s47F}		dical Records – 168 pages	– 6 pages	
Panel members assessing:		Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Molone	≥y	
IHAP Majority recommenda	ition:	Is the Minister's refusal confirmed: Majority (out of total members): Transfer is recommended:	Yes	Irs 1982
Date of IHAP	30/05/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	18:50	Affa Act
recommendation: Deemed approval (post 72 hours)?	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No	(1)
				Released by Department of Home under the Freedom of Information

For Official Use Only

Clinical Assessment

Meeting details		Bio	data details
IHAP referral	03/06/2019	Name: ^{\$47F}	
date/time:	1500 hrs	Date of birth: s47F	Current location: PIH POM
		Country of birth: s47F	ID number: ^{\$47F}
IHAP Meeting date:	03/06/2019 1800 hrs	Treating Doctor's referral attached:	Yes
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/A	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely s47F s47F
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes/No Note:
Interview with Transito	ory Person		
□ The IHAP undertook	an interview.		
	to conduct an interview but ics/consent/other barrier.	was unable to do this due to	lack of
□ The IHAP determine	d that it was not appropriate	e to conduct an interview beca	ause of risk of harm to the person.
IHAP findings:			22
	•	-	ndependent Health Advice Panel
Secretariat attending: s22	(1)(a)(ii) and s22(1)(a)(ii)	Department of H	
s47F			nel by the Minister for clinical 🔶 🚼
Following IHAP docume		has been diagnosed v	at ^{\$47} is a relevant transitory person.
s47F			eased by Department ler the <i>Freedom of Inf</i>
			Rele
IHAP Clinical Assessment			

98

Act

Information

of 2

reed ù

the G

eleased by Department of

The Panel accepted the diagnoses outlined by the treating doctors following review of the clinical records supplied by the health care providers in Papua New Guinea (PNG) and the report by the Medical Officer of the Commonwealth. The panel noted, however, the different approaches between the two treating doctors' reports. The reports were dated differently and the Panel acknowledged that clinical scenarios can change over time.

The Panel agreed that ^{\$47F} has not received appropriate treatment for $_{\rm F}^{\rm s47}$ conditions which include s47F . The Panel raised system issues including the handover protocols being followed in PNG to ensure consistent and appropriate treatment between professionals and facilities. Such protocols need individualised responsibility for patients with formal and documented handover, for patient care and protection.

The Panel did consider that ^{\$47F} can be treated in PNG and that the services available at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby or at Lorengau Hospital are appropriate, highlighting the need for specialised treatment of ^{\$47F} . Again, the Panel stated the need for the treating doctors to be educated regarding the facilities and treatment available at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby (PIH).

The panel noted the advice of the s47F	surgeon at PIH that s47F	conditions were
improving and requested further evidence of	this by way of photographs of the s47F	
within 48 hours of this report. The s47F	should include, as a minimum, ^{\$47F}	
	. In the presence of ^{s47F}	other tests are required.
	175	

It would be worth considering the performance of a ^{s47F} protocol is formulated for PIH.

An MRI report is imperative promptly, as findings may lead to a requirement for further ^{\$47F} to exclude . Should ^{\$47F} be confirmed, the Panel needs to be advised on long-term appropriate ^{\$47F} treatment and monitoring, to ensure appropriate management undertaken.

PIH should purchase online therapeutic guidelines.

IHAP	recommendations

s47F

transfer to Australia for The IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that ^{\$47F} treatment be continued medical treatment be refused. This recommendation is based on the following reasons:

- 1. The patient's conditions are improving and he is now receiving pain relief.
- 2. The appropriate treatment is available at PIH.

The IHAP members agreed that their recommendation that ^{\$47F} PNG and that their findings be shared with PIH regarding appropriate treatment.

Meeting closed at 18:50 hours.

Actions:	of the s47F	odition ^{\$47F} \ \$47F		-1-
The Panel requested photographs of the ^{s47F} condition ^{s47F}), ^{s47F} tests within 48 hours.				
The Secretariat will provide the dra	aft Clinical Asses	ssment to the Chair for review by 09:30 ho	ours on 4 June 2	2019.
Document library reviewed:				
1. ABF Client brief – 2 pa	ages			
	-	n dated 30.05.2019 – 2 pages		
3. Notification by ^{\$47F}	to Secretary			
4. Treating doctors' refer	ral – 13 pages			
a. ^{s47F}	– 6 pages			
b. ^{s47F}	– 7 pages			
5. Clinical Records a 40 pages				
b. – 1 page (updated report)				
6. Discharge Summaries	;			
		Dr. Darbadh Cagna		
		Dr Parbodh Gogna Professor Brendan Murphy		
Panel members assessing:		Dr Antonio Di Dio		
J		Associate Professor Susan Moloney		S 982
				ffairs >t 198
		Is the Minister's refusal confirmed:	Yes	ne At
IHAP Majority recommendation:		Majority (out of total members):	4/4	Hon
		Transfer is recommended:	No	it of
Date of IHAP recommendation:	04/06/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	15:47	artmer n of In
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)?	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No	/ Departr eedom c
				d by e <i>Fr</i>
				eleased nder the
				elea
				R H

For Official Use Only

Clinical Assessment

Meeting details		Biodata details	
IHAP referral	03/06/2019	Name: s47F	
date/time:	Time: 18:24 hrs	Date of birth: s47F	Current location: PNG Port Moresby
		Country of birth: ^{\$47F}	ID number: s47F
IHAP Meeting date:	03/06/2019 1800hrs	Treating Doctor's referral attached:	Yes
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/A	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes
Interview with Transito	ory Person		
□ The IHAP undertook	an interview.		
	to conduct an interview but ics/consent/other barrier.	was unable to do this due to	lack of
$\underline{\mathbf{x}}$ The IHAP determined	that it was not appropriate t	o conduct an interview becau	se of risk of harm to the person.
IHAP findings:			S 982
-	-	I four Independent Health Ad Professor Sue Moloney and F	vice Panel members: Dr Parbodh Professor Brendan Murphy.
	(1)(a)(ii) and s22(1)(a)(ii)	Department of H	ome Affairs.
		ndent Health Advice Panel by vo physicians that F^{s47} is a rele	the Minister for clinical assessment vant transitory person.
Following IHAP docume	nt review ^{s47F}	has been diagnosed with:	t of forn
s47F			leased by Departmen
IHAD Clinical Association			Rei

The Panel was concerned to read that "" is residing at hotel in Port Moresby without follow-up care given was transferred from Manus to Port Moresby for "" care. "" would obviously result in failure to attend outpatient clinic appointments. The Panel questioned the availability/quality of the domiciliary care available to "" . Members agreed that the use that the patient would be able to attend outpatient heath services given "" . Members agreed that the sunkey that the patient would be able to attend outpatient heath services given "" . Members agreed that the sunkey that the patient appeared to have received suboptimal care during handover i.e. when " was transferred from East Lorengau medical centre to PIH POM. The Chair advised That first arrived on Christmas Island. The Chair advised that he was the Area Medical Director on Christmas Island during 2012/2013 and all arrivals had his name listed on the pathelogy and chest x-ray requests. HAP noted the potential/perceived conflict of interest and as the Chair was not the treating doctor on Christmas Island during 2012/2013 and all arrivals had his name listed on the pathelogy and chest x-ray requests. HAP noted the Chair to continue in the discussion. The panel also noted the name of "" as the treating Medical Practitioner at ELRTC Manus Island. The panel discussed clinical handover and failure to treat/admit as well as pathways for safety netting. Failure to admit to PIH POM and acute cessation of medical practitioners employed by PIH provided to PIH POM as socially precised aconflict of interest and the site intal active the Panel request that the treating Medical Practitioner at ELRTC Manus Island. HAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with the patient had """ as a social during a system of a spreadsheet of the treating medical course in more of "" as the treating Medical Director on continue and the treating medical course in the treating the clinical documentation has been provided by PIH PIN be undertaken for partity of		
was transferred from Manus to Port Moresby for ^{MYT} care, ^{MYT}		
was transferred from Manus to Port Moresby for ^{MYT} care, ^{MYT}		
was transferred from Manus to Port Moresby for ^{MYT} care, ^{MYT}		
This Panel questioned the availability/quality of the domiciliary care available to ¹⁷⁷ . Members agreed that it is unlikely that the patient would be able to attend outpatient health services given ¹⁷⁷ . Members agreed that it is unlikely that the patient would be able to attend outpatient health services given ¹⁷⁷ . Members agreed that it is unlikely that the patient appeared to have received suboptimal care during handover i.e. when ¹⁷⁸ was transferred from East Lorengau medical centre to PIH POM. The Chair advised ¹⁷⁷ new was listed in the patients notes on the ¹⁷⁷ results taken when the patient first arrived on Christmas Island. The Chair advised frat may advised that he was the Area Medical Director on Christmas Island during 2012/2013 and all arrivals had his name listed on the pathology and chest x-ray requests. IHAP noted the chair to continue in the discussion. The panel also noted the name of ¹⁷⁷ as the treating Medical Practitioner at ELRTC Manus Island. The panel also noted the name of ¹⁷⁷ as the treating Medical Practitioner at ELRTC Manus Island. The panel also noted the name of ¹⁷⁷ as the treating Medical Practitioner at ELRTC Manus Island. The panel also noted the name of ¹⁷⁷ as mane did not appear on a spreadsheet of medical practitioners employed by PIH provided to IHAP. The panel discussed clinical handover and failure to treat/admit as well as pathways for safety netting. Failure to admit to PIH POM and acute cessation of medications over 10 days is of concern. Past history of ¹⁶⁷⁷ was noted but also on the ¹⁶⁷⁷ to be transferred to PIH POM as social as sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted the ¹⁶⁷⁷ for clinical assessment and treatment are initiated the Panel request that the eagle of the the same as sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that ¹⁶⁷⁷ has a sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that ¹⁶⁷⁷ has a sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP	^{\$47} was transferred from Manus to Port Moresby for ^{\$47F} care. ^{\$47F}	e given
it is unlikely that the patient would be able to attend outpatient health services given ⁴⁷⁷ Admission to Pacific International Hospital (PIH POM) is urgently required for the patient. The Panel expressed concern that the patient appeared to have received suboptimal care during handover i.e. when was transferred from East Lorengau medical centre to PIH POM. The Chair advised ⁵⁶⁷ name was listed in the patients notes on the ⁵⁴⁷⁶ results taken when the patient first arrived on Christmas Island. The Chair advised that he was the Area Medical Director on Christmas Island during 2012/2013 and all arrivals had his name listed on the pathology and chest x-ray requests. IHAP noted the potential/perceived conflict of interest and as the Chair was not the treating doctor on Christmas Island allowed the Chair to continue in the discussion. The panel noted ¹⁶⁷⁷ as the treating Medical Practitioner at ELRTC Manus Island. The panel noted ¹⁶⁷⁷ as supported to IHAP. The panel discussed clinical handover and failure to treat/admit as well as pathways for safety netting. Failure to admit to PIH POM and acute cessation of medical practitioners employed by PIH provided to IHAP. The Panel members agreed that it would be appropriate for ¹⁶⁷⁷ to be transferred to PIH POM as social as second all review, assessment and treatment are initiated the Panel request that the come review astered to verify that his clinical course is improving. IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with ¹⁶⁷⁷ for clinical assessment and treatment are initiated the Panel request that the come of the sufficience of the sufficience of the treating medical professionals. All four IHAP members recommended that the urgent transfer of ¹⁶⁷⁷ to PIH POM be undertaken for ¹⁶⁷⁷ care.	The Panel queried the quality of support and lack of treatment s47F is currently receiving in the comr	munity.
The Panel expressed concern that the patient appeared to have received suboptimal care during handover i.e. when was transferred from East Lorengau medical centre to PIH POM. The Chair advised if name was listed in the patients notes on the iff when the patient first arrived on Christmas Island. The Chair advised that he was the Area Medical Director on Christmas Island during 2012/2013 and all arrivals had his name listed on the pathology and chest x-ray requests. IHAP noted the potential/perceived conflict of interest and as the Chair was not the treating doctor on Christmas Island allowed the Chair to continue in the discussion. The panel also noted the name of interest and as the Chair was not the treating doctor on Christmas Island allowed the Chair to continue in the discussion. The panel also noted the name of interest and as the treating Medical Practitioner at ELRTC Manus Island. The panel also noted the name of interest and as well as pathways for safety netting. Failure to admit to PIH POM and acute cessation of medications over 10 days is of concern. Past history of interest and but also on the interest and initiated the Panel request that the case body practically possible. Once clinical review, assessment and treatment are initiated the Panel request that the case body in the panel adition to be necessary for them to speak directly with interest in proving. IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with interest in proving. IHAP members recommended that the urgent transfer of interest on PIH POM be undertaken for interest care.		ed that
 was transferred from East Lorengau medical centre to PIH POM. The Chair advised ^M name was listed in the patients notes on the ^{MPT} results taken when the patient first arrived on Christmas Island. The Chair advised that he was the Area Medical Director on Christmas Island during 2012/2013 and all arrivals had his name listed on the pathology and chest x-ray requests. IHAP noted the potential/perceived conflict of interest and as the Chair was not the treating doctor on Christmas Island all arrivates had its many requests. IHAP noted the potential/perceived conflict of interest and as the Chair was not the treating doctor on Christmas Island allowed the Chair to continue in the discussion. The panel also noted the name of ^{MPT} as the treating Medical Practitioner at ELRTC Manus Island. The panel also noted the fame of medical practitioners employed by PIH provided to IHAP. The panel discussed clinical handover and failure to treat/admit as well as pathways for safety netting. Failure to admit to PIH POM and acute cessation of medications over 10 days is of concern. Past history of ^{MPT} was noted but also on the ^{MPT} the patient had ^{MPT} the patient had ^{MPT} was noted but also on the ^{MPT} to be transferred to PIH POM as scofface. Panel members agreed that it would be appropriate for ^{MPT} to be transferred to PIH POM as scofface. IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with ^{MPT} for clinical assessment at treating medical professionals. All four IHAP members recommended that the urgent transfer of ^{MPT} to PIH POM be undertaken for the patient professionals. All four IHAP members recommended that the urgent transfer of ^{MPT} to PIH POM be undertaken for the patient professionals. All four IHAP members recommended that the urgent transfer of ^{MPT} to PIH POM be undertaken for the patient is a sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that ^{MPT} has a su	Admission to Pacific International Hospital (PIH POM) is urgently required for the patient.	
when the patient first arrived on Christmas Island. The Chair advised that he was the Area Medical Director on Christmas Island during 2012/2013 and all arrivals had his name listed on the pathology and chest x-ray requests. IHAP noted the potential/perceived conflict of interest and as the Chair was not the treating doctor on Christmas Island allowed the Chair to continue in the discussion. The panel also noted the name of stree as the treating Medical Practitioner at ELRTC Manus Island. The panel also noted the name of stree as the treating Medical Practitioner at ELRTC Manus Island. The panel also noted the name of medical practitioners employed by PIH provided to IHAP. The panel also noted that medical practitioners employed by PIH provided to IHAP. The panel discussed clinical handover and failure to treat/admit as well as pathways for safety netting. Failure to admit to PIH POM and acute cessation of medications over 10 days is of concern. Past history of store was noted but also on the store to verify that his clinical course is improving. IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with store inicial assessment at this time as sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that store in a sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that store is many and store and store as a sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that store is a sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that store is many identified by the treating medical professionals. All four IHAP members recommended that the urgent transfer of store care.		.e. when
The panel noted ^{\$47F} did not appear on a spreadsheet of medical practitioners employed by PIH provided to IHAP. The panel discussed clinical handover and failure to treat/admit as well as pathways for safety netting. Failure to admit to PIH POM and acute cessation of medications over 10 days is of concern. Past history of ^{\$47F} was noted but also on the ^{\$47F} to be transferred to PIH POM as so on a practically possible. Once clinical review, assessment and treatment are initiated the Panel request that the case be reviewed after an initial period of treatment in order to verify that his clinical course is improving. IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with ^{\$47F} for clinical assessment this time as sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that ^{\$47F} has accepted that the urgent transfer of ^{\$47F} to PIH POM be undertaken for the reating medical professionals. All four IHAP members recommended that the urgent transfer of ^{\$47F} to PIH POM be undertaken for the reating medical professionals.	when the patient first arrived on Christmas Island. The Chair advised that he was the Area Medical Director Christmas Island during 2012/2013 and all arrivals had his name listed on the pathology and chest x-ray req IHAP noted the potential/perceived conflict of interest and as the Chair was not the treating doctor on Christman	on uests.
admit to PIH POM and acute cessation of medications over 10 days is of concern. Past history of ^{save} was noted but also on the ^{save} the patient had ^{save} to be transferred to PIH POM as soon as practically possible. Once clinical review, assessment and treatment are initiated the Panel request that the case be reviewed after an initial period of treatment in order to verify that his clinical course is improving. IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with ^{save} for clinical assessment and treatment are initiated the Panel request that the case be reviewed after an initial period of treatment in order to verify that his clinical course is improving. IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with ^{save} for clinical assessment and this time as sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that ^{save} has addited by the treating medical professionals. All four IHAP members recommended that the urgent transfer of ^{save} care.	The panel noted ^{\$47F} . The panel also note that ^{\$47F}	
The Panel members agreed that it would be appropriate for ^{847F} to be transferred to PIH POM as so that it practically possible. Once clinical review, assessment and treatment are initiated the Panel request that the case of the reviewed after an initial period of treatment in order to verify that his clinical course is improving. IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with ^{847F} for clinical assessment and treatment are initiated the Panel request that the case of the provided that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with ^{847F} for clinical assessment at a provided. IHAP has accepted that ^{847F} has a conditions and ^{847F} conditions and ^{847F} to PIH POM be undertaken for the treating medical professionals. All four IHAP members recommended that the urgent transfer of ^{847F} to PIH POM be undertaken for the provided of the provided that the urgent transfer of ^{847F} care.		re to
practically possible. Once clinical review, assessment and treatment are initiated the Panel request that the case be reviewed after an initial period of treatment in order to verify that his clinical course is improving. IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with ^{847F} for clinical assessment at this time as sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that ^{847F} has this time as sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that ^{847F} has attributed that the urgent transfer of ^{847F} to PIH POM be undertaken for the provided that the urgent transfer of ^{847F} care.	Past history of ^{s47F} was noted but also on the ^{s47F} the patient had ^{s47F}	. 22
this time as sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that ^{\$47F} has conditions and ^{\$47F} identified by the treating medical professionals. All four IHAP members recommended that the urgent transfer of ^{\$47F} to PIH POM be undertaken for care.	practically possible. Once clinical review, assessment and treatment are initiated the Panel request that the	
Parte care.	this time as sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that ^{\$47F} has	Hometa
Released by E under the <i>Fre</i>		nent of Info
Released by E under the <i>Fre</i>		artr m c
Released by under the <i>Fr</i>		Dep
Released under the		by I Fre
L Rel Uno		
L Rel Uno		eas ler t
	IHAP Clinical Assessment	Rel

s47F

IHAP r	IHAP recommendations					
	r IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that ^{\$47F} transfer to Australia for medical ent be refused . This recommendation is based on the following reasons:					
1.	The patient is acutely unwell and requires voluntary or involuntary admission to PIH POM within 24 hours for urgent s47F care.					
2.	Patient requires screening for underlying causes of s47F .					
3.	The Panel note severely ^{\$47F} patients may ^{\$47F} and suggest that a domiciliary care system be considered for such patients in PNG (whether located in Manus or Port Moresby). This should include ongoing clinical surveillance in the community.					
4.	The CMO at PIH be informed of the significant concerns raised by the Panel on :-					
	- Poor continuity of care provided to the patient (failure to directly admit to PIH POM).					
	 Medication continuity issues- patient has been without medication following transfer to hotel accommodation in Port Moresby. 					
	- Failure of adequate clinical handover.					
	 Investigate whether ^{s47F} PIH at the ELRTC ^{s47F} 	у				
	- Progress notes from 4th Feb 2019 incorrectly mention s47F instead of a script for s47F					
5.	Provide feedback to the treating doctor ^{s47F} that the patient resides on Manus Island, not Nauru indicated in the report provided.	as				
6.	Identification of correct patient: The panel notes the patients name is recorded in multiple ways throughout the patient notes/reports (MOC Report, Client brief, IHAP Secretariat and Treating doctors). As such, there are concerns regarding whether all of the medical records are for the ^{\$47F} . The panel reque that the Department of Home Affairs provide scrutiny to ensure documents provided to IHAP are accurate and reflect the same patient.	Э				
<u>Action</u>	<u>IS:</u>					
•	Urgent Admission of Patient to PIH POM.					
•	The IHAP request a clinical report from PIH POM on conditions. This report is to be provided at the three-week interval post transfer. IHA will conduct a follow-up review of his case at that time.	47 PON				
•	The CMO at PIH be provided with Recommendation 4 and that a request for feedback be provided to HA on the issues raised	ACU				
•	The Department of Home Affairs be advised of the necessity of s47F care to s47F patients so they are not lost to follow-up.	allon				
•	IHAP also noted the treating doctors making the referral may not have been aware of the new s47F facilities available at PIH POM. IHAP request the Department of Home Affairs consider this information be disseminated to treating doctors.	IIIOIIII				
•						
		D				
		5				
		an				
	ř.	5				

Document library reviewed: ABF Client brief – 2 pages				
 Clinical Advisory Tear Letter from ^{\$47F} 	n (MOC) Opinion	dated 26.05.2019 – 2 pages – 6 pages		
3. Treating Drs referral –	13 pages			
a. ^{\$47F}	1.001			– 9 pages
b. s47F		– 6 pages		
4. Clinical Records a. s47F Medical Records – 111 pages b. s47F Health Discharge Summary – 7 pages c. s47F Report 6.1.19 – 2 pages d. s47F Report 30.1.19 – 1 pages e. s47F Report 31.1.19 – 1 pages				
		Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair)		
		Professor Brendan Murphy		
Panel members assessing:		Dr Antonio Di Dio		
		Associate Professor Susan Moloney		
		Is the Minister's refusal confirmed:	Yes	
IHAP Majority recommendation:		Majority (out of total members):	4/4	
		Transfer is recommended:	No	
Date of IHAP recommendation:	05/06/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	08:41	
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)?	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No	rs 982
				Released by Department of Home Affairs under the <i>Freedom of Information Act</i> 198

For Official Use Only

Clinical Assessment

Meetin	Meeting details		Biodata details	
IHAP referral	5/06/2019 15:13	Name: ^{\$47F}		
date/time:		Date of birth: s47F	Current location: Port Moresby PNG	
		Country of birth:	ID number: ^{s47F}	
IHAP Meeting date:	6/06/2019 18:00	Treating Doctor's referral attached:	Yes	
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/A	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely	
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes	
Interview with Transito	ory Person			
□ The IHAP undertook	an interview.			
□ The IHAP attempted to conduct an interview but was unable to do this due to lack of capability/capacity/logistics/consent/other barrier.				
☑ The IHAP determined	d that it was not appropriate	e to conduct an interview beca	ause of risk of harm to the person.	
IHAP findings: Initial meeting: 18:00 hrs on Thursday 6 June 2019 attended by all four current Independent Health Advice Panel, members: Dr Parbodh Gogna (Panel Chair), Dr Antonio Di Dio, A/Professor Susan Maloney and Professor Brendan Murphy.				
Secretariat attending: s22	(1)(a)(ii) and ^{s22(1)(a)(ii)}	Department of He	ome Affairs	
has been referred to the Independent Health Advice Panel by the Minister for clinical assessment following a notification having been received from two physicians that ^{\$47} / _F is a relevant transitory person.				
Following IHAP document review ^{s47F} has been diagnosed with:			f Ini	
			eleased by Departm nder the <i>Freedom o</i>	
IHAP Clinical Assessment			r n	

s47F	
The Chair advised his name was listed in the patients notes on the ^{\$47F} results the when the patient first arrived on Christmas Island. The Chair advised that he was the Area Medical Director Christmas Island during 2012/2013 and all arrivals had his name listed on the ^{\$47F} req IHAP noted the potential/perceived conflict of interest and as the Chair was not the treating doctor on Christman Island allowed the Chair to continue in the discussion.	on uests.
The Panel discussed the patient's recent prolonged hospital stays at PIH POM primarily for medical treatme Panel notes that the therapeutic relationship between s47F and PIH POM broke down during F ³⁴⁷ recent hospital admission in March 2019 as evidenced by s47F	
The Panel accepted that s47F has the above s47F conditions and s47F , noting the treating medical professional reports. The Panel felt that s47F s47F were significant even though historical documentation of the incidents were not available. The Panel noted the point of s47F symptoms may be s47F POM s47F reports queried whether s47F symptoms may be s47F the medication charts indicate the patient was prescribed a large number of s47F medications. It was noted that the patient appears to have s47F	yet s also
may indicate further decline in ^{\$47F} . The Panel notes the patients name is recorded in multiple ways throughout the patient notes eg	irs 1982
Panel members noted the clinical safety error can occur when patients are incorrectly identified however accepted in good faith all of the medical records a s47F	1.1
IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with assessment at this time as sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that has accepted that conditions and stress and stress accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical has stress accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical has stress accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that for clinical documentation has been p	Contemportation Barrier
professionals.	rent f Info
	Jepartn edom o
	ed by
	eleased
	Rel

<u>IHAP r</u>	ecommendations					
1.	All four IHAP members recommended that to receive ^{\$47F}	be transferred to Austra care.	lia on medical g	rounds		
2.	 Identification of correct patient: The panel notes the patients name is recorded in multiple ways throug the patient notes/reports (MOC Report, Client brief, IHAP Secretariat and Treating doctors). As such, are concerns regarding whether all of the medical records are for the ^{\$47F}. The panel req that the Department of Home Affairs provide scrutiny to ensure documents provided to IHAP are accu and reflect the same patient. 					
3.	5475					
Action	<u>s:</u>					
1.	Patient is transported to Australia for specia	alist care as soon as practicable.				
2.	IHAP noted it had recently provided advicerequest a bed status of the PIH POMPIH POMs47Ffacility.	on cases for ^{s47F} care at facility. IHAP Secretariat to follow-up	PIH POM. IHAF o on bed availal			
3.	Notify IHAP on completed transfer.					
Docun	nent library reviewed: ABF Client brief – 2	pages				
	 ABF Client brief – 2 pages Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 03.06.2019 – 2 pages Letter from ^{s47F} dated 31.05.2019 – 6 pages Treating Drs referral – 19 pages 					
	a. ^{547F} b. ^{547F}	– 12 pages – 7 pages				
		cords – 319 pages		S 982		
	b. ^{s47F} Health Disc	harge Summary – 9 pages		Affair Act 19		
				ome tion .		
		Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair)		Hol		
		Professor Brendan Murphy		t of forn		
Panel members assessing:		Dr Antonio Di Dio		tment of Info		
		Associate Professor Susan Moloney		artm m oi		
		Is the Minister's refusal confirmed:	No	Depar		
	Majority recommendation:	Majority (out of total members):	4/4	l by Fre		
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		seo		
				elea		
				R P		

		Transfer is recommended:	Yes
Date of IHAP recommendation:	07/06/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	17:55
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)?	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No

Released by Department of Home Affairs under the Freedom of Information Act 1982

Meeting details		Biodata details			
IHAP referral	07/06/2019	Name: s47F			
date/time:	Time: 1643 hrs	Date of birth: s47F	Current location: PNG		
		Country of birth: ^{s47F}	ID number: ^{s47F}		
IHAP Meeting date:	08/06/2019 1700 hrs	Treating Doctor's referral received?	Yes		
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	10/06/2017 Time: 1200 hrs	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely		
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes		
Interview with Transito	ory Person				
□ The IHAP undertook	an interview.				
☐ The IHAP attempted to conduct an interview but was unable to do this due to lack of capability/capacity/logistics/consent/other barrier.					
☑ The IHAP determined that it was not appropriate to conduct an interview because of risk of harm to the person.					
IHAP findings:					
Initial meeting: 1700 hrs on Saturday 8 June 2019 attended by all four current Independent Health Advice panel members. Dr Parbodh Gogna (Panel Chair), Dr Antonio Di Dio, A/Professor Susan Moloney and Professor Brendan Murphy.					
Secondary meeting: 1200 hrs on Monday 10 June 2019 attended by all four current Independent Health Advice panel members. Dr Parbodh Gogna (Panel Chair), Dr Antonio Di Dio, A/Professor Susan Moloney and Professor Brendan Murphy.					
Secretariat attending: s22		Department of Home			
following a notification h	following a patification baying been received from two physicians that ^{\$47} is a relevant transitory percent				
Following IHAP docume	nt review ^{s47F} has b	een diagnosed with:			
			by Fre		
This document may contain 'personal identifiers' and 'personal information' as defined under the Migration 1958 or Australian Citizenship Act 2007, and can only be used for purposes under these Acts.					



c/7F

The Chair advised that he was the Area Medical Director on Christmas Island during 2012/2013 and all arriv his name listed on the pathology and chest x-ray requests. IHAP noted the potential/perceived conflict of interand allowed the Chair to continue in the discussions.	
The panel noted the diagnoses outlined by the treating doctors following review of the clinical records suppli- the health care provider in Papua New Guinea (PNG). The panel agreed that stars requires urgent ar specialised stars care for stars conditions which include listed above.	-
The panel agreed that ^{\$47F} required urgent ^{\$47F} care that cannot be sufficiently provided on Mar Island. The panel were concerned whether ^{\$47F} in ^{\$47} current location until either to PIH POM or Australia can be enacted.	
The Panel considered the services available at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby (PIH POM) and that s47F can be treated there initially provided $^{s47}_{F}$ is able to be transferred to PIH POM at the earlies possible time. The Panel agreed that if there is a services/logistical delay for admission at PIH POM then $^{s47}_{F}$ should be transferred to Australia for treatment.	
The Panel noted that the treating doctor's reviews took place two weeks ago and the report from the ^{\$47F} PIH ELRTC approximately one week ago. This chronology of events and the risk to the individual underpin t recommendation of expedient transfer of ^{\$47F} .	Affair
All four IHAP members recommended in the interim that ^{\$47F} be transferred to PIH POM within 48 he	ours. 0
The Panel agreed to reconvene on Monday 10 June 2019, to review the transfer of ^{\$47F} to PIH POM Panel agreed to reserve their final recommendation on ^{\$47F} transfer to Australia pending review of being feasible to PIH POM during these 48 hours.	~
The Panel notes the patients name is recorded in multiple ways throughout the patient notes Panel member the clinical safety errors that can occur when patients are incorrectly identified however accepted in good fait the medical records are for ^{\$47F} .	thall of
The Panel also note that some of the scanned clinical notes provided to the panel are unreadable.	\cap \cup
The Panel noted a medical record for medical record for medical record. s47F was found in F	d by l e <i>Fre</i>
	Release under th

IHAP d this tim ^{s47F}	etermined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with e as sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that and ^{s47F} has identified by the treating medical professionals.	ent at
<u>IHAP r</u>	ecommendations	
1.	The IHAP members recommended that meeting on 8 June 2049. The IHAP requires urgent at the secretariat to notify the Department of the initial recommendation and provide feedback at the 10 June 2019 meeting.	nt for
2.	The IHAP recommends that ^{\$47F} be refused transfer to Australia as ^{\$47F} has been transferred to PIH POM within the required timeframe. This was notified to IHAP members at the me 10 June 2019.	eting on
3.	The CMO at PIH be informed of the concerns raised by the panel on:	
	- Progress notes with different patient names have been noted in the same record.	
	- Decision support tools such as Therapeutic Guidelines be considered for stewardship.	
4.	^{s47F} be considered for all transitory persons noting this is ^{s47F} by the IHAP on case reviews.	noted
8 June	2019 meeting closed at 1725 hours.	
10 June	e 2019 meeting closed at 1221 hours.	
Action	<u>s:</u>	
1.	Patient be transferred to PIH POM within 48 hours.	
2.	Transfer/case will be reviewed at a follow-up meeting. If transfer to PIH POM is not possible in the timeframe suggested by IHAP then transfer to Australia will need discussion. Second IHAP meeting scheduled for 10 June 2019, 1200 PM to review transfer recommendation prior to expiry of the 72 ho timeframe. Secretariat will provide an update at that meeting.	
3.	IHAP agreed the list of clinical conditions and suggestions listed above be provided to the treating cl team at PIH POM/Australia- so that ^{\$47F} disease/ conditions be attended to.	inical up
4.	Following the secondary meeting IHAP acknowledged patient has been transferred to PIH POM.	'Hc
5.	IHAP would like a clinical progress report for ^{s47F} after 3 weeks to review how ^{s47F} is progressing.	
6.	IHAP Secretariat will notify the Panel in any delays in the provision of the review report.	tment of Inf
	Comoitius	Released by Deparunder the Freedom
	Sensitive Page :	3 of 4

	Document library reviewed: ABF Client brief – 2 pages			
 Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 03.06.2019 – 2 pages Letter from ^{\$47}E 				
 Letter from ^{s47F} - 5 pages Treating Drs referral - 12 pages 				
 3. Treating Drs referral – 12 pages a. ^{\$47F} – 7 pages 				
b. s47F		– 5 pages		
4. Clinical Records a. s47F b. s47F		ords – 399 pages arge Summary – 6 pages		
		Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair)		
		Professor Brendan Murphy		
Panel members assessing:		Dr Antonio Di Dio		
		Associate Professor Susan Moloney		
		Is the Minister's refusal confirmed:	Yes	
IHAP Majority recommendation:		Majority (out of total members):	4/4	
		Transfer is recommended:	No	
Date of IHAP				
recommendation:	10/06/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	1221 hours	
	10/06/2019 No	Meeting audio recorded:	1221 hours No	s 382

Independent Health Advice Panel First Face-to-Face Meeting Minutes

		For Of	ficial Use Only	teleased by		
				by Department of H		
Apologies:		Nil		ent		
Meeting open: 08:45 Acknowledgement of Country:		Dr Gogna, IHAP Cl	nair	of Hon		
Mosting one	n. 09.45	IVIS	Acting Director, ITAP Secretariat	me		
		Wellbeing Division Ms ^{s22(1)(a)(ii)}	Acting Director, IHAP Secretariat	Aff.		
		s22(1)(a)(ii) Acting Assistant Secretary, Health Services Policy and C		affis 1082		
		Mr Anton Bockwinkel, Assistant Secretary, Migration and Citizenship Law				
		Mr David Nockels, First Assistant Secretary, Property and Major Contracts Ms Alana Sullivan, Assistant Secretary, Regional Processing Taskforce				
		Operations Command, ABF Mr Stephen Hayward, First Assistant Secretary, Health Services Policy and Child Wellbeing Division Ms Pip De Veau, First Assistant Secretary/Legal Counsel				
		Mr Peter Timson, A	cting Assistant Commissioner, Detention and Offshore			
Department of Affairs:	of Home	Ms Cheryl-anne Moy, Chief Operating Officer, Deputy Secretary Corporate and Enabling				
			or Susan Moloney, Royal Australasian College of pert in paediatric health, IHAP member			
		Dr Antonio Di Dio,	Australian Medical Association, IHAP member			
		Professor Brendan Murphy, Australian Government Chief Medical Officer (Commonwealth Chief Medical Officer), Department of Health, IHAP member				
Independent Advice Pane		Dr Parbodh Gogna, Chief Medical Officer of the Department of Home Affairs and Surgeon-General of the Australian Border Force, IHAP Chair				
Organisation		Participants				
Participants:						
Location:	ocation: Ground Floor, 5 Constitution Avenue Canberra ACT 2600					
Time:	8:45 am to 12:00 pm (AEDT)					
Date:	Wednesday, 12 June 2019					

Conflict of Interest declarations: Dr Gogna advised that he was the Area Medical Director on Christmas Island during 2012/2013 and all arrivals had his name listed on the pathology and chest x-ray requests. The Chair also advised he was a Senior Medical Officer on Manus Island 2013/2014. The meeting noted the potential/perceived conflict of interest and advised they were happy for the Chair to continue in the discussion.

The agenda for the meeting is at **<u>Attachment A</u>**.

[Item 1] The Chair opened the meeting and welcomed Independent Health Advice Panel (IHAP) members and departmental officers. He mentioned that the main theme of the meeting would be the second quarterly report, and apologised for the lateness of the provision of the agenda and background documents due to clearance processes and undertook the Secretariat to provide these earlier for future meetings.

[Item 2] Ms Moy noted Dr Gogna's role as IHAP Chair and advised of the challenges with his role of Chief Medical Officer/Surgeon General for the Department. Mr Hayward introduced the Panel members to the departmental participants noting their roles.

[Item 3] Ms Moy updated the Panel on the Ministers and their new titles and explained that at any time prior to the first sitting day in July, the Prime Minister will issue charters to each Minister. In the meantime, we will work to Minister Dutton until we know which Minister has Regional Processing in their charter.

Ms Moy said that she is awaiting Minister Coleman's advice on who would sign off the appointment of new Panel members and that she would follow up with his office today.

Action Item 1: COO

Ms Moy provided an update on the relevant legislation and commented that, given the particular circumstances that gave rise to the relevant provisions, there was no explanatory material (such as Explanatory Memoranda and Second Reading speeches) to explain Parliament's intention behind the provisions. As a result, the intent of those who drafted the Bill is still somewhat unknown on some aspects. While the Department is awaiting a Federal Court decision about how an 'assessment' to be conducted by treating doctors is to be read, the issue of whether the legislation may be repealed would not be known until after Parliament's first sitting day in early July. She reminded the Panel, that the Department continued to execute its day-to-day business while awaiting these outcomes.

s42	partment of Home Affa	form of Information Act
	by De	Freed
Ms Moy thanked the Panel and the Secretariat for their work and wished them well for their me	eetin	g
before departing to attend to other commitments at 0915hrs.	ase	r th
	<u>e</u>	Ge
	C	č

For Official Use Only

s42		
s42		
s42		
where their own professional obligation would The Chair brought up the issue arising in a paralel to refuse transfer without having eyes impression it had become a deemed approv	Action Item 2: <u>Legal Co</u> o be an issue when members travel to offshore loca Id be to provide medical assistance if appropriate. articular case where a recommendation was made to s or ears on the individual, but the Panel were under al. Some time later, IHAP were informed that the p bital (PIH) in Port Moresby rather than Australia, ar	ations and ations and ations and ations at the second seco
•	cation provided. Mr Hayward will confirm the sequ	C C
s42	Action Item 3:	by D Free
For O	fficial Use Only Pag	e 3 of 7

Following the meeting, Dr Di Dio suggested a way to allow the Department to provide indemnity cover to members should be to employ IHAP members as consultants.

Action Item 4: Secretariat

[Items 4, 5 & 8] The Panel members were informed of the various arrangements in place for the medical transfer of relevant transitory persons. Twenty-two persons had been transferred since enactment of s198E without referral to IHAP being necessary.

Information was provided on regional processing arrangements as well as specialist, psychiatric and General Practioner healthcare available on Nauru via International Health and Medical Services (IHMS), and specialist fly-in support where required. The meeting was also informed of the processes involved when working under different sovereign laws and the air-traffic permission required for every transfer.

Mr Nockels provided a comprehensive briefing on the statistics (staff and refugee) and services provided on Nauru.

Mr Timson provided an overview of the processes in place to transfer relevant transitory persons. This occurs mainly for emergency situations; however, permission from the Government of Nauru is still required for each case.

He also outlined the different processes under which medical transfers take place, noting transfer under s198B of the Act are considered and approved by departmental officials (himself and Mr Hayward) and those under s198E of the Act require ministerial approval and potentially the IHAP assessment.

Ms Sullivan described the process supporting the agreement with the United States to accept offshore refugees and that this process would conclude this year.

Mr Nockels stated that there is a regular flow of patients treated at PIH Port Moresby returning to Manus Island once treatment is complete.

[Item 12] Ms Sullivan stated that obtaining visas for the Panel members to travel to Nauru and PNG should be straight forward once the governments are informed of the terms of the visit.

Mr Hayward said that the Department would pave the way for the visits, providing briefing for the travelling members. He asked the Secretariat to work with the Panel and Ms Sullivan to arrange briefings that include personal security advice.

Action Item 5: Secretariat / RPC Taskforce

The Chair raised concerns that such a visit could cause an unpredictable reaction in the transitor person cohort and suggested scenario planning. Ms Sullivan noted that self-harm incidents increase when Australians visit Manus and the need to work with service providers in the lead up, during and after any visit. She noted that there have been 60 incidents since announcement of the election result; most of which were non-life threatening in nature.

Self-harm incidents were referred to a case manager or a psychologist and the Department was indiscussions with PIH with regard to respite facilities that were geographically separated.

The Panel were informed by Ms Sullivan that permission may not be granted to visit sites due to issues following previous visits. Also, Manus may decline a visit at any point due to compliance activities scheduled for that time. Ũ

For Official Use Only

0

Ga P

C

ð

Following these discussions, the remainder of the meeting included Panel members and HSPCWD/Secretariat staff.

[Item 11] The Chair noted that most of the processes in place were running well. These included the various trackers, knowledge repositories for information requested and case information.

The Panel have requested a "watching brief" following a recommendation to ensure that a person's transfer is tracked. The meeting discussed the best way to capture this information, including a separate tracker, use of a traffic-light system, and it was decided that the Secretariat would work with Offshore Health Operations (ABF) and provide the Panel with a process for their consideration. The Chair requested that the column on the current IHAP case tracker be changed from "transfer" to "outcome" to allow for the full range of final outcomes.

Action Item 6: Secretariat with ABF

Associate Professor Moloney suggested that when the Panel is fully constituted, it would be useful to assign a different Panel member to have oversight of each case to lead with the details.

The Chair requested that the Secretariat consider more internal clinical expertise to support the IHAP. Mr Hayward stated that the role of the Secretariat was to remain at a distance from the medical expertise provided by the Panel members, and that the Secretariat was looking at receiving training in medical terminology to increase their skills in this area.

Action Item 7: Secretariat

The IHAP agreed that governance around decisions made at assessments needed to be in place. The IHAP will review the clinician decision tracker at all meetings.

Action item 8: Panel and Secretariat

The Panel discussed the draft Operations of the IHAP (formerly the Terms of Reference) and agreed to formally approve the current draft today, noting that the document will be updated as required. The Chair requested a time-log be incorporated in the document: when first drafted, provided to IHAP members, when changed to Operations, etc.

Action Item 9: Secretaria	<u>at</u>
Secretariat to work with both A/Prof Moloney and Dr Di Dio to ensure they have full functioning accest to GovTEAMS.	t 198
Action Item 10: Secretaria	af
[Item 12] The Chair gave his opinion that, due to time constraints for Panel reporting (First Report he would prefer that one member visit PNG and another visit Nauru. After discussion, it was agree that two members would visit PNG in early August 2019 and two would visit Nauru in September of October 2019, and that the Minister would be informed by the Chair that the report would be delayed due to the logistic issues with travelling. The Chair requested that the Secretariat assist Dr Di Dio wit his passport application.	fermatic
Action item 11: Secretaria	aE
The Chair advised that the document circulated for comment "Regional Processing Country visits including suggested questions and likely areas of interest on visits, requires finalisation. Dr Gon and A/Professor Moloney to finalise.	0
Action item 12: Pane	e
Relea	under

For Official Use Only

Page 5 of 7

[Item 9] The Chair advised the Panel that some minor amendments had been made to the 31 March report, previously cleared by the Panel. The amendments were at the suggestion of Ms Moy. Members were happy with the amendments and the Chair requested that the Secretariat progress to the Minister as soon as possible. He also requested that the template for the 30 June guarterly report be updated to reflect the amendments made to the 31 March report.

Action Item 13: Secretariat

A draft of the 30 June 2019 report will be circulated for all members to provide input, with finalisation on return of the Chair's leave post 22 July 2019.

The chair advised that the First Report Addendum cannot be finalised until travel is undertaken to Nauru and PNG. The Panel (Chair and Professor Murphy) will draft a letter to the Minister outlining the reasons for the delay and the expected timeframe.

Action Item 14: Panel

The Chair requested a copy of the Department's Conflict of Interest Policy for members to review and provide feedback on how IHAP should develop its own policy.

Action Item 15: Secretariat

Members requested that the Secretariat develop a forward timeline (internal) to assist the Panel with key reporting milestones, and that face-to-face meetings be undertaken three weeks prior to the end of a designated quarter.

Action Item 16: Secretariat

[Item 10] The Chair requested that a one-page index referencing briefings and documents be provided to new Panel members.

Action Item 17: Secretariat

[Item 13] The Chair asked that Panel members' provide their leave dates to the Secretariat so that a leave plan can be documented. This information will be used for the Minister's consideration if acting arrangements need to be put in place and to ensure a majority of members are present to ratify decisions.

Action Item 18: Panel

It was unanimously agreed by all members that during Dr Gogna's absence (22 June to 22 July 2019) Dr Di Dio would Chair the Panel, including attending weekly Monday telephone meetings with the nformation Secretariat. of Hom

Next meetings

The next face-to-face meetings will be held at 14:00 hrs on Monday 9 September and Monday 2 December 2019 in Canberra. eleased by Departm

0

Freedom

the G und

Attachment A

Independent Health Advice Panel First Face-to-Face Meeting Agenda

Date: Wednesday, 12 June 2019

Time: 8:45 am to 12:00 pm (AEDT)

Location: Ground Floor, 5 Constitution Avenue Canberra ACT 2600

Note that the room is available for the Panel's use all day.

Aç	jenda Item	Time	Lead	
1	Welcome to IHAP	08:45	Chair	
Dep	partmental representations			
2	Introductions	09:00	FAS Health Services Pand Child Wellbeing Div	
3	Opening remarks and effect of the Federal Election	09:10	Chief Operating Officer	
4	Update on health services available in Nauru and PNG for transitory persons	09:30	FAS Property and Majo Contracts Division	or
5	Update on the relationship with PNG	09:45	AS Regional Processin	g T/Fc
6 7	s42		Legal Counsel Legal Counsel	Affairs
8	Cases referred to IHAP and cases outside the IHAP process Offshore	10:30	A/g AC Detention and	ome
<u>IHA</u>	P business		Operations Command,	APr -
9	Reporting	10:45	Chair	it o
10	IHAP Notices (under S199D of the Act) and Document Library	11:00	Chair	tmer
11	IHAP Actions Register	11:15	Chair	par
12	PNG and Nauru visit status	11:30	Chair	0 G
13	Closing remarks	11:45	Chair	d by I
				D G

For Official Use Only

eas ler t

Independent Health Advice Panel

Meetin	g details	Bio	data details			
IHAP referral date/time:	12/06/2019	Name: ^{\$47F}				
date/time:	Time: 14:55 hrs	Date of birth: s47F	Current location: PNG			
		Country of birth: s47F	ID number: ^{s47F}			
IHAP Meeting date:	13/06/2019 17:00 hrs	Treating Doctor's referral received?	Yes			
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/A	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely			
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes			
Interview with Transito	ory Person					
□ The IHAP undertook	an interview.					
	to conduct an interview but ics/consent/other barrier.	was unable to do this due to	lack of			
☑ The IHAP determined	I that it was not appropriate	to conduct an interview beca	use of risk of harm to the pe	erson.		
IHAP findings:				0.1		
Following IHAP docume	nt review ^{s47F} has	been diagnosed with:		rs 982		
by Department of Home Affair						
This document may contain 'personal identifiers' and 'personal information' as defined under the Migration Act 1958 or Australian Citizenship Act 2007, and can only be used for purposes under these Acts.						



The Chair advised that he was the Area Medical Director on Christmas Island during 2012/2013 and all arrivals had his name listed on the pathology and chest x-ray requests. The Chair also advised he was a Senior Medical Officer on Manus Island 2013/2014. IHAP noted the potential/perceived conflict of interest and advised they were happy for the Chair to continue in the discussion.

The Panel accepted the diagnoses outlined by the treating doctors following review of the clinical records supplied by the health care providers in Papua New Guinea (PNG) and the report by the Medical Officer of the Commonwealth. IHAP have also provide commentary on other areas of clinical significance found during this review.

The Panel noted that the treating doctors who recommended ^{\$47F}	transfer to Austr	alia may not be aware
of the scope of the facilities available at PIH POM, including the new ^{\$47}	F	ward which opened in
April 2019 and the incumbent specialist staff.		

IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with ^{s47F} for clinical assessment at this time as sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that ^{\$47F} has s47F identified by the treating medical professionals.

IHAP recommendations

All four IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that^{\$47F} transfer to Australia for medical treatment should be **refused** initially. The recommendation was based on the following reason:

- The health care s47F capacity at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby is appropriate to manage requires s47F the initial clinical need. \$47F care at PIH POM to treat his clinical presentation of s47F
- The ^{s47F} 2. service in PIH POM is open and operating, with capacity for both voluntary and involuntary admissions. This information needs to be conveyed to s47F treating doctors.
- facility is headed by a s47F 3. The^{s47⊦} with the experience and qualifications required to 00 adequately ensure s47F health needs are initially assessed, stratified and treated accordingly.
- PIH POM has the facilities to ensure ^{\$47F} are adequately assessed 4. and treated. These issues will need to be highlighted to PIH POM and the panel are happy for their clinical findings to be released to the treating team in PIH POM. 2 Hom Informatio
- 5. The CMO at PIH be informed of the concerns raised by the panel on :-
 - Progress notes with different patient names have been noted in the same record.

of

ment of

Depar

20

eleased G und

Freedom

the

1. The IHAP will seek an updated clinical report from PIH POM three weeks from the 13th June 2019. IHAP will review the case at that time. 2. The CMO at PIH be informed of the concerns raised by the panel. 3. IHAP Assessment copy be provided to the treating team as suggestions for review. Document library reviewed: ABF Client brief - 2 pages 1. Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 07.06.2019 - 2 pages 2. Letter from *** 2. Letter from *** 3. Treating Dis referral - 13 pages a. *** b. *** - 3 pages 4. Clinical Records a. *** b. *** - 3 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 Panel members assessing: Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney IHAP Majority recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 No Meeting audio recorded: No	Action	Actions:						
3. IHAP Assessment copy be provided to the treating team as suggestions for review. 3. IHAP Assessment copy be provided to the treating team as suggestions for review. Document library reviewed: ABF Client brief - 2 pages 1. Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 07.06.2019 - 2 pages 2. Letter from error of the form error of	1.							
Document library reviewed: ABF Client brief - 2 pages 1. Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 07.06.2019 - 2 pages 2. Letter from *** 3. Treating Drs referral - 13 pages a. *** b. *** - 3 pages 4. Clinical Records a. *** b. *** - 3 pages c. Clinical Records a. *** b. *** - 4 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 Panel members assessing: Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney HAP Majority recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Operation of the professor Susan Moloney Pate of IHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 hours)? No Meeting audio recorded: No	2.	The CMO at PIH be inform	ned of the concer	ns raised by the panel.				
1. Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 07.06.2019 – 2 pages 2. Letter from ser – 4 pages 3. Treating Drs referral – 13 pages – 5 pages a. ser – 5 pages b. ser – 3 pages 4. Clinical Records – 3 pages a. ser – 4 pages b. ser – 3 pages c. Clinical Records – 4 pages b. ser – - 3 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 – 14 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 Professor Brendan Murphy Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Stet Minister's refusal confirmed: Yes HAP Majority recommendation: 14/06/2019 Transfer is recommended: No Date of IHAP 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 No Meeting audio recorded: No No	3.	IHAP Assessment copy be	e provided to the	treating team as suggestions for review.				
1. Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 07.06.2019 – 2 pages 2. Letter from ser – 4 pages 3. Treating Drs referral – 13 pages – 5 pages a. ser – 5 pages b. ser – 3 pages 4. Clinical Records – 3 pages a. ser – 4 pages b. ser – 3 pages c. Clinical Records – 4 pages b. ser – - 3 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 – 14 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 Professor Brendan Murphy Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Stet Minister's refusal confirmed: Yes HAP Majority recommendation: 14/06/2019 Transfer is recommended: No Date of IHAP 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 No Meeting audio recorded: No No								
1. Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 07.06.2019 – 2 pages 2. Letter from ser – 4 pages 3. Treating Drs referral – 13 pages – 5 pages a. ser – 5 pages b. ser – 3 pages 4. Clinical Records – 3 pages a. ser – 4 pages b. ser – 3 pages c. Clinical Records – 4 pages b. ser – - 3 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 – 14 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 Professor Brendan Murphy Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Stet Minister's refusal confirmed: Yes HAP Majority recommendation: 14/06/2019 Transfer is recommended: No Date of IHAP 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 No Meeting audio recorded: No No								
1. Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 07.06.2019 – 2 pages 2. Letter from ser – 4 pages 3. Treating Drs referral – 13 pages – 5 pages a. ser – 5 pages b. ser – 3 pages 4. Clinical Records – 3 pages a. ser – 4 pages b. ser – 3 pages c. Clinical Records – 4 pages b. ser – - 3 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 – 14 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 Professor Brendan Murphy Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Stet Minister's refusal confirmed: Yes HAP Majority recommendation: 14/06/2019 Transfer is recommended: No Date of IHAP 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 No Meeting audio recorded: No No								
1. Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 07.06.2019 – 2 pages 2. Letter from ser – 4 pages 3. Treating Drs referral – 13 pages – 5 pages a. ser – 5 pages b. ser – 3 pages 4. Clinical Records – 3 pages a. ser – 4 pages b. ser – 3 pages c. Clinical Records – 4 pages b. ser – - 3 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 – 14 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 Professor Brendan Murphy Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Stet Minister's refusal confirmed: Yes HAP Majority recommendation: 14/06/2019 Transfer is recommended: No Date of IHAP 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 No Meeting audio recorded: No No								
1. Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 07.06.2019 – 2 pages 2. Letter from ser – 4 pages 3. Treating Drs referral – 13 pages – 4 pages 3. Treating Drs referral – 13 pages – 5 pages b. ser – 3 pages 4. Clinical Records – 3 pages a. ser – 3 pages Clinical Records – 4 pages b. ser – - 3 pages Clinical Records –								
2. Letter from #** -4 pages 3. Treating Drs referral - 13 pages -5 pages a. #** -3 pages b. #** -3 pages c. Clinical Records - Medical Records - 184 pages b. #** - Medical Records - 184 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 - Medical Records - 184 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Step Professor Susan Moloney IHAP Majority recommendation: 14/06/2019 Transfer is recommended: No Date of IHAP 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 No No Meeting audio recorded: No Beemed approval (post 72 No No Meeting audio recorded: No	Docum	-						
3. Treating Drs referral – 13 pages a. Matri b. - 5 pages c. Matrix c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 Panel members assessing: Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Majority (out of total members): HAP Majority recommendation: Majority (out of total members): 4/4 Date of IHAP 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 No Meeting audio recorded: No Deemed approval (post 72 No Meeting audio recorded: No		-	n (MOC) Opinion	dated 07.06.2019 – 2 pages				
a. #### -5 pages b. #### -3 pages 4. Clinical Records - Medical Records - 184 pages b. ### - Health Discharge Summary - 14 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney HHAP Majority recommendation: Is the Minister's refusal confirmed: Yes Majority (out of total members): 4/4 Update of IHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 No Meeting audio recorded: No		2. Letter from ^{\$47F}		– 4 pages				
a. -3 pages b. **** -3 pages 4. Clinical Records a. *** b. **** b. **** c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 Panel members assessing: Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney IHAP Majority recommendation: Majority (out of total members): 4/4 Transfer is recommendation: Date of IHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 No No		3. Treating Drs referral –	13 pages					
Image: State of IHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Image: State of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Image: State of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Image: State of IHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Image: State of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Im		a. ^{\$47F}		- !	5 pages			
a. ¹⁹⁷⁷ b. ¹⁹⁷⁷ c. Health Discharge Summary – 14 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 Panel members assessing: Panel members assessing: Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney IHAP Majority recommendation: IHAP Majority recommendation: Date of IHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 No Meeting audio recorded: No		b. ^{\$47F}		– 3 pages				
a. arr - Health Discharge Summary – 14 pages b. - Health Discharge Summary – 14 pages c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 Panel members assessing: Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Step Professor Susan Moloney IHAP Majority recommendation: Is the Minister's refusal confirmed: Yes IHAP Majority recommendation: 14/06/2019 Transfer is recommended: No Date of IHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Deemed approval (post 72 hours)? No Meeting audio recorded: No		- 470	D.4 12					
c. Updated progress notes from PIH dated 13/06/2019 Panel members assessing: Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Yes IHAP Majority recommendation: Is the Minister's refusal confirmed: Yes Majority (out of total members): 4/4 Jourge of UHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 hours)? No Meeting audio recorded: No		a.						
Panel members assessing: Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Stipped approval (post 72 hours)? No Meeting audio recorded:		c. Updated progress n						
Panel members assessing: Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Support Office								
Panel members assessing: Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Support Office								
Panel members assessing: Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Support Office				Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair)				
Panel members assessing: Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Sittly and the second professor Susan Moloney IHAP Majority recommendation: Is the Minister's refusal confirmed: Yes Majority (out of total members): 4/4 Jo unit of total members): Date of IHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 hours)? No Meeting audio recorded: No Mo								
IHAP Majority recommendation: Is the Minister's refusal confirmed: Yes Ves Majority (out of total members): 4/4 Ves Date of IHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 hours)? No Meeting audio recorded: No	Panel I	members assessing:				s 982		
IHAP Majority recommendation: Is the Minister's refusal confirmed: Yes Yes Majority (out of total members): 4/4 Yes Date of IHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 hours)? No Meeting audio recorded: No		J. J				air 19		
IHAP Majority recommendation: Is the Minister's refusal confirmed: Yes Upper function Majority (out of total members): 4/4 4/4 4/4 Date of IHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 hours)? No Meeting audio recorded: No Meeting audio recorded: No						Act		
IHAP Majority recommendation: Majority (out of total members): 4/4 Transfer is recommended: No Date of IHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 hours)? No Meeting audio recorded: No						_		
Transfer is recommended: No Date of IHAP recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:47hrs Deemed approval (post 72 hours)? No Meeting audio recorded: No				Is the Minister's refusal confirmed:	Yes	Hol		
Date of IHAP recommendation:14/06/2019Time of IHAP recommendation:12:47hrsUted of	IHAP N	Majority recommendation:		Majority (out of total members):	4/4	t of forn		
recommendation: 14/06/2019 Time of IHAP recommendation: 12:4/hrs Deemed approval (post 72 hours)? No Meeting audio recorded: No				Transfer is recommended:	No	nen f In		
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)? No Meeting audio recorded: No			14/06/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	12:47hrs	artn m o		
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)? No Meeting audio recorded: No	recom	recommendation:						
nours)?			No	Meeting audio recorded:	No	0		
00	hours)					00		
der						07		
						der		
un un						Re		
See Steel						Release under th		

Independent Health Advice Panel

Meeting details Biodata details						
IHAP referral 14/06/2019 Name: s47F date/time: The state/time						
	Time: 1812 hrs		Current location: PNG			
		Country of birth:	ID number: ^{847F}			
IHAP Meeting date:	16/06/2019 1500 hrs	Treating Doctor's referral received?	Yes			
Reconvened IHAP N/A Was the Referring Remotely meeting (if required): N/A assessment performed assessment performed remotely or in person? Remotely						
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes			
Interview with Transi	tory Person					
□ The IHAP undertoo	ok an interview.			0.1		
	ed to conduct an interview istics/consent/other barrie	<i>i</i> but was unable to do this er.	due to lack of	1982		
⊠ The IHAP determine person.	ed that it was not appropr	riate to conduct an interview	w because of risk of harm to the	V.		
This document may contain 'personal identifiers' and 'personal information' as defined under the Migration' Act 1958 or Australian Citizenship Act 2007, and can only be used for purposes under these Acts.						
Sensitive						

IHAP findings:	
Following IHAP document review s47F has been diagnosed with:	
The Chair advised that he was the Area Medical Director on Christmas Island during 2012/2013 and all arrivals had his name listed on the pathology and chest x-ray requests. The Chair also advised he was Senior Medical Officer on Manus Island 2013/2014. IHAP noted the potential/perceived conflict of inter and advised they were happy for the Chair to continue in the discussion.	a est
The Panel accepted the diagnoses outlined by the treating doctors following review of the clinical record supplied by the health care providers in Papua New Guinea (PNG) and the report by the Medical Office the Commonwealth. IHAP have also provided commentary on other areas of clinical significance found during this review.	rof
The Panel noted that the treating doctors who recommended ^{\$47F} transfer to Australia may not be aware of the scope of the facilities available at PIH POM, including the new ^{\$47F} ward which opened in April 2019 and the incumbent specialist staff.	ot
IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with ^{s47F} for clinical assessment at this time as sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that has ^{s47F} identified by the treating	
medical professionals.	Affai
	Released by Department of Home



IHAP r	recommendations]
	IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that ^{847F} transfer to Australia for al treatment should be refused initially. The recommendation was based on the following reason:		
	The health care ^{\$47F} capacity at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby is appropriate manage the initial clinical need. ^{\$47F} requires ^{\$47F} care at PIH POM to treat ^{\$47}		
2.	The ^{\$47F} service in PIH POM is open and operating, with capacity for both voluntary and involuntary admissions. This information needs to be conveyed to ^{\$47F} treating doctors.		
3.	The ^{\$47F} facility is headed by a ^{\$47F} with the experience and qualifications required t adequately ensure ^{\$47F} health needs are initially assessed, stratified and treated accordingly.		
4.	PIH POM has the facilities to ensure s47F are adequately assessed and treated. These issues will need to be highlighted to PIH POM and the panel are happy for their clinical findings to be released to the treating team in PIH POM.		
5.	The CMO at PIH be informed of the concerns raised by the panel on :-		
	- Progress notes with different patient names have been noted in the clinical records.		
Action	is:		
1.	^{547F} be transferred to PIH POM for ^{547F} care within 48 hours of the finalised Pane findings. If admission at PIH POM is unable to be facilitated $\frac{547}{F}$ should be transferred to Australia for medical treatment.		
2.	The IHAP will seek an updated clinical report from PIH POM three weeks from the 17 June 201 IHAP will review the case at that time.	9.	
3.	The CMO at PIH be informed of the concerns raised by the panel.		
4.	IHAP Assessment copy be provided to the treating team as suggestions for review.		
			2
		S	98
Docun	nent library reviewed: ABF Client brief – 2 pages	Affai	ct 1
	 Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 11.06.2019 – 2 pages 		AL
	2. Letter from ^{s47F} – 5 pages	ome	tiol
	3. Treating Drs referral – 17 pages	Ť	ma
	a. ^{s47F} – 4 pages	it o	for
	b. s47F – 13 pages	epartment	fIn
	4. Clinical Records	Ith	n o
	a. ^{\$47F} - Medical Records – 111 pages	Spa	loc
	b. ^{s47F} - Health Discharge Summary – 7 pages	ď	eedon
		by	Ē
		ed	the
		eleased	under the
		Rel	nnd

		Dr Parbodh Gogna (Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio Associate Professor Susan Moloney Associate Professor Neeraj Gill	
IHAP Majority recommendation	on:	Is the Minister's refusal confirmed:	Yes
		Majority (out of total members): Transfer is recommended:	5/5 No
Date of IHAP recommendation:	17/062019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	10:15
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)?	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No

Released by Department of Home Affairs under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982*

Independent Health Advice Panel

Meeting details Biodata details						
IHAP referral date/time:	21/06/2019	Name: ^{\$47F}				
	Time: 16:02hrs	Date of birth: ^{\$47F}	Current location: PNG	_		
		Country of birth: ^{\$47F}	ID number: ^{\$47F}			
IHAP Meeting date:	23/06/2019 17:00hrs	Treating Doctor's referral received?	Yes			
Reconvened IHAP N/A Was the Referring Remotely meeting (if Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?						
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes			
Interview with Transi	tory Person					
□ The IHAP undertoo	ok an interview.					
	ed to conduct an interview istics/consent/other barrie	/ but was unable to do this er.	due to lack of	982		
⊠ The IHAP determin person.	ed that it was not appropr	iate to conduct an interviev	w because of risk of harm to the	\sim		
d by Department of Home						
This document may contain 'personal identifiers' and 'personal information' as defined under the Migration Act 1958 or Australian Citizenship Act 2007, and can only be used for purposes under these Acts.						
Sensitive						

IHAP findings:]
Following IHAP document review, ^{\$47F} has been diagnosed with:		
547F		
The Panel accepted the diagnoses outlined by the treating doctors following review of the clinical records supplied by the health care providers in Papua New Guinea (PNG) and the report by the Medical Officer the Commonwealth. IHAP have also provided commentary on other areas of clinical significance found during this review.		
IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with s47F for clinical assessment at this time as sufficient clinical documentation has been provided. IHAP has accepted that has s47F identified by the treating medical professionals.		
The Secretariat advised the Panel that a fire on Friday 21 June at Hillside Haus resulting in many of the transferees becoming quite agitated. As a result any recommendations for urgent transfer to PIH may be delayed due to decision hand downs being postponed and limited service provider capacity to provide th care required.	Э	
The Panel noted that there was quite a delay from the treating doctors in preparing their reports (following their initial review) and submitting to the Minister review. The Panel considers this to be particularly concerning considering their reports stated a transfer to Australia was urgent. It was also noted by the Panel that during this delay ^{547F} .		1000 tul
	eased by Department of Home /	Indor the Erondom of Information A

<u>IHAP r</u>	recommendations	
	r available IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that ^{\$47F} transfer to Austra dical treatment should be <u>refused</u> initially. The recommendation was based on the following reas	
1.	The health care ^{\$47F} capacity at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby is appropriat manage the initial clinical need. ^{\$47F} requires ^{\$47F} care at PIH POM to treat ^{\$47} , including a wide range of investigations, such as ^{\$47F}	te to
2.	The ^{s47F} facility is headed by a ^{s47F} with the experience and qualifications required health needs are initially assessed, stratified, treated and, if necessary, referred, accordingly.	to
3.	PIH POM has the facilities to ensure state assessed and treated. These issues will need to be highlighted to PIH POM and the panel are happy for their clinical findings to be released to the treating team in PIH POM.	
4.	Noting the possible minor delay in transfer due to fire at Manus, the Panel made it clear that $_{\rm F}^{\rm S47}$ will require care (including close supervision) while on Manus awaiting transfer.	
Action	IS <u>:</u>	
1.	^{s47F} be transferred to PIH POM for ^{s47F} care as soon as possible, noting the potential minor delays following the fire at Manus. If admission at PIH POM is unable to be facilitated he should be transferred to Australia for medical treatment. The Panel requests an update in 48 ho from the time of their recommendation regarding ^{s47F} transfer.	
2.	The CMO at PIH be informed of the concerns raised by the panel.	
3.	IHAP Assessment copy be provided to the treating team as suggestions for review.	
4.	Arrange a teleconference with the ^{s47F} on PIH to introduce Dr Gill and discuss issues including PIH capacity, patient load, average length of stay and post discharge care provision. IHAP members have supplied their urgent availability for the teleconference on both Monday 24 and Tuesday 25 June 2019.	4
Docun	nent library reviewed: ABF Client brief – 2 pages	
	 Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 18.06.2019 – 2 pages 	8
	 Letter from ^{\$47F} - 5 pages 	Affairs
	 Treating Drs referral – 16 pages 	Aff.
	a. s47F – 8 pages	0
	b. ^{s47F} – 8	Home
	pages – o	of H
	4. Clinical Records	
	a. ^{s47F} - Medical Files – 112 pages	net li
	b. ^{s47F} - Medical Files – 69 pages c. ^{s47F} - Health Discharge Summary – 9 pages	artn
		Department
		0
		by
		hed
		Released
		Sele
		LL =

Panel members assessing:		Dr Antonio Di Dio (Acting Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Associate Professor Susan Moloney Associate Professor Neeraj Gill	
		Is the Minister's refusal confirmed:	Yes
IHAP Majority recommendation	on:	Majority (out of total members):	4/5
		Transfer is recommended:	No
Date of IHAP recommendation:	24/06/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	13:40hrs
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)?	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No

Released by Department of Home Affairs under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982*

Independent Health Advice Panel

Meeting details		Bioc	lata details	
IHAP referral date/time:	21/06/2019	Name: ^{547F}]
	Time: 16:02hrs	Date of birth: ^{\$47F}	Current location: PNG	-
		Country of birth:	ID number: ^{847F}	
IHAP Meeting date:	23/06/2019 17:00hrs	Treating Doctor's referral received?	Yes	
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/A	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely	_
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes	
Interview with Transi	tory Person			
□ The IHAP undertoo	ok an interview.			0
	ed to conduct an interview istics/consent/other barrie	/ but was unable to do this e er.	due to lack of	1982
⊠ The IHAP determin person.	ed that it was not appropr	iate to conduct an interview	v because of risk of harm to the	V
This document may c Act 1958 or Austr	ontain 'personal identifier alian Citizenship Act 2007	s' and 'personal informatior 7, and can only be used for	n' as defined under the Migration purposes under these Acts.	under the
Sensitive				

IHAP findings:		
Following IHAP document review, ^{s47F}	nas been diagnosed with:	
The Panel accepted the diagnoses outlined by the treat supplied by the health care providers in Papua New Gu the Commonwealth. IHAP have also provided comment during this review. IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them t assessment at this time as sufficient clinical documenta	inea (PNG) and the report by the tary on other areas of clinical sig o speak directly with ^{s47F} tion has been provided. IHAP ha	e Medical Officer of Inificance found for clinical as accepted that
^{s47F} has ^{s47F} treating medical professionals.	id	entified by the
The Secretariat advised the Panel that a fire on Friday 2 transferees becoming very agitated. As a result any rec delayed due to delayed hand down of decision and limit	ommendations for urgent transfe	er to PIH may be
required.		0
		eleased by Department of Home Affairs
		Rele

IHAP r	ecommendations		
Austral	available IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that ^{s47F} transfer ia for medical treatment should be <u>refused</u> initially. The recommendation was based on the ng reason:	to	
1.	The health care ^{\$47F} capacity at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby is appropriate manage the initial clinical need. ^{\$47F} requires ^{\$47F} care at PIH POM to treat		
2.	The ^{\$47F} facility is headed by a ^{\$47F} with the experience and qualifications required adequately ensure ^{\$47F} health needs are initially assessed, stratified and treated accordingly.	to	
3.	PIH POM has the facilities to ensure ^{\$47F} are adequately assessed and treated. These issues will need to be highlighted to PIH POM and the panel are happy for their clinical findings to be released to the treating team in PIH POM.	e	
4.	Noting the possible minor delay in transfer due to fire at Manus, the Panel made it clear that will require care (and supervision) while in Manus awaiting transfer.		
Action	<u>S:</u>		
1.	^{s47F} be transferred to PIH POM for ^{s47F} care as soon as possible, noting the potential minor delays following the fire at Manus. If admission at PIH POM is unable to be facilitated he should be transferred to Australia for medical treatment. The Panel requests an update in 48 hours from the time of their recommendation regarding ^{s47F} transferred.		
2.	The CMO at PIH be informed of the concerns raised by the panel.		
3.	IHAP Assessment copy be provided to the treating team as suggestions for review.		
4.	Arrange a teleconference with the ^{s47F} on PIH to introduce Dr Gill and discuss issues including PIH capacity, patient load, average length of stay and post discharge care provision. IHAP members have supplied their urgent availability for the teleconference on both Monday 24 and Tuesday 25 June 2019.	4	
Docum	nent library reviewed: ABF Client brief – 2 pages		2
	 Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 17.06.2019 – 2 pages 	S	98
	2. Two letter from ^{\$47F} – 6 pages	ffairs	11
	 Letter from Overseas Services to Survivors of Torture and Trauma – 2 pages 	A	Ac
	 Treating Drs referral – 10 pages 	ome	uo
	a. s47F – 5 pages	Но	matio
	475	of	UNC
		ent	Info
	 Clinical Records a.^{\$47F} Medical Records – 108 pages 	ime	of
	b. ^{s47F} - Health Discharge Summary – 7 pages	epartment	LUC
	- Medical Holes – 2 pages	Dep	edon
	b. ^{s47F} - PIH records 220419 – 33 pages	J L	re
		d b	θF
		eleased	r th
		leg	under
		Re	UN

Panel members assessing:		Dr Antonio Di Dio (Acting Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Associate Professor Susan Moloney Associate Professor Neeraj Gill	
		Is the Minister's refusal confirmed:	Yes
IHAP Majority recommendation	on:	Majority (out of total members):	4/5
		Transfer is recommended:	No
Date of IHAP recommendation:	24/06/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	13:40hr
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)?	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No

Released by Department of Home Affairs under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982*

Meeting notes and outcomes

Teleconference with Pacific International Hospital

Date:	25 June 201	19				
Time:	17:00pm to	17:00pm to 18:00 pm (AEST)				
Location:	Teleconfere	Teleconference				
Participants	5:					
Organisati	on	Participants				
Independe		Dr Antonio Di Dio, Australian Medical Association, A/g IHAP Chair				
Advice Par	nel:	Professor Brendan Murphy, Australian Government Chief Medical Officer (Commonwealth Chief Medical Officer), Department of Health, IHAP mem	ber			
		Associate Professor Susan Moloney, Royal Australasian College of Physicians and expert in paediatric health, IHAP member				
		Associate Professor Neeraj Gill, Royal Australian and New Zealand Colleg of Psychiatrists	je			
Pacific Inte		s47F (Hospital Director)				
Hospital (F	PIH)	s47F (Psychiatrist)				
		s47F (Psychiatrist)				
Departmer Affairs:	nt of Home	Mr Stephen Hayward, First Assistant Secretary, Health Services Policy an Child Wellbeing Division	d			
		s22(1)(a)(ii) , Acting Director, IHAP Secretariat		-		
			0.0	982		
Meeting op			ffair	ct 1		
The IHAP re capacity of, a	equested the te and mental hea	leconference with the Pacific International Hospital (PIH) psychiatrists to c Ith treatment provided, at PIH.	sugait M	AS U		
Points of di	scussion inclu	ded:	Р	lati		
PIH Cap	acity		of	ormatio		
	there is flexibilit patients within t	y in the capacity to admit new mental health patients with use of the mover he hospital	ueau UGan	of Phf		
	Additional beds if necessary.	are often available in other wards and patients can be moved around accord	dingly	0		
Post disc	charge care		y De	Freed		
			db	e /		
			ase	r th		
		For Official Use Only	Relea	unde		

- o currently higher needs patients are accommodated at the Granville Motel as out-patients.
- o Patients are generally quite happy to be accommodated in this environment
- PIH is currently working with the Department of Home Affairs to accommodate patients at a closer location. This is being progressed with the Department's Property and Major Contracts Division.
- o The IHAP support this new accommodation as it will allow better access to the hospital.
- o PIH also runs an out-patient clinic which patients can attend.
- Involuntary admissions
 - To date, there have not be any instances where a patient has wanted to leave but had to be held involuntarily.
 - o There have been instances where patients have refused treatment.

Action arising from the meeting:

- 1. PIH (via the IHAP Secretariat) to provide the daily admissions sheet for information and to assist with IHAP recommendations.
 - Status: Complete PIH provide daily admissions sheet and the Secretariat upload to GovTEAMS.
- 2. Dr Nanawar to provide the IHAP with a Curriculum Vitae (CV)
 - a. Status: Ongoing Secretariat to follow up. (^{s22(1)(a)(ii)} was it only the one CV you requested?)

Meeting close: 18:00

Released by Department of Home Affairs under the Freedom of Information Act 1982

For Official Use Only

Independent Health Advice Panel

Meeting details		Bioc	lata details		
IHAP referral date/time:	25/06/2019	Name: s47F			
	Time: 1535 hrs	Date of birth: ^{\$47F}	Current location: Nauru		
		Country of birth: ^{s47F}	ID number: ^{\$47F}		
IHAP Meeting date:	26/06/2019 18:00 hrs	Treating Doctor's referral received?	Yes		
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/A	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely		
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes		
Interview with Transi	tory Person				
□ The IHAP undertoo	ok an interview.				
	ed to conduct an interview stics/consent/other barrie	/ but was unable to do this o er.	due to lack of	S	982
⊠ The IHAP determine person.	ed that it was not appropr	iate to conduct an interviev	v because of risk of harm to th	line i	Act 19
				(d b	e Freedom of Information
This document may c Act 1958 or Austr	ontain 'personal identifier alian Citizenship Act 2007	s' and 'personal informatior 7, and can only be used for	" as defined under the Migrati purposes under these Acts.	elease	under the
Sensitive					un

S	en	si	ti	ve
_		_		

ILI AD findingo.			
IHAP findings: Following IHAP document review, ^{\$47F}	has been diagnosed with:		
\$47F			
The Panel accepted the diagnoses outlined by supplied by the health care providers in Nauru IHAP have also provided commentary on other	and the report by the Medical	Officer of the Commonwealt	
IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for assessment and that Nauru has legislation pre- professionals.	venting this. IHAP has accepted	^{47F} for clinical ed that ^{s47F} fied by the treating medical	
IHAP noted that the assessment of the two treat on an interview with ^{\$47F} , thus presenting some noted that there was a six week delay from the however, ^{\$47F} . How around ^{\$47F} .	e challenges in undertaking a f	urther review. It was also ne completion of the report,	
with the MOC opinion and noted that it would b ^{s47F} records refer to ^{s47} _F relationship with be separated from ^{s47F} . The Panel assessed the ^{s47F} verbally, and therefore they could not	nended transfer to Australia fo be to imprudent to disagree wit h ^{847F} , also located on N hat this information was not pro bt determine the significance o oted that it is not appropriate f	r treatment. The IHAP agree h the internal opinion. auru, and ^{\$47} not wanting to ovided to the treating doctor f the relationship and the or the IHAP members, as	Affair
			Released by Department of Home
	Sensitive	Page 2	of 4

IHAP recommendations Three of the five IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that state transfer to Australia for medical treatment be approved. This recommendation is based on the following reasons: 1. state state requires state						
Actions: 1. The IHAP be advised, via the IHAP out transferred to Australia.	comes tracker on GovTEAMS, when	^{347F} is				
Document library reviewed: ABF Client brief 1. Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opin 2. Treating Drs referral – 26 pages a. §47F b. §47F 3. Clinical Records a. §47F J. Clinical Records a. §47F J. Clinical Records a. §47F J. Letter to the Secretary of the Depart 5. Letter from	nion dated 19.06.2019 – 2 pages – 17 pages – 9 pages rds – 83 pages	pages				
Panel members assessing:	Dr Antonio Di Dio (Acting Chair) Professor Brendan Murphy Associate Professor Susan Moloney	,	of Home Affairs			
IHAP Majority recommendation:	Is the Minister's refusal confirmed: Majority (out of total members):	No 3/5	Department dedom of Info			
	Transfer is recommended:	Yes	Released by Du			

Date of IHAP recommendation:	27/06/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	15:00 hrs
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)?	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No

Released by Department of Home Affairs under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982*

Independent Health Advice Panel

Meeting details		Bioc	lata details		
IHAP referral date/time:	25/06/2019	06/2019 Name: ^{\$47F}			
	Time: 1535 hrs	Date of birth: ^{\$47F}	Current location: Nauru		
		Country of birth: ^{s47F}	ID number: ^{s47F}		-
IHAP Meeting date:	26/06/2019 1800hrs	Treating Doctor's referral received?	Yes		
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/A	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely		
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes		
Interview with Transi	tory Person				
□ The IHAP undertoo	ok an interview.				
	ed to conduct an interview stics/consent/other barrie	/ but was unable to do this o er.	due to lack of	S	982
⊠ The IHAP determine person.	ed that it was not appropr	iate to conduct an interviev	v because of risk of harm to th	<u> </u>	Act 19
				d by Department of Home	e Freedom of Information
This document may c Act 1958 or Austr	ontain 'personal identifier alian Citizenship Act 2007	s' and 'personal informatior 7, and can only be used for	a' as defined under the Migrati purposes under these Acts.	elease	under the
Sensitive					n

Sensitive

IHAP findings:

The IHAP accepted the diagnoses provided in ^{s47F} medical records supplied by the health care providers in Nauru. The IHAP also accepted the two treating doctor referrals though it is noted these referrals are somewhat more emotive than the others we have received, and additionally they are undated. Though ^{s47F} medical notes, which are somewhat difficult to put in order, suggest this patient has ^s the reason for the IHAP recommending transfer is to facilitate a ^{s47F}

assessment. The IHAP noted the Department of Home Affairs Medical Officer of the Commonwealth (MOC) opinion recommended ^{\$47F} be transferred to Australia and stated that it would be imprudent for the Panel to go against the MOC and treating doctors' opinions.

IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with ^{\$47F} for clinical assessment and that Nauru has legislation preventing this.

	5 1	5			
S47F					
The IHAP agrees that ^{s47F} treatment.	should be trans	sferred to Austr	alia for ^{\$47F}	assessment and	Affairs \ct 1982
IHAP recommendations					ne /
The three IHAP members present treatment be approved . This reco				o Australia for medi	ent of Heme
1. To allow ^{s47F} to un health is	dergo a thorou ssues.	gh ^{s47F}	assessment and to	be treated for $\frac{s47}{F}$	of Info
Actions: 1. IHAP Secretariat to inform Outcomes Tracker on Gov	n the IHAP once vTEAMS.	e ^{s47F} ha	as been transferred to	o Australia via the	eased by Depart er the <i>Freedom</i>
					lease



Sensitive

Document	library reviewed: A	ABF Client brief	– 2 pages		
1.	1. Clinical Advisory Team (MOC) Opinion dated 19.06.2019 – 2 pages				
2.	2. Letter from ^{s47F} – 6 pages				
3.	Treating Drs referra	l – 10 pages			
	a. s47F		– 12 pages		
	b. s47F		– 3 pages		
4.	Clinical Records				
	a. ^{s47F} b. ^{s47F}		cal Records – 420 pages th Discharge Summary – 7 pages		
5.	C. ^{\$47F}		th Summary - 1 page		
6.	Notice to the Secre		ar ouninary i pago		
0.					
			Dr Antonio Di Dio (Acting Chair)		
			Professor Brendan Murphy		
Panel men	nbers assessing:		Associate Professor Susan Moloney		
	C C				
			Is the Minister's refusal	No	
			confirmed:	INU	
IHAP Majo	rity recommendation	on:		0/5	
			Majority (out of total members):	3/5	
			Transfer is recommended:	Yes	
					_
Date of IH		26/06/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	1500 hrs	LIS 00
recommen	idation:	20,00,2010			T m
Doomod a	pproval (post 72				
hours)?	pproval (post 72	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No	ome
					Ho
					of
					epartment
					tm
					oar
					Del
					by [
					ase +h
					Released
					Re

Sensitive

For Official Use Only

Meetin	g details	Biodata details		
IHAP referral	25/06/2019	Name: ^{\$47F}		
date/time:	Time: 15:35 hrs	Date of birth: s47F	Current location: Nauru	
		Country of birth: ^{847F}	ID number: ^{847F}	
IHAP Meeting date:	26/06/2018 18:00 hrs	Treating Doctor's referral attached:	Yes	
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/A	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely	
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes	
Interview with Transito	ory Person			
□ The IHAP undertook	an interview.			
	to conduct an interview but tics/consent/other barrier.	t was unable to do this due to	lack of	
☑ The IHAP determine	d that it was not appropriate	e to conduct an interview beca	ause of risk of harm to the person.	
IHAP findings: SATE SATE STE State The Panel accepted the diagnoses outlined by the treating doctors following review of the clinical records supplied.				
provided commentary or IHAP determined that it	n other areas of clinical sign will not be necessary for the	nificance found during this rev em to speak directly with ^{\$47F}	for	
clinical assessment and has ^{s47F} professionals.	that Nauru has legislation p	preventing this. IHAP has acc	identified by the treating medical	
			elea	
IHAP Clinical Assessment			£ 3	

IHAP noted that the assessment of the two treating doctors was based on a review of paper files and not o interview with ^{s47F} , thus presenting some challenges in undertaking a further review	n an				
The members also noted that the Department of Home Affairs, Medical Officer of the Commonwealth (MOC) reviewed the ^{\$47F} case and recommended transfer to Australia for treatment. The IHAP was minded to agree with the MOC opinion and noted that it would be to imprudent to disagree with the internal opinion.					
IHAP recommendations					
Three of the five IHAP members agreed that their recommendation is that ^{s47F} to Australia for medical treatment be approved . This recommendation is based on the following reasons:	transfer				
1. ^{s47F} care and the Panel a	re not				
satisfied that the appropriate level of care can be provided in Nauru.					
2. Urgent treatment is required as there is a history of ^{s47F}					
3. ^{\$47F}					
 ^{\$47} F has received fragmented medical care, having been seen by more than 70 different medical professionals since arriving on Nauru. 					
5. s47F may require treatment for s47F.					
The IHAP members agreed that their recommendation that ^{847F} be transferred	to				
Australia is based on the above factors.					
Actions:					
1. The IHAP be advised, via the IHAP outcomes tracker on GovTEAMS, when ^{\$47F}					
is transferred to Australia.					
	82				
	airs 19,				
	Affa				
Document library reviewed: (list documents considered)	n J				
1. ABF Client brief – 2 pages	Home nation				
2. Departmental brief – Health Capability and Capacity, Nauru and PNG - x pages	of H orma				
3. MOC Opinion – 2 pages	nt c				
 Letter from advocate/lawyer – 4 pages 	nel of II				
5. Treating Drs referral – 20 pages	E C				
a. s47F - 15 pages	20				
b. ^{s47F} – 5 pages	epa				
	/ Department of eedom of Inform				
IMHS – Medical Records – 75 pages	by [Fre				
6. IMHS – Medical Records – 75 pages	by [Fre				
6. IMHS – Medical Records – 75 pages	sed by I the <i>Fre</i>				
6. IMHS – Medical Records – 75 pages	by [Fre				

		Professor Brendan Murphy		
Panel members assessing:		Dr Di Dio		
Taner members assessing.		Associate Professor Susan Moloney		
		Associate Professor Neeraj Gill		
		Is the Minister's refusal confirmed:	No	
IHAP Majority recommendation:		Majority (out of total members):		
		Transfer is recommended:	Yes	
Date of IHAP recommendation:	27/06/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	15:00 hrs	
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)?	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No	

Released by Department of Home Affairs under the Freedom of Information Act 1982

Sensitive

Meeting details		Bio	data details	
IHAP referral	01/07/2019	Name: ^{\$47F}		
date/time:	Time: 1400 hrs	Date of birth: ^{s47F}	Current location: Nauru	
		Country of birth: ^{847F}	ID number: ^{s47F}	
IHAP Meeting date:	03/07/2019	Treating Doctor's referral attached:	Yes	
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/A	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely	
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes	
 □ The IHAP undertook an interview. □ The IHAP attempted to conduct an interview but was unable to do this due to lack of capability/capacity/logistics/consent/other barrier. ☑ The IHAP determined that it was not appropriate to conduct an interview because of risk of harm to the person. IHAP findings: Following IHAP document review, ^{#47F} has been diagnosed with: Following IHAP document review, ^{#47F} 				
eleased or the				
IHAP Clinical Assessment			K 2	

s47F		
The IHAP accepted the diagnoses provided in ^{\$47F} medical records supplied by the health care provided in the diagnoses and the diagnoses are set of the diagnoses of the diagnoses are set of the diagnoses and the diagnoses are set of the diagnos		
in Nauru. The IHAP also accepted the diagnoses as assessed by the two treating doctor referrals noting thes assessments were paper reviews.	е	
	47F	
Though ^{\$47F} medical notes, which are somewhat difficult to put in order, suggest this patient has a ^s , the reason for the IHAP recommending transfer is to facilitate ^{\$47F}		
assessment and ^{\$47F} treatment and care.		
The IHAP noted the Department of Home Affairs Medical Officer of the Commonwealth (MOC)'s opinion to re	fuse	
s47F transfer to Australia. It was also noted that s47F		
	-	
The Panel stated that while s47F		
	uired	
more s47F care, a transfer to Australia would be more appropriate.		
The Panel noted that there was quite a delay from the treating doctors in preparing their reports (followi	-	
their initial review) and submitting to the Minister review. The Panel considers this to be particularly con	cerning	
considering their reports stated a transfer to Australia was urgent.	irs 198	
	ffa St	
	A A	-
IHAP recommendations	nent of Home	
The three IHAP members present agreed that he request for ^{s47F} transfer to Australia for medical treatment be approved . This recommendation is based on the following reasons:	Ao	
	rm rm	
1. To allow s47F to undergo a comprehensive s47F assessment and be treated for $_{F}^{s47}$	nt of Hom <i>nformatio</i>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	f Ir	
2. The maximum available treatment has been provided in Nauru, ^{s47F}	rtn o	
o s47E	pa	
3. ^{s47F} requires ^{s47F} health care and the Panel are not satisfied that the appro level of care can be provided in Nauru.		
	S	
The IHAP members agreed that their recommendation that ^{\$47F} be transferred to Australia is based above factors.		
	p e	
	5 1	
	ease er th	
	kelease nder th	

Actions: 1. The IHAP be advised, via Australia.	the Outcomes tra	acker on GovTEAMS when ^{s47F} i	s transferred to	
Document library reviewed: (list 1. ABF Client brief – 2 pag 2. MOC Opinion – 2 pag 3. Letter from advocate/l 4. Treating Drs referral – a. s47F b. s47F 5. IMHS – Medical Record	ages es awyer – 5 pages 19 pages - 9 pages – 10 pages	sidered)		
Panel members assessing:		Professor Brendan Murphy Dr Antonio Di Dio (Acting Chair) Associate Professor Susan Moloney		
IHAP Majority recommendation:		Is the Minister's refusal confirmed: Majority (out of total members): Transfer is recommended:	No 3/3 Yes	
Date of IHAP recommendation:	04/07/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	21:38	2
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)?	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No	Affairs 4 <i>ct</i> 1982
				Released by Department of Home A under the <i>Freedom of Information A</i>

Sensitive

Meetin	eeting details Biodata details			
IHAP referral date/time:	1/7/2019	Name: s47F		
date/time:	Time: 1400 hrs	Date of birth: s47F	Current location: PNG	
		Country of birth: ^{s47F}	ID number: ^{s47F}	
IHAP Meeting date:	03/07/2019 0800 hrs	Treating Doctor's referral attached:	Yes	
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/A	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	<u>Remotely</u>	
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes	
Interview with Transito	ory Person			
□ The IHAP undertook	an interview.			
	to conduct an interview but ics/consent/other barrier.	was unable to do this due to	lack of	
☑ The IHAP determine	d that it was not appropriate	e to conduct an interview beca	ause of risk of harm to the p	erson.
IHAP findings:				32
IHAP findings: Following IHAP document review, save has been diagnosed with: Has been diagnosed with: Save Following IHAP document review, save Has been diagnosed with: Has been diagnosed with: Save Following IHAP document review, save Has been diagnosed with: Has been diagnosed with: Save Following IHAP document review, save Has been diagnosed with: Has been diagnosed with: Save Following IHAP document review, save Has been diagnosed with: Has been diagnosed with: Save Following IHAP document review, save Has been diagnosed with: Has been diagnosed with: Save Following IHAP document review, save Has been diagnosed with: Has been diagnosed with: Save Following IHAP document review, save Has been diagnosed with: Has been diagnosed with: Save Following IHAP document review, save Has been diagnosed with: Has been diagnosed with: Save Following IHAP document review, save Has been diagnosed with: Has been diagnosed with: Save Following IHAP document review, save Has been diagnosed with: Has been diagnosed with: Save Following IHAP document review, save Following IHAP document review, save				
				elea
				8 J

The Panel accepted the diagnoses outlined by the treating by the health care providers in PNG and the report by the I	
IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to s assessment. IHAP has accepted that ^{\$47F} has ^{\$47F} medical professionals	
IHAP noted that the assessment of the two treating doctor paper files. It was also noted that there was a six week dela of the report, however, ^{\$47F} .	
The members also noted that the MOC reviewed Australia for treatment. The IHAP agreed with the MOC op necessary at this stage.	case and did not recommend transfer to inion and noted that transfer to Australia is not
The Panel expressed concern about the delays between ind being referred to the IHAP.	dependent assessments of the patient and the reports
The IHAP noted the letter from the Minister provided to the input was not a factor in the Panel reaching their recommender for them to conduct a security review, and therefore had not	endation. The Panel noted that it was not appropriate
The Panel noted that there was quite a delay from the tra their initial review) and submitting for Minister review. The considering their reports stated a transfer to Australia was	he Panel considers this to be particularly concerning
IHAP recommendations	
The three IHAP members present agreed that their recommendation and the three recommendation and the three refused. This recommendation and the three refused is the three refused and the the three refused and the the thr	
 Australia for medical treatment be <u>refused</u>. This recomment 1. The ^{s47F} facility at PIH is headed by a ^{s47F} adequately ensure ^{s47F} 	ndation is based on the following reason: with the experience and qualifications required to health needs are initially assessed, stratified and
 Australia for medical treatment be <u>refused</u>. This recomment 1. The ^{\$47F} facility at PIH is headed by a ^{\$47F} adequately ensure ^{\$47F} treated accordingly. 2. ^{\$47F} 	idation is based on the following reason: with the experience and qualifications required to
 Australia for medical treatment be <u>refused</u>. This recommentation 1. The state of the s	adation is based on the following reason: with the experience and qualifications required to health needs are initially assessed, stratified and health is improving due to
 Australia for medical treatment be <u>refused</u>. This recomment 1. The ^{s47F} facility at PIH is headed by a ^{s47F} adequately ensure ^{s47F} treated accordingly. 2. ^{s47F} engagement with doctors at PIH. 	ers to manage ^{\$47F} patients.
 Australia for medical treatment be <u>refused</u>. This recomment 1. The ^{\$47F} facility at PIH is headed by a ^{\$47F} adequately ensure ^{\$47F} treated accordingly. 2. ^{\$47F} engagement with doctors at PIH. 3. The Panel has confidence in the PIH health provide The IHAP members agreed that their recommendation that 	ers to manage ^{\$47F} patients.
 Australia for medical treatment be <u>refused</u>. This recomment 1. The ^{s47F} facility at PIH is headed by a ^{s47F} adequately ensure ^{s47F} treated accordingly. 2. ^{s47F} engagement with doctors at PIH. 3. The Panel has confidence in the PIH health provide The IHAP members agreed that their recommendation that the above factors. 	health is improving due to health needs are initially assessed, stratified and health is improving due to ers to manage ^{s47F} patients.
 Australia for medical treatment be <u>refused</u>. This recommentation 1. The state of the facility at PIH is headed by a state of the dequately ensure state of the treated accordingly. 2. state of the state of the treated accordingly. 2. state of the treated accordingly. 3. The Panel has confidence in the PIH health provide the treated that their recommendation that the above factors. Actions: IHAP requested to be updated fortnightly on the one 	adation is based on the following reason: with the experience and qualifications required to health needs are initially assessed, stratified and health is improving due to health is improving due to patients. save patients. save pa
 Australia for medical treatment be <u>refused</u>. This recomment 1. The ^{s47F} facility at PIH is headed by a ^{s47F} adequately ensure ^{s47F} treated accordingly. 2. ^{s47F} engagement with doctors at PIH. 3. The Panel has confidence in the PIH health provide The IHAP members agreed that their recommendation that the above factors. <u>Actions:</u> 1. IHAP requested to be updated fortnightly on the one 2. Clarify and confirm that medical conditions listed in 	dation is based on the following reason: with the experience and qualifications required to health needs are initially assessed, stratified and health is improving due to health is improving due to ers to manage ^{\$47F} patients. ^{\$47F} no be transferred to Australia is based or the transferred to Australia is based or the transferred to Australia is based or the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after the t
 Australia for medical treatment be <u>refused</u>. This recomment 1. The ^{s47F} facility at PIH is headed by a ^{s47F} adequately ensure ^{s47F} treated accordingly. 2. ^{s47F} engagement with doctors at PIH. 3. The Panel has confidence in the PIH health provide The IHAP members agreed that their recommendation that the above factors. <u>Actions:</u> 1. IHAP requested to be updated fortnightly on the one 2. Clarify and confirm that medical conditions listed in 	dation is based on the following reason: with the experience and qualifications required to health needs are initially assessed, stratified and health is improving due to health is improving due to ers to manage ^{\$47F} patients. ^{\$47F} no be transferred to Australia is based or the transferred to Australia is based or the transferred to Australia is based or the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after the t
 Australia for medical treatment be <u>refused</u>. This recomment 1. The ^{s47F} facility at PIH is headed by a ^{s47F} adequately ensure ^{s47F} treated accordingly. 2. ^{s47F} engagement with doctors at PIH. 3. The Panel has confidence in the PIH health provide The IHAP members agreed that their recommendation that the above factors. <u>Actions:</u> 1. IHAP requested to be updated fortnightly on the one 2. Clarify and confirm that medical conditions listed in 	adation is based on the following reason: with the experience and qualifications required to health needs are initially assessed, stratified and health is improving due to health is improving due to ares to manage s47F patients. s47F no be transferred to Australia is based or going progress of s47F . the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after upped to the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after with the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after the treating
 Australia for medical treatment be <u>refused</u>. This recomment 1. The ^{s47F} facility at PIH is headed by a ^{s47F} adequately ensure ^{s47F} treated accordingly. 2. ^{s47F} engagement with doctors at PIH. 3. The Panel has confidence in the PIH health provide The IHAP members agreed that their recommendation that the above factors. <u>Actions:</u> 1. IHAP requested to be updated fortnightly on the one 2. Clarify and confirm that medical conditions listed in 	adation is based on the following reason: with the experience and qualifications required to health needs are initially assessed, stratified and health is improving due to health is improving due to patients. save patients. save patients. save patients. save patients. save patients. save patients. save patients. the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after under the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after with the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after
 Australia for medical treatment be <u>refused</u>. This recomment 1. The ^{s47F} facility at PIH is headed by a ^{s47F} adequately ensure ^{s47F} treated accordingly. 2. ^{s47F} engagement with doctors at PIH. 3. The Panel has confidence in the PIH health provide The IHAP members agreed that their recommendation that the above factors. <u>Actions:</u> 1. IHAP requested to be updated fortnightly on the one 2. Clarify and confirm that medical conditions listed in 	adation is based on the following reason: with the experience and qualifications required to health needs are initially assessed, stratified and health is improving due to ers to manage ^{\$47F} patients. ^{847F} no be transferred to Australia is based of the unit going progress of ^{847F} . the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after with the treating doctors assessment are being looked after the tre
 Australia for medical treatment be <u>refused</u>. This recomment 1. The ^{s47F} facility at PIH is headed by a ^{s47F} adequately ensure ^{s47F} treated accordingly. 2. ^{s47F} engagement with doctors at PIH. 3. The Panel has confidence in the PIH health provide The IHAP members agreed that their recommendation that the above factors. <u>Actions:</u> 1. IHAP requested to be updated fortnightly on the one 2. Clarify and confirm that medical conditions listed in 	adation is based on the following reason: with the experience and qualifications required to health needs are initially assessed, stratified and health is improving due to health is improving due to patients. save save going progress of save the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after with the treating doctors assessment are being looked after with the treating doctors assessment are being looked after the treating doctors assessment are being loo
 Australia for medical treatment be <u>refused</u>. This recomment 1. The ^{s47F} facility at PIH is headed by a ^{s47F} adequately ensure ^{s47F} treated accordingly. 2. ^{s47F} engagement with doctors at PIH. 3. The Panel has confidence in the PIH health provide The IHAP members agreed that their recommendation that the above factors. Actions: 1. IHAP requested to be updated fortnightly on the one 2. Clarify and confirm that medical conditions listed in 	adation is based on the following reason: with the experience and qualifications required to health needs are initially assessed, stratified and health is improving due to ers to manage ^{\$47F} patients. ^{847F} no be transferred to Australia is based of the unit going progress of ^{847F} . the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after the treating doctors' assessment are being looked after with the treating doctors assessment are being looked after the tre

Document library reviewed: (list documents con	sidered)			
1. ABF Client brief – 2 pages					
2. MOC Opinion – 2 pages					
3. Letter from advocate/lawyer – 2 pages					
4. Treating Drs referra	I				
a. s47F	, GP – 4 pa	ges			
b. ^{s47F}	, Anaes	thetist – 19 pages			
5. IHMS Clinical Reco	rds				
a. Health Dischar	ge Summary – 8 pa	ges			
b. Medical Record	s – 175 pages				
6. Letter from the Mini	ster for Home Affai	rs dated 2 July 2019 – 1 page			
		Professor Brendan Murphy			
		Dr Antonio Di Dio (Acting Chair)			
Panel members assessing:		Associate Professor Susan Moloney			
		Is the Minister's refusal confirmed:	Yes		
IHAP Majority recommendation	n:	Majority (out of total members):	3/3		
		Transfer is recommended:	No		
Date of IHAP recommendation:	04/07/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	21:38	airs 1982	
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)?	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No	ne Aff n Act	
				Department of Horr eedom of Informatio	
				f In	
				artn n o	
				epa do <i>t</i>	
				ee D	
				by Fr	
				eased ler the	
				ea	
				Rel	

For Official Use Only

Meetin	g details	Bio	data details	
IHAP referral date/time:	04/07/2019	Name: ^{\$47F}		
date/time:	Time: 1040 hrs	Date of birth: ^{s47F}	Current location: PNG	
		Country of birth: ^{s47F}	ID number: ^{s47F}	
IHAP Meeting date:	05/07/2019	Treating Doctor's referral attached:	Yes	
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/A	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	<u>Remotely</u>	
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes	
Interview with Transito	ry Person			
□ The IHAP undertook	an interview.			
	to conduct an interview but ics/consent/other barrier.	was unable to do this due to	lack of	
☑ The IHAP determine	d that it was not appropriate	e to conduct an interview beca	ause of risk of harm to the p	erson.
IHAP findings:				32
Following IHAP docume	nt review, ^{s47F} ha	s been diagnosed with:		Affairs Act 198
JTT				Released by Department of Home Affunder the <i>Freedom of Information Act</i>
				Rele

Act

mation

Ö

by Dep reedo

eleased e

ù

the

Independent Health Advice Panel

The IHAP Panel accepted the diagnoses provided in ^{\$47F} medical records supplied by the health care providers in PNG. The Panel also accepted the diagnoses as assessed by the two treating doctor referrals.

IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with \$47F for clinical assessment. identified by the treating medical professionals IHAP has accepted that ^{s47F} has ^{s47F}

IHAP noted that the assessment of the two treating doctors was based on a teleconference and a review of paper files. It was also noted that there was a four week delay from the treating doctors' review and the completion of the report, however, this may be due to a court case.

The members also noted that the MOC reviewed ^{\$47F} case and did not recommend transfer to Australia for treatment. The IHAP agreed with the MOC opinion and noted that transfer to Australia is not necessary at this stage.

The Panel expressed concern about the delays between independent assessments of the patient and the reports being referred to the IHAP.

The Panel noted that there was quite a delay from the treating doctors in preparing their reports (following their initial review) and submitting for Minister review. The Panel considers this to be particularly concerning considering their reports stated a transfer to Australia was urgent.

IHAP recommendations

The three IHAP members present agreed that their recommendation is that ^{s47F}	transfer to Australia for
medical treatment be refused . This recommendation is based on the following reasons:	

- facility at PIH is headed by a ^{s47F} The ^{s47F} 1. with the experience and qualifications required to adequately ensure s47 health needs are initially assessed, stratified and treated accordingly.
- 2. The health care ^{\$47F} capacity at Pacific International Hospital in Port Moresby is appropriate to manage the initial clinical need.
- 3. PIH POM has the facilities to ensure ^{\$47F} 00 are adequately Affairs 0 assessed and treated.
- 4. The Panel has confidence in the PIH health providers to manage ^{\$47F} patients.

The Panel agreed that their recommendation that s47F	not be transferred to Australia is based on the	2
above factors.		-
		2

Actions:

Intor t 1. The IHAP be notified via the Outcomes Tracker in GovTEAMS when ^{\$47F} is transferred to PIH of POM.

Document library reviewed: (list	documents cons	idered)	
1. ABF Client brief – 2 pa		,	
2. MOC Opinion – 2 pag	es		
3. Letter from ^{s47F}		– 3 pages	
4. Treating Drs referral –	9 pages		
a. s47F		– 4 pages	
b. s47F		– 5 pages	
5. Medical Records – 15	9 pages		
6. Health Discharge Sum	nmary – 6 pages		
		Professor Brendan Murphy	
		Dr Antonio Di Dio (Chair)	
Panel members assessing:		Associate Professor Susan Moloney	
		Is the Minister's refusal confirmed:	Yes
IHAP Majority recommendation:		Majority (out of total members):	3/3
		Transfer is recommended:	No
Date of IHAP recommendation:	05/07/2019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	18:36
Deemed approval (post 72 hours)?	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No

Released by Department of Home Affairs under the Freedom of Information Act 1982

Independent Health Advice Panel

For Official Use Only

Meeting details		Biodata details		
IHAP referral	05/07/2019	Name: ^{\$47F}		
date/time:	Time: 18:39 hrs	Date of birth: s47F	Current location: PNG	
		Country of birth:	ID number: ^{s47F}	
IHAP Meeting date:	06/07/2019	Treating Doctor's referral attached:	Yes	
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/A	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely	
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes	
Interview with Transito	ory Person			
□ The IHAP undertook	an interview.			
-	to conduct an interview but ics/consent/other barrier.	was unable to do this due to	lack of	
☑ The IHAP determine	d that it was not appropriate	e to conduct an interview beca	ause of risk of harm to the person.	
IHAP findings:			82	
47F			eleased by Department of Home Affairs	

The IHAP Panel accepted the diagnoses provided in ^{\$47F} medical records supplied by the in PNG. The Panel noted the discrepancy between the clinical descriptions of the two treating of t	
IHAP determined that it will not be necessary for them to speak directly with ^{s47F} for clinical shas accepted that ^{s47F} has ^{s47F} has ^{s47F} issues with associated ^{s47F}	assessment. IHAP concerns.
IHAP recommendations	
	ustralia for medical
treatment be refused . This recommendation is based on the following reasons:	
 The ^{\$47F} facility at PIH is well equipped to manage^{\$47F} medical conditions an medical investigation. 	d carry out further
2. ^{s47F} secondary ^{s47F} concerns can be addressed while at PIH.	
	s)82
	fair t 19
Actions:	Ac
	ssment to PIH before
2. A follow up summary of clinical progress be provided to the Panel two weeks following of the hospital admission.	the commencements
3. Prior to any transfer back to Manus, the Panel requested that a diagnosis be establish	ed and appropriate
management strategies be in place to address the given problem.	oart om
	Dep
	by Fre
	ed
	ease ler th
	ele

Document libr	ary reviewed:				
1. Clie	ent brief – 2 pages				
2. MC	DC Opinion – 2 pag	es			
3. Let	tter from s47F		– 2 pages		
4. Tre	eating Drs referral -	15 pages			
	a. ^{s47F}	– 10 pag	ges		
	b. ^{s47F}	-	- 5 pages		
5. Me	dical Records – 71	pages, script 1 p	age, handwritten note 2 pages		
6. Hea	alth Discharge Sun	nmary – 5 pages			
7. Mir	nisterial submission	- 4 pages			
			Dr Antonio Di Dio (Chair)		
			Professor Brendan Murphy		
			Associate Professor Susan Moloney		
Panel member	rs assessing:		Associate Professor Neeraj Gill		
			Mr Guy Coffey		
			Associate Professor Michael Douglas		
			Is the Minister's refusal confirmed:	Yes	
IHAP Majority	recommendation:		Majority (out of total members):	6/6	
			Transfer is recommended:	No	
Date of IHAP recommendati	ion:	07/072019	Time of IHAP recommendation:	09:40	airs 1982
Deemed appro hours)?	oval (post 72	No	Meeting audio recorded:	No	n Act
					by Department of Horr Freedom of Informatio
					ent Inf
					tm
					pai
					De
					0
					2 F
					the the

For Official Use Only

Meeting details		Biodata details		
IHAP referral	05/07/2019	Name: s47F		
date/time:	Time: 18:39 hrs	Date of birth: s47F	Current location: PNG	
		Country of birth: ^{s47F}	ID number: ^{s47F}	
IHAP Meeting date:	06/07/2019	Treating Doctor's referral attached:	Yes	
Reconvened IHAP meeting (if required):	N/A	Was the Referring Doctor's clinical assessment performed remotely or in person?	Remotely	
		Health Service Provider clinical summary received:	Yes	
capability/capacity/logist	to conduct an interview but ics/consent/other barrier.	was unable to do this due to	lack of ause of risk of harm to the person.	
	a that it was not appropriate	e to conduct an interview beca	ause of fisk of harm to the person.	
<u>IHAP findings:</u> ^{547F}			eleased by Department of Home Affairs	

The Pa	nel shared concern regarding the nature and severity of the s47F issues of s47F , incl	uding
s47F	health and ^{\$47F} .	
	nel also agreed that the s47F received by s47F was improper and inadequate for and severity of s47F , and that s47 may require s47F	or the
	Panel members present at the meeting agreed that should ^{s47F} be transferred to PIH, $_{F}^{s47}$ would be transferred to PIH.	ld
	ecommendations	
Austral	Panel members present at the meeting agreed that the recommendation is to transfer s47F to ia as soon as possible, and within 48 hours. Should this not be possible, they recommend that s47F to PIH for treatment while awaiting transfer/medevac to Australia.	
		Affairs Act 1982
Action	<u>s:</u>	ne /
1.	^{s47F} be transferred to Australia on an URGENT basis, including by medevac if required, with hours, recognizing that he may need to be admitted to PIH for urgent treatment if this is not possible	
2.	The Panel be informed once transfer has occurred.	t of forr
3.	The Panel expressed concern regarding the time between the Department receiving notification of a and the Panel receiving a request to review. This has been brought up over the past several cases, Panel has requested it be an action item for response. On this occasion the delay between the seco independent report being received, and the referral to the panel, was fifteen days.	ed by Departan
		elease nder th

Document	library reviewed:				
	Client brief – 2 pages				
2.	MOC Opinion – 2 pag	es			
3.	Letter from ^{s47F}		– 2 pages		
4.	Treating Drs referral -	- 14 pages			
	a. s47F	– 5 pag	jes		
	b. s47F	– 9 page	S		
5.	Medical Records – 11	5 pages			
6.	Health Discharge Sun	nmary – 5 pages			
7.	Ministerial submission	– 4 pages			
			Dr Antonio Di Dio (Chair)		
			Professor Brendan Murphy		
			Associate Professor Susan Moloney		
Panel mem	bers assessing:		Associate Professor Neeraj Gill		
			Mr Guy Coffey		
			Associate Professor Michael Douglas		
			le the Minister's refused confirmed		
			Is the Minister's refusal confirmed:	No	
IHAP Major	rity recommendation:		Majority (out of total members):	NO 6/6	
IHAP Major	rity recommendation:				
IHAP Major Date of IHA recommend	\P	07/07/2019	Majority (out of total members):	6/6	airs 1982
Date of IHA recommend	\P		Majority (out of total members): Transfer is recommended:	6/6 Yes	n Act
Date of IHA recommend Deemed ap	NP dation:	07/07/2019	Majority (out of total members): Transfer is recommended: Time of IHAP recommendation:	6/6 Yes 09:40hrs	n Act
Date of IHA recommend Deemed ap	NP dation:	07/07/2019	Majority (out of total members): Transfer is recommended: Time of IHAP recommendation:	6/6 Yes 09:40hrs	n Act
Date of IHA recommend Deemed ap	NP dation:	07/07/2019	Majority (out of total members): Transfer is recommended: Time of IHAP recommendation:	6/6 Yes 09:40hrs	n Act
Date of IHA recommend Deemed ap	NP dation:	07/07/2019	Majority (out of total members): Transfer is recommended: Time of IHAP recommendation:	6/6 Yes 09:40hrs	n Act
Date of IHA recommend Deemed ap	NP dation:	07/07/2019	Majority (out of total members): Transfer is recommended: Time of IHAP recommendation:	6/6 Yes 09:40hrs	n Act
Date of IHA recommend Deemed ap	NP dation:	07/07/2019	Majority (out of total members): Transfer is recommended: Time of IHAP recommendation:	6/6 Yes 09:40hrs	n Act
Date of IHA recommend Deemed ap	NP dation:	07/07/2019	Majority (out of total members): Transfer is recommended: Time of IHAP recommendation:	6/6 Yes 09:40hrs	by Department of Home Aff Freedom of Information Act
Date of IHA recommend Deemed ap	NP dation:	07/07/2019	Majority (out of total members): Transfer is recommended: Time of IHAP recommendation:	6/6 Yes 09:40hrs	by Department of Home Aff Freedom of Information Act
Date of IHA recommend Deemed ap	NP dation:	07/07/2019	Majority (out of total members): Transfer is recommended: Time of IHAP recommendation:	6/6 Yes 09:40hrs	by Department of Home Aff Freedom of Information Act
Date of IHA recommend Deemed ap	NP dation:	07/07/2019	Majority (out of total members): Transfer is recommended: Time of IHAP recommendation:	6/6 Yes 09:40hrs	by Department of Home Aff <i>Freedom of Information Act</i>